

GRAS FLAVORING SUBSTANCES 27

FEMA EXPERT PANEL: S.M. COHEN, S. FUKUSHIMA, N.J. GOODERHAM, S.S. HECHT, L.J. MARNETT, I.M.C.M. RIETJENS and R.L. SMITH

27. GRAS FLAVORING SUBSTANCES: This list of substances will appear in the 27th publication authored by the Expert Panel of the Flavor and Extract Manufacturers Association on recent progress in the consideration of flavoring ingredients “generally recognized as safe” (GRAS) under conditions of their intended use in food flavorings in accordance with the 1958 Food Additives Amendment to the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act. For more information on FEMA GRAS™, see “[About the FEMA GRAS™ Program](#)” on the FEMA website.

DISCLAIMER: The user of this list agrees that its use of this document and the information contained therein is at the user’s sole risk and that FEMA shall have no liability to any person for any loss or damage arising out of the use of this document.

CHANGE IN GRAS STATUS OF QUINOLINE, ETHYLENE OXIDE, AND STYRENE: The FEMA GRAS statuses of quinoline (FEMA No. 3470), ethylene oxide (FEMA No. 2433), and styrene (FEMA No. 3233) under their conditions of intended use as flavor ingredients were reviewed. For quinoline, the Expert Panel concluded that additional data, including in vivo genotoxicity and chronic toxicity testing, were required to support the continuation of its GRAS status. Until such data are available for review, the flavor ingredient quinoline has been removed from the FEMA GRAS list. There is little evidence that ethylene oxide or styrene are used for the technical effect of flavoring; based on this lack of evidence, the Panel concluded that both ethylene oxide and styrene should be removed from the FEMA GRAS list.

TABLE 1: Primary Names & Synonyms
Primary names (in boldface) & Synonyms (in lightface)

FEMA NO.	SUBSTANCE PRIMARY NAME AND SYNONYMS
4779	(±)-2-Mercapto-5-methylheptan-4-one (±)-5-Methyl-2-sulfanylheptan-4-one
4780	Caryophylla-3(4),8-dien-5-ol Mixture of 10,10-Dimethyl-2,6-dimethylenebicyclo[7.2.0]-undecan-5-ol and 4,11,11-Trimethyl-8-methylenebicyclo[7.2.0]undec-3-en-5-ol
4781	L-Cysteine methyl ester hydrochloride Methyl (R)-2-amino-3-mercaptopropanoate hydrochloride
4782	2(3)-Hexanethiol
4783	Mixture of 1-Vinyl-3-cyclohexenecarbaldehyde and 4-Vinyl-1-cyclohexenecarbaldehyde Mixture of 1-Ethenyl-3-cyclohexene-1-carboxaldehyde and 4-Ethenyl-1-cyclohexene-1-carboxaldehyde
4784	(±)-4-Hydroxy-6-methyl-2-heptanone
4785	2-Octyl-2-dodecenal
4786	2-Hexyl-2-decenal
4787	trans-6-Octenal (E)-6-Octenal (6E)-Octenal
4788	(E)-3-Benzo[1,3]dioxol-5-yl-N,N-diphenyl-2-propenamide (2E)-3-(1,3-benzodioxol-5-yl)-N,N-diphenylprop-2-enamide
4789	2,6-Dimethyl-5-heptenol
4790	(±)-Bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene-2-carboxylic acid, ethyl ester (±)-Ethyl bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene-2-carboxylate (±)-5-Norbornene-2-carboxylic acid, ethyl ester
4791	3-(Acetylthio)hexanal
4792	(±)-3-Mercapto-1-pentanol
4793	(3R,3S)-3-[[[(4-Amino-2,2-dioxido-1H-2,1,3-benzothiazin-5-yl)oxy]methyl]-N-cyclopentyl-2-oxo-3-piperidinecarboxamide (3R,3S)-3-[[[(4-Amino-2,2-dioxido-1H-2,1,3-benzothiazin-5-yl)oxy]methyl]-N-cyclopentyl-2-oxopiperidine-3-carboxamide
4794	(±)-1-Cyclohexylethanol (±)-Methylcyclohexylcarbinol (±)-Cyclohexanemethanol
4795	(±)-8-Methyldecanal
4796	Steviol glycoside extract, Stevia rebaudiana, Rebaudioside C 30%
4797	(±)-Naringenin (±)-5,7-Dihydroxy-2-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-4H-chroman-4-one
4798	2-(((3-(2,3-Dimethoxyphenyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazol-5-yl)thio)methyl)pyridine 2-((5-(2,3-Dimethoxyphenyl)-2H-1,2,4-triazol-3-ylthio)methyl)pyridine 2-(((3-(2,3-dimethoxyphenyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazol-5-yl)thio)methyl)pyridine
4799	(2R)-3',5'-Dihydroxy-4'-methoxyflavanone
4800	Glucosylated Rubus suavisissimus extract 20-30% glucosylated rubusoside glycosides Glucosylated Sweet Blackberry leaves extract 20-30% glucosylated rubusoside glycosides
4801	Olive fruit extract <i>Olea europaea</i> fruit extract

FEMA NO.	SUBSTANCE PRIMARY NAMES AND SYNONYMS
4802	(S)-1-(3-(((4-Amino-2,2-dioxido-1H-benzo[c][1,2,6]thiadiazin-5-yl)oxy)methyl)piperidin-1-yl)-3-methylbutan-1-one 1-[(3S)-3-[[[(4-Amino-2,2-dioxido-1H-2,1,3-benzothiazin-5-yl)oxy]methyl]-1-piperidinyl]-3-methyl-1-butanone
4803	8-Methylnonanal Isodecanal
4804	Mixture of Ricinoleic acid, Linoleic acid, and Oleic acid
4805	Steviol glycoside extract, Stevia rebaudiana, Rebaudioside A 22%
4806	Steviol glycoside extract, Stevia rebaudiana, Rebaudioside C 22%
4807	Pinocarvyl acetate 6,6-Dimethyl-2-methylenebicyclo[3.1.1]hept-3-yl acetate
4808	N-Ethyl-5-methyl-2-(1-methylethenyl)cyclohexanecarboxamide N-Ethyl-5-methyl-2-(prop-1-en-2-yl)cyclohexanecarboxamide
4809	2-(4-Methylphenoxy)-N-(1H-pyrazol-3-yl)-N-(thiophen-2-ylmethyl)acetamide N-(1H-Pyrazol-5-yl)-N-(thiophen-2-ylmethyl)-2-(p-tolyloxy)acetamide
4810	Ethyl-2-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)acetate Ethyl homovanillate Ethyl 4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenylacetate
4811	Ginger Mint Oil (Mentha x gracilis) Red stemmed mint oil Vietnamese mint oil
4812	Palmitoylated Green Tea Extract Catechins Palmitoylated <i>Camilla sinensis</i> Extract Catechins Lipid Soluble Green Tea Extract (Catechin Palmitate Esters)
4813	2-(5-Isopropyl-2-methyl-tetrahydrothiophen-2-yl)-ethanol
4814	Glucosylated Rubus suavisissimus extract, 60% glucosylated rubusoside glycosides Glucosylated Sweet Blackberry Leaves Extract 60% glucosylated rubusoside glycosides
4815	Sandalwood austrocaledonicum oil <i>Santalum austrocaledonicum</i> oil
4816	Sugar Cane distillate

TABLE 2: Average Usual Use Levels/Average Maximum Use Levels

Average Usual Use Levels (ppm)/Average Maximum Use Levels (ppm) for new FEMA GRAS Flavoring Substances on which the FEMA Expert Panel based its judgments that the substances are generally recognized as safe (GRAS).

	(±)-2-Mercapto-5-methylheptan-4-one	Caryophylla-3(4),8-dien-5-ol	L-Cysteine methyl ester hydrochloride	2(3)-Hexanethiol	Mixture of 1-Vinyl-3-cyclohexenecarbaldehyde and 4-Vinyl-1-cyclohexenecarbaldehyde	(±)-4-Hydroxy-6-methyl-2-heptanone	2-Octyl-2-dodecenal	2-Hexyl-2-decenal	trans-6-Octenal	(E)-3-Benzo[1,3]dioxol-5-yl-N,N-diphenyl-2-propenamide
CATEGORY	FEMA No. 4779	4780	4781	4782	4783	4784	4785	4786	4787	4788
BAKED GOODS	0.03/0.1	0.1/1	10/100	0.02/0.06		50/100	0.1/1	0.1/1	0.1/1	0.2/2
BEVERAGES, NON-ALCOHOLIC	0.01/0.1	1/5		0.005/0.03	5/10	30/80	1/5	1/5	0.03/0.3	0.02/0.2
BEVERAGES, ALCOHOLIC	0.02/0.1	1/5		0.005/0.03		30/80	1/5	1/5	0.03/0.3	0.04/2
BREAKFAST CEREALS	0.03/0.1	0.1/1		0.01/0.04		40/100	0.1/1	0.1/1		0.2/2
CHEESES		0.1/1	10/100				0.1/1	0.1/1	0.1/1	
CHEWING GUM	0.1/0.2	1/5		0.02/0.06	100/1000	60/100	1/5	1/5	0.1/1	10/100
CONDIMENTS AND RELISHES	0.01/0.1	0.1/1	10/100	0.02/0.06			0.1/1	0.1/1		
CONFECTIONS AND FROSTINGS	0.05/0.1	1/5		0.02/0.06	5/50		1/5	1/5	0.1/1	0.5/5
EGG PRODUCTS		0.1/1	10/100	0.015/0.05			0.1/1	0.1/1	0.05/1	0.2/2
FATS AND OILS		0.1/1					0.1/1	0.1/1	0.03/0.5	0.5/10
FISH PRODUCTS		0.1/1	10/100				0.1/1	0.1/1		
FROZEN DAIRY	0.05/0.1	0.1/1		0.02/0.06		50/100	0.1/1	0.1/1	0.1/1	0.2/2
FRUIT ICES	0.005/0.05	1/5		0.01/0.04			1/5	1/5		0.2/4
GELATINS AND PUDDINGS	0.03/0.1	1/5	10/100	0.015/0.04		40/100	1/5	1/5	0.1/1	0.2/4
GRANULATED SUGAR		0.1/5					0.1/1	0.1/1		0.4/4
GRAVIES	0.01/0.1	0.1/1	10/100	0.02/0.06			0.1/1	0.1/1	0.02/0.5	
HARD CANDY	0.1/0.2	1/5		0.02/0.05	5/50	50/100	1/5	1/5	0.05/1	1/10
IMITATION DAIRY		0.1/1					0.1/1	0.1/1	0.1/1	0.2/2
INSTANT COFFEE AND TEA		0.1/1	10/100	0.02/0.06		50/100	0.1/1	0.1/1	0.03/0.5	0.04/0.2
JAMS AND JELLIES		1/5		0.02/0.06			1/5	1/5		0.2/2
MEAT PRODUCTS		0.1/1	10/100	0.02/0.08			0.1/1	0.1/1		
MILK PRODUCTS		0.1/1		0.005/0.04		40/80	0.1/1	0.1/1	0.2/2	0.2/2
NUT PRODUCTS		0.1/1		0.01/0.04			0.1/1	0.1/1	0.05/0.2	
OTHER GRAINS		0.1/1		0.01/0.06			0.1/1	0.1/1		
POULTRY		0.1/1	10/100				0.1/1	0.1/1		
PROCESSED FRUITS	0.02/0.1	0.1/1		0.01/0.05			0.1/1	0.1/1		0.2/2
PROCESSED VEGETABLES		0.1/1	10/100				0.1/1	0.1/1		
RECONSTITUTED VEGETABLES		0.1/1	10/100				0.1/1	0.1/1		
SEASONINGS AND FLAVORS	0.005/0.2	1/5	10/100	0.01/0.1	10/100	50/100	1/5	1/5	0.05/0.5	
SNACK FOODS	0.02/0.1	0.1/1		0.01/0.06			0.1/1	0.1/1		
SOFT CANDY	0.05/0.1	1/5		0.01/0.04	10/100	50/100	1/5	1/5	0.1/1	0.5/10
SOUPS	0.03/0.1	0.1/1	10/100	0.02/0.06			0.1/1	0.1/1	0.02/0.5	0.5/10
SUGAR SUBSTITUTES		0.1/1					0.1/1	0.1/1		0.4/8
SWEET SAUCES	0.03/0.1	0.1/1	10/100	0.02/0.06		50/100	0.1/1	0.1/1	0.1/1	

TABLE 2 Continued: Average Usual Use Levels/Average Maximum Use Levels

Average Usual Use Levels (ppm)/Average Maximum Use Levels (ppm) for new FEMA GRAS Flavoring Substances on which the FEMA Expert Panel based its judgments that the substances are generally recognized as safe (GRAS).

	2,6-Dimethyl-5-heptenol	(±)-Bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene-2-carboxylic acid, ethyl ester	3-(Acetylthio)hexanal	(±)-3-Mercapto-1-pentanol	(3R,3S)-3-[[4-aminobenzothiazin-5-yl)oxy)methyl]-N-cyclopentyl-2-oxo-3-piperidinecarboxamide	(±)-1-Cyclohexylethanol	(±)-8-Methyldecanal	Steviol glycoside extract, Stevia rebaudiana, Rebaudioside C 30%	(±)-Naringenin	2-[(5S,2,3-Dimethoxyphenyl)-3-methylpyridine
CATEGORY	4789	4790	4791	4792	4793	4794	4795	4796	4797	4798
BAKED GOODS	1/10	0.5/1	0.5/3	2/10	3/8		2/20	30/90	200/600	2/6
BEVERAGES, NON-ALCOHOLIC	1/5	0.2/1	0.1/1	1/6	5/8		0.5/5	20/75	100/300	1/2
BEVERAGES, ALCOHOLIC	1/5	0.2/1			2/8		0.5/5	20/75	100/300	
BREAKFAST CEREALS	1/5	0.5/1	0.5/2	1/8	3/8		1/5	30/90	200/600	5/10
CHEESES									1000/1000	2/6
CHEWING GUM	2/20	0.5/2	1/5	3/10	10/27	1000/3000	2/10	100/125	200/400	
CONDIMENTS AND RELISHES									200/400	5/10
CONFECTIONS AND FROSTINGS	2/10	0.4/2			3/8	50/100	2/10	20/75	200/400	
EGG PRODUCTS	0.2/2						0.2/2			2/6
FATS AND OILS	1/10	0.02/0.5					1/10		100/200	4/8
FISH PRODUCTS										4/10
FROZEN DAIRY	1/2	0.3/1	0.5/1	1/8	2/8		1/2	20/75	100/500	
FRUIT ICES	1/2	0.3/1			2/8	30/60	0.5/5	20/75	100/200	
GELATINS AND PUDDINGS	1/10	0.2/1			2/8		1/10	20/75	100/400	
GRANULATED SUGAR										
GRAVIES			0.05/2	1/8	2/8			20/50	100/500	4/10
HARD CANDY	1/10	0.4/2			5/8	100/300	1/10		100/400	
IMITATION DAIRY	1/10						1/10	20/75	100/500	
INSTANT COFFEE AND TEA	1/10		0.02/1	1/8	2/8		1/10		100/200	
JAMS AND JELLIES	1/10	0.4/2	0.02/1	1/8			1/10	20/75	100/400	
MEAT PRODUCTS		0.05/0.5			2/8			10/20	100/200	4/10
MILK PRODUCTS	1/5		0.02/1	1/8	3/8		1/5	30/90	100/500	
NUT PRODUCTS									50/100	2/6
OTHER GRAINS										2/6
POULTRY									100/200	2/6
PROCESSED FRUITS		0.1/1							50/400	
PROCESSED VEGETABLES									50/100	2/6
RECONSTITUTED VEGETABLES									50/100	2/6
SEASONINGS AND FLAVORS	1/10	0.2/1			2/8		1/10	20/50	500/1000	10/20
SNACK FOODS	1/10		0.02/1	1/6	2/8		1/10		200/400	10/20
SOFT CANDY	1/10	0.3/2			5/8	50/200	1/10		100/400	
SOUPS	1/10	0.05/0.8			2/8		1/10		100/300	4/8
SUGAR SUBSTITUTES									100/200	
SWEET SAUCES					3/8				100/400	

TABLE 2 Continued: Average Usual Use Levels/Average Maximum Use Levels

Average Usual Use Levels (ppm)/Average Maximum Use Levels (ppm) for new FEMA GRAS Flavoring Substances on which the FEMA Expert Panel based its judgments that the substances are generally recognized as safe (GRAS).

	(2R)-3',5-Dihydroxy-4'-methoxyflavanone	Glucosylated Rubus suavisissimus extract 20-30% glucosylated rubusoside glycosides	Olive fruit extract	(S)-1-(3-((4-Amino-2,2-dioxido-1H-benzothiazin-5-yl)-oxy)methyl)-piperidin-1-yl)-3-methylbutan-1-one	8-Methylnonanal	Mixture of Ricinoleic acid, Linoleic acid, and Oleic acid	Steviol glycoside extract, Stevia rebaudiana, Rebaudioside A 22%	Steviol glycoside extract, Stevia rebaudiana, Rebaudioside C 22%	Pinocaryyl acetate	N-Ethyl-5-methyl-2-(1-methyl-1-cylohexanecarboxamide
CATEGORY	4799	4800	4801	4802	4803	4804	4805	4806	4807	4808
BAKED GOODS			120/720	6/6	2/20	5/20	70/70	100/100		20/30
BEVERAGES, NON-ALCOHOLIC	20/25	150/350	120/720	2.5/6	0.5/10	1/5	70/70	110/110	0.1/5.0	5/10
BEVERAGES, ALCOHOLIC	20/25	75/200	120/720	2.5/6	0.5/10	1/5	70/70	100/100	0.5/7.5	15/30
BREAKFAST CEREALS	20/25	150/400	120/720	6/6	1/10	1/5	70/70	100/100		10/20
CHEESES			120/720			5/20				
CHEWING GUM				6/6	2/20	1/5	70/70	100/100	0.5/7.5	2000/3000
CONDIMENTS AND RELISHES			120/720	6/6		5/10	70/70	100/100		
CONFECTIONS AND FROSTINGS	20/25			6/6	2/20	1/5	70/70	100/100	0.5/7.5	200/300
EGG PRODUCTS			120/720		0.2/2	1/10	70/70			
FATS AND OILS			120/720	3/6	1/20	10/50	70/70	100/100		
FISH PRODUCTS			120/720			1/5				
FROZEN DAIRY		200/300	120/720	3/6	1/5	1/5	70/70	100/100	0.1/5.0	
FRUIT ICES		100/300		3/6	0.5/5	1/5	70/70	100/100		200/300
GELATINS AND PUDDINGS				3/6	1/10	1/5	70/70	100/100		
GRANULATED SUGAR										
GRAVIES		100/150	120/720	3/6		5/20	70/70	100/100		
HARD CANDY	20/25			3/6	1/20	1/5	70/70	100/100	0.5/7.5	200/300
IMITATION DAIRY				2.5/6	1/10	5/20	70/70	100/100	0.1/5.0	
INSTANT COFFEE AND TEA	20/25	150/350		2.5/6	1/10	1/5	70/70	100/100		10/20
JAMS AND JELLIES	20/25			6/6	1/10	1/5	70/70	100/100		10/20
MEAT PRODUCTS		100/150	120/720			5/20				
MILK PRODUCTS	20/25	200/300	120/720	2.5/6	1/10	5/20	70/70	100/100	0.1/5.0	5/10
NUT PRODUCTS			120/720	3/6		1/5	70/70	100/100		
OTHER GRAINS										
POULTRY			120/720			5/25				
PROCESSED FRUITS				3/6		1/5	70/70	100/100		5/10
PROCESSED VEGETABLES						1/5	70/70	100/100		
RECONSTITUTED VEGETABLES						1/5				
SEASONINGS AND FLAVORS		100/150	120/720		1/10	5/50	70/70	100/100		50/150
SNACK FOODS	20/25		120/720	6/6	1/10	5/50	70/70	100/100		200/300
SOFT CANDY	20/25			6/6	1/10	1/5	70/70	100/100	0.5/7.5	500/1000
SOUPS		100/150	120/720	3/6	1/10	5/25	70/70	100/100		
SUGAR SUBSTITUTES	20/25					1/5	70/70	100/100		
SWEET SAUCES	20/25		120/720	3/6		1/5	70/70	100/100		

TABLE 2 Continued: Average Usual Use Levels/Average Maximum Use Levels

Average Usual Use Levels (ppm)/Average Maximum Use Levels (ppm) for new FEMA GRAS Flavoring Substances on which the FEMA Expert Panel based its judgments that the substances are generally recognized as safe (GRAS).

	2-(4-Methylphenoxy)-N-(1H-pyrazol-3-yl)-N-(thiophen-2-ylmethyl)acetamide	Ethyl-2-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)acetate	Ginger Mint Oil (Mentha x gracilis)	Palmitoylated Green Tea Extract Catechins	2-(5-Isopropyl-2-methyltetrahydrothiophen-2-yl)ethanol	Glucosylated Rubus extract, 60% glucosylated rubusoside glycosides	Sandalwood Austrocaledonicum oil	Sugar Cane Distillate*
CATEGORY	4809	4810	4811	4812	4813	4814	4815	4816
BAKED GOODS		25/200		100/200	0.2/2	30/150	5/10	0.01(2250)/0.01(2250)*
BEVERAGES, NON-ALCOHOLIC	1/3	10/75			0.05/2	30/150	1/2	
BEVERAGES, ALCOHOLIC	2/6	10/75			0.1/2	30/150	0.5/1	
BREAKFAST CEREALS				50/75	0.2/2	30/150		
CHEESES								
CHEWING GUM	75/150	100/500	4000/8000		0.5/5	30/150	3/3	
CONDIMENTS AND RELISHES		25/200		100/200				
CONFECTIONS AND FROSTINGS	5/15		250/1000	50/100	0.5/5	30/150		0.01(2250)/0.01(2250)*
EGG PRODUCTS					0.1/2			
FATS AND OILS	1/3			200/500	0.2/5			
FISH PRODUCTS				250/300				
FROZEN DAIRY	1/3	25/100	250/1000		0.1/2	50/150	3/4	
FRUIT ICES	1/3	25/100			0.05/2	50/150		
GELATINS AND PUDDINGS	1/3				0.2/5	30/150	0.3/1	
GRANULATED SUGAR								
GRAVIES					0.2/5			
HARD CANDY	5/15	25/100	2500/5000		0.5/5		90/90	
IMITATION DAIRY	1/3				0.2/5			
INSTANT COFFEE AND TEA	1/3	10/75			0.2/5	30/150		
JAMS AND JELLIES					0.2/5			
MEAT PRODUCTS		25/100		250/300				
MILK PRODUCTS	1/3	10/100			0.1/5	50/150		
NUT PRODUCTS				50/100				
OTHER GRAINS				150/300				
POULTRY				250/300				
PROCESSED FRUITS						30/150		
PROCESSED VEGETABLES								
RECONSTITUTED VEGETABLES								
SEASONINGS AND FLAVORS		50/300			0.1/2			
SNACK FOODS		25/200		100/200	0.1/2	30/150		0.01(2250)/0.01(2250)*
SOFT CANDY	5/15		2500/5000	50/100	0.2/5	30/150	5/10	
SOUPS	1/3	25/100		100/200	0.2/5	30/150		
SUGAR SUBSTITUTES						30/150		
SWEET SAUCES	5/15					30/150		0.01(2250)/0.01(2250)*

*Figures in parentheses represent the amount of diluted aqueous Sugar Cane Distillate in the commercial product as used in food.

TABLE 3: Updated Average Usual Use Levels/Average Maximum Use Levels

Average usual use levels (ppm)/average maximum use levels (ppm) for flavoring substances previously recognized as FEMA GRAS. Superscript 'a' represents a new use level.

	Potassium cinnamate	Quillia extract	Glycine	N-(heptan-4-yl)benzod[e][1,3]dioxole-5-carboxamide	3-[(4-Amino-2,2-dioxido-1H-2,1,3-benzothiazin-5-yl)oxy]-2,2-dimethyl-N-propylpropanamide	Glutamyl-valyl-glycine	Luo Han Fruit Concentrate
FEMA NO.	2288	2973	3287	4232	4701	4709	4711
GRAS PUBLICATION	3	3	4	22	25	25	25
CATEGORY							
BAKED GOODS	233/384	24/30	50/150	1/2	10/22	15/30	40/60
BEVERAGES, NON-ALCOHOLIC	300/400	91.5/103	250/1000	2/5	0/0	20/50	40/60
BEVERAGES, ALCOHOLIC	570/712	90/100		2 ^a /5 ^a	5 ^a /22 ^a		40/60
BREAKFAST CEREALS		15 ^a /75 ^a			15/22	80/160	40/80
CHEESES		15 ^a /75 ^a		1/3		20/50	
CHEWING GUM	224 ^a /300	7.5 ^a /30 ^a			30/300	10 ^a /30 ^a	200 ^a /400 ^a
CONDIMENTS AND RELISHES		15 ^a /75 ^a	150/3000 ^a	2/4	3/22	30/60	5/40
CONFECTIONS AND FROSTINGS		7.5 ^a /30 ^a			10/22		40/80
EGG PRODUCTS		7.5 ^a /30 ^a		2 ^a /5 ^a		15/45	
FATS AND OILS	746 ^a /1000 ^a			2/4		30/60	
FISH PRODUCTS		7.5 ^a /30 ^a		1/3		15/45	
FROZEN DAIRY	192/263	15 ^a /75 ^a			5/22	20/50	5/80
FRUIT ICES		7.5 ^a /30 ^a			5/22	20/50	5/40
GELATINS AND PUDDINGS	459 ^a /500 ^a	7.5 ^a /30 ^a			5/22		40/80
GRANULATED SUGAR							
GRAVIES	746 ^a /1000 ^a	7.5 ^a /30 ^a	150/4000 ^a	2/4		30/60	5/40
HARD CANDY	0.01/0.01	18/30 ^a	25/150		15/75		40/80
IMITATION DAIRY		7.5 ^a /30 ^a	50/150			20/50	5/40
INSTANT COFFEE AND TEA	224 ^a /300 ^a	1.5 ^a /30 ^a	150/150			10/30	
JAMS AND JELLIES	373 ^a /500 ^a	7.5 ^a /30 ^a			10/22		10/40
MEAT PRODUCTS		7.5 ^a /75 ^a		1/3		15/45	
MILK PRODUCTS		1.5 ^a /30 ^a			3/22	15/45	40/80
NUT PRODUCTS		30 ^a /120 ^a		2 ^a /5 ^a			5/40
OTHER GRAINS		7.5 ^a /30 ^a					
POULTRY		15 ^a /75 ^a		1/3		15/45	
PROCESSED FRUITS	37 ^a /50 ^a	1.5 ^a /30 ^a					5/40
PROCESSED VEGETABLES		7.5 ^a /30 ^a		1/3		15/45	
RECONSTITUTED VEGETABLES		7.5 ^a /30 ^a		2 ^a /5 ^a		15/45	
SEASONINGS AND FLAVORS		15 ^a /75 ^a		5/10		80/160	5/40
SNACK FOODS		15 ^a /75 ^a		5/10		80/160	5/40
SOFT CANDY	249/356	16 ^a /30 ^a	25/150		15/75		40/80
SOUPS		15 ^a /75 ^a	150/6000 ^a	2/4		20/50	
SUGAR SUBSTITUTES	746 ^a /1000 ^a	7.5 ^a /30 ^a				80/160	
SWEET SAUCES	746 ^a /1000 ^a	15 ^a /75 ^a			10/22	30/60	5/40

Supplementary Information 1: Identity for Natural Flavor Complexes as Evaluated by the Expert Panel

FEMA NO.	FEMA PRIMARY NAME	THE IDENTITY DESCRIPTION AS REVIEWED BY THE FEMA EXPERT PANEL
4796	Steviol glycoside extract, <i>Stevia rebaudiana</i> , Rebaudioside C 30%	Total steviol glycosides >95%, including 28-33% rebaudioside C, 17-23% rebaudioside A, 10-15% stevioside, 25-36% other steviol glycosides (including rebaudiosides B, D, E and F, steviolbioside, rubusoside and dulcoside A).
4800	Glucosylated <i>Rubus suavissimus</i> extract 20-30% glucosylated rubusoside glycosides	20-30% Multiply-glucosylated rubusoside glycosides; 25% glycerol; up to 10% other carbohydrates; no more than 25% water.
4801	Olive fruit extract	65-67% Phenolic derivatives (primarily measured as hydroxytyrosol and tyrosol); 9-10% saturated alicyclic primary alcohols, aldehydes, acids and related esters; the intended condition of use is as a mixture of 12% olive fruit extract and 88% maltodextrin.
4805	Steviol glycoside extract, <i>Stevia rebaudiana</i> , Rebaudioside A 22%	Total principal steviol glycosides 60-63%, including 18-22% rebaudioside A, 5-8% stevioside, 8-14% rebaudioside D; rebaudiosides B, C, E, F, N, O, M, steviolbioside, rubusoside, and dulcoside A individually present at concentrations up to 6%. Additional steviol glycosides, 36-42%.
4806	Steviol glycoside extract, <i>Stevia rebaudiana</i> , Rebaudioside C 22%	Total principal steviol glycosides 56-59%, including 13-22% rebaudioside C, 13-18% rebaudioside A, 5-8% stevioside; rebaudiosides B, D, E, F, N, O, and M, steviolbioside, rubusoside and dulcoside A individually present at concentrations up to 4%. Additional steviol glycosides, 38-45%.
4811	Ginger Mint Oil (<i>Mentha x gracilis</i>)	43-65% Aliphatic tertiary alcohols, typically measured as linalool; 23-48% aliphatic and aromatic hydrocarbons; 1-4% alicyclic monoterpene secondary alcohols and ketones, typically measured as l-menthone or l-menthol.
4812	Palmitoylated Green Tea Extract Catechins	74-86% Catechin mono-, di- and tri-palmitate esters derived from green tea; 14-15% palmitic acid; no individual free catechin present at concentrations above 1%.
4814	Glucosylated <i>Rubus suavissimus</i> extract 60% glucosylated rubusoside glycosides	60-70% Multiply-glucosylated rubusoside glycosides; 7-8% rubusoside; 20-30% dextrans.
4815	Sandalwood austrocaledonicum oil	80-85% Alicyclic primary alcohols, aldehydes and acids, typically measured as santalol derivatives; 4-5% aliphatic and aromatic hydrocarbons; 2-4% aliphatic and aromatic tertiary alcohols; 0.5-1.5% aliphatic and aromatic ethers.
4816	Sugar Cane Distillate	Aqueous solution of 0.0005% sugar cane distillate of which the major marker constituents are phenyl-substituted secondary ketones alcohols and related esters, primarily measured as beta-damascenone and acetophenone.

Supplementary Information 2. Key Findings of the FEMA Expert Panel Safety Evaluations for GRAS 27

Since its initial publication of GRAS determinations for flavor ingredients (Hall and Oser, 1965), the FEMA Expert Panel has consistently made available to the public information on its determinations, including the conditions of intended use for individual flavor ingredients, and the scientific basis and information supporting these determinations. Included herein are the key findings for each of the GRAS determinations included within GRAS 27.¹ Comprehensive monographs of the information relevant to the evaluations are also published as part of the FEMA Expert Panel's ongoing GRAS re-evaluation program (see Hallagan and Hall, 2009). For more information on the FEMA GRAS program, please see "[About the FEMA GRAS™ Program](#)" on femaflavor.org.

The Panel reviewed the GRAS application and supporting information regarding (±)-2-mercapto-5-methylheptan-4-one (CAS 1416051-88-1) and concluded that it is GRAS (FEMA 4779) for use as a flavor in the food categories and at the use levels specified in the GRAS application (see Table 2; Smith et al., 2005a). This substance was evaluated individually within the context of the chemical group of simple aliphatic and aromatic thiols and sulfides (SLR B5, JECFA 2000, 2004, 2008, 2011, 2012). The Panel noted that based on an anticipated annual volume of use of 0.5 kg, the *per capita* intake ("eaters only") of (±)-2-mercapto-5-methylheptan-4-one from use as a flavor ingredient is calculated to be 0.07 µg/person/day (0.001 µg/kg bw/day), which is significantly below the threshold of toxicological concern for structural class I (1800 µg/person/day) (Munro et al., 1996). The substance naturally occurs in hazelnut, but only qualitative data are available and, thus, no consumption ratio can be calculated. The Panel considered the specification of the material to be adequately characterized by the purity assay and supporting spectral data provided for FEMA GRAS evaluation. Based on the functional groups present, the substance is anticipated to be metabolized primarily by reductive metabolism of the ketone moiety and/or oxidation of a terminal methyl group to an alcohol, followed by glucuronic acid conjugate formation and excretion in the urine (Parkinson, 1996). Based on the lack of structural alerts of the substance and the identity and arrangement of functional groups therein, the Panel identified no specific concerns related to the potential genotoxicity of the substance. A No-Observed-Adverse-Effect Level (NOAEL) of 1.89 mg/kg bw/day in a 12-week toxicity study of male and female rats for the structural analog 3-mercapto-2-pentanone is more than 1,500,000 times greater than the anticipated daily *per capita* intake of (±)-2-mercapto-5-methylheptan-4-one from its intended use as a flavor ingredient in food (Morgareidge, 1971).

The Panel reviewed the GRAS application and supporting information regarding caryophylla-3(4),8-dien-5-ol (CAS 34298-31-2; 38284-26-3) and concluded that it is GRAS (FEMA 4780) for use as a flavor in the food categories and at the use levels specified in the GRAS application (see Table 2; Smith et al., 2005a). This substance was evaluated individually within the context of the chemical group of alicyclic ketones, secondary alcohols and related esters (SLR A5; JECFA 2004). The Panel noted that based on the anticipated annual volume of use (50 kg) the *per capita* intake ("eaters only") of caryophylla-3(4),8-dien-5-ol from

use as a flavor ingredient is calculated to be 7 µg/person/day (0.1 µg/kg bw/day), which is below the threshold of toxicological concern for structural class I (1800 µg/person/day) (Munro et al., 1996). The substance naturally occurs in clary sage, clove bud, pepper and scotch spearmint oil, but only qualitative data is available and, thus, no consumption ratio can be calculated (Nijssen et al. 2015). The Panel considered the specification of the material to be adequately characterized by the purity assay and supporting spectral data provided for FEMA GRAS evaluation. The substance is anticipated to be metabolized in a manner analogous to that of other terpene alcohols: this is expected to involve either conjugation of the secondary alcohol with glucuronic acid or sulfate followed by excretion in the urine, or epoxidation of the exo-alkene moieties followed by ring opening to yield polyols that are excreted in the urine unchanged or after glucuronic acid or glutathione conjugation. Evidence of genotoxicity was not observed in an Ames assay with *Salmonella typhimurium* strains TA98, TA100, TA1535, TA1537 and TA1538 in the presence and absence of metabolic activation for the structural analog *beta*-caryophyllene epoxide (Richold et al., 1979). Based on the data, and also the structure of the substance and the identity and arrangement of functional groups therein, the Panel did not identify specific concerns related to the potential genotoxicity of caryophylla-3(4),8-dien-5-ol. A NOAEL of 109 mg/kg bw/day for male rats and 137 mg/kg bw/day for female rats in a 90-day oral feeding study of the structural analog *beta*-caryophyllene oxide (FEMA 4085) is more than 900,000 times the anticipated daily *per capita* intake of caryophylla-3(4),8-dien-5-ol from use as a flavor ingredient (Bauter, 2012; Capen et al., 1999).

The Panel reviewed the GRAS application and supporting information regarding L-cysteine methyl ester hydrochloride (CAS 18598-63-5) and concluded that it is GRAS (FEMA 4781) for use as a flavor in the food categories and at the use levels specified in the GRAS application (see Table 2; Smith et al., 2005a). This substance was evaluated within the context of the chemical group of amino acids and related substances (SLR B3; JECFA 2006, 2012). The Panel noted that based on the anticipated annual volume of use (100 kg) the *per capita* intake ("eaters only") of L-cysteine methyl ester hydrochloride from use as a flavor ingredient is calculated to be 15 µg/person/day (0.3 µg/kg bw/day), which is significantly below the threshold of toxicological concern for structural class I (1800 µg/person/day) (Munro et al., 1996). The substance has not been reported to occur naturally. The Panel considered the specification of the material to be adequately characterized by the purity assay and supporting spectral data provided for FEMA GRAS evaluation. By analogy to other amino acid esters, the substance is anticipated to be metabolized primarily by hydrolysis to the corresponding acid, L-cysteine, and enter the amino acid pool. Oxidation to methanol and resulting formaldehyde formation was not concluded to be significant. Excretion would occur mainly in the urine (Hosokawa 2008; Fukami and Yokoi 2012; Nelson and Cox, 2008). L-Cysteine showed some increase in human lymphocyte sister chromatid exchange, but the results not dependent upon dose and were considered by the Panel to be due to metabolic impact of administration of high doses of an amino acid rather than genotoxic potential. The Panel noted that this result may also be due to peroxide formation

¹ These key findings are subject to change pending additional information

from trace metals, which is a common occurrence for amino acids and is not considered to be biologically relevant to humans. L-Cysteine did not induce chromosomal aberrations in V79 Chinese hamster fibroblasts at concentrations up to 121 µg/ml. Based on the negative CHO cell chromosomal aberration assays and the understanding of the role of metabolic impact on genotoxicity assays for L-cysteine, the Panel did not identify specific concerns related to the potential genotoxicity of L-cysteine methyl ester hydrochloride (Xing and Na, 1996; Speit et al., 1980; Natarajan and van Kesteren-van Leeuwen, 1981; Stich et al., 1981; Tavares et al., 1998). In a 6-month male and female rat oral gavage study, the Panel concluded that the appropriate NOAEL was 100 mg/kg bw/day based on adaptive effects at higher doses. This NOAEL is more than 400,000 times the anticipated daily *per capita* intake of L-cysteine methyl ester hydrochloride from use as a flavor ingredient (Takasaki et al., 1973).

The Panel reviewed the GRAS application and supporting information regarding 2(3)-hexanethiol (CAS 1679-06-7/1633-90-5) and concluded that it is GRAS (FEMA 4782) for use as a flavor in the food categories at the new use levels specified in the GRAS application (See Table 2; Smith et al., 2005a). This substance was evaluated within the context of the chemical group of simple aliphatic and aromatic sulfides and thiols (SLR A8; JECFA 2000, 2004, 2008, 2011, 2012). The Panel noted that based on the anticipated annual volume of 0.5 kg, the *per capita* intake ("eaters only") of 2(3)-hexanethiol from use as a flavor ingredient is calculated to be 0.07 µg/person/day (0.001 µg/kg bw/day), which is below the threshold of toxicological concern for structural class I (1800 µg/person/day) (Munro et al., 1996). The substance naturally occurs in white truffles, but no quantitative data is available to calculate a consumption ratio (Nijssen et al., 2015). The Panel considered the specification of the material to be adequately characterized by the purity assay and supporting spectral data provided for FEMA GRAS evaluation. Based on analogy to other aliphatic thiols, the substance is anticipated to undergo S-methylation to yield the corresponding methyl sulfide that is then oxidized to the sulfoxide and subsequently the sulfone that is excreted unchanged in the urine. Additionally, omega-oxidation of the terminal methyl groups could occur leading to *beta*-oxidation. Based on the structure of the substance the Panel did not identify specific concerns related to the potential genotoxicity of 2(3)-hexanethiol. A NOAEL of 0.56 mg/kg bw/day in a 90-day male and female rat dietary study for the structural analog cyclopentanethiol (FEMA 3262) is conservatively 450,000 times higher than the anticipated daily *per capita* intake of 2(3)-hexanethiol from use as a flavor ingredient (Moran et al., 1980; Morgareidge, 1970; Collinson, 1989; Fairchild and Atokinger, 1958).

The Panel reviewed the GRAS application and supporting information regarding the mixture of 1-vinyl-3-cyclohexenecarbaldehyde and 4-vinyl-1-cyclohexenecarbaldehyde (CAS 1049017-63-1 and 1049017-68-6, respectively) and concluded that it is GRAS (FEMA 4783) for use as a flavor in the food categories at the use levels specified in the GRAS application (See Table 2; Smith et al., 2005a). This mixture was evaluated individually in the context of the chemical group of alicyclic primary alcohols, aldehydes, acids and related esters (SLR A5; JECFA, 2002, 2011). The Panel noted that based on the anticipated volume of 100 kg, the *per capita* intake ("eaters

only") of the mixture of 1-vinyl-3-cyclohexenecarbaldehyde and 4-vinyl-1-cyclohexenecarbaldehyde from use as a flavor ingredient is calculated to be 15 µg/person/day (0.3 µg/kg bw/day), which is significantly below the threshold of toxicological concern for structural class I (1800 µg/person/day) (Munro et al., 1996). The mixture has not been reported to occur naturally. The Panel considered the specification of the material to be adequately characterized by the purity assay and supporting spectral data provided for FEMA GRAS evaluation. By analogy to other alicyclic aldehydes, the substance is expected to be metabolized by oxidation to the corresponding acid and excreted in the urine either unchanged or after conjugation with glucuronic acid or glycine (Ishida et al., 1989). Evidence of mutagenicity was not observed in an Ames assay with *S. typhimurium* strains TA98, TA100, TA1535 and TA1537 or *Escherichia coli* WP2uvrA following treatment with the mixture of 1-vinyl-3-cyclohexenecarbaldehyde and 4-vinyl-1-cyclohexenecarbaldehyde in the presence or absence of metabolic activation. Statistically significant increases in chromosomal aberrations of Chinese Hamster V79 cells resulted from administration of the mixture of 1-vinyl-3-cyclohexenecarbaldehyde and 4-vinyl-1-cyclohexenecarbaldehyde in the absence or presence of metabolic activation, but in a mouse bone marrow micronucleus assay the number of polychromatic erythrocytes was not increased relative to the vehicle control. The extensive genotoxicity data for the structural analog, *p*-mentha-1,8-dien-7-al (FEMA 3557), was also reviewed and the Panel considered that the weight of evidence for this substance indicated no genotoxic potential. Based on weight of evidence for the substance and the structural analog, including an overall negative genotoxicity profile *in vivo*, the Panel did not identify specific concerns related to the potential genotoxicity of the mixture of 1-vinyl-3-cyclohexenecarbaldehyde and 4-vinyl-1-cyclohexenecarbaldehyde (Sokolowski, 2011; Hall, 2011a; Hall, 2011b; Bowen, 2011; Lloyd, 2009; Lloyd, 2012; Fujita et al. 1994; Ishidate et al. 1984; Yoo, 1986; Kuroda et al., 1984; Eder et al., 1993). A NOAEL of 120 mg/kg bw/day in a 90-day male and female rat oral gavage study for the structural analog, perillyl alcohol (FEMA 2664), is 480,000 times higher than the anticipated daily *per capita* intake of the mixture of 1-vinyl-3-cyclohexenecarbaldehyde and 4-vinyl-1-cyclohexenecarbaldehyde from use as a flavor ingredient (Crowell, 1997; Belanger, 1998; NCI, 1996; Stark et al., 1995; Burke et al., 1997; FDA, 1993; Mills et al., 1995; Reddy et al., 1997; Maltzman et al., 1991; Broitman et al., 1996; Belanger, 1998; Haag & Gould, 1994).

The Panel reviewed the GRAS application and supporting information regarding (±)-4-hydroxy-6-methyl-2-heptanone (CAS 57548-36-4) and concluded that it is GRAS (FEMA 4784) for use as a flavor ingredient in the food categories at the use levels specified in the GRAS application (See Table 2; Smith et al., 2005a). This substance was evaluated individually within the context of the chemical group of diketones, hydroxyketones and simple derivatives (SLR B1E; JECFA 1999, 2011) The Panel noted that based on the anticipated annual volume of use (1 kg), the *per capita* intake ("eaters only") of (±)-4-hydroxy-6-methyl-2-heptanone from use as a flavor ingredient is calculated to be 0.2 µg/person/day (0.003 µg/kg bw/day), which is significantly below the threshold of toxicological concern for structural class I (1800 µg/person/day) (Munro et al., 1996). The material was not reported to occur naturally in food. The Panel considered the specification of

the material to be adequately characterized by the purity assay and supporting spectral data provided for FEMA GRAS evaluation. Based on analogy with related compounds, it is anticipated that (±)-4-hydroxy-6-methyl-2-heptanone would be metabolized along several pathways, namely (a) conjugation with glucuronic acid and/or sulfate followed by excretion; (b) reduction of the keto function followed by conjugation and excretion; and (c) *omega*-oxidation of carbon centers to the corresponding alcohol and carboxylic acid. No increases in the number of reverse mutations were observed in an Ames assay for the structural analog acetoin in *S. typhimurium* strains TA98, TA100 and TA102 in either the absence or presence of metabolic activation, and a separate Ames assay for acetoin in *S. typhimurium* strains TA97, TA98, TA100 and TA1535 also produced negative results in either the absence or presence of metabolic activation. The structurally related substance acetoin was weakly mutagenic, with effects less than two-fold of the control values, at a single dose in *S. typhimurium* strain TA100 without metabolic activation. No increased incidences in micronuclei were observed in peripheral blood erythrocytes when acetoin was administered to male and female Han Wistar rats and male and female B6C3F1 mice in a 13-week inhalation exposure study at concentrations up to 800 ppm. Based on a weight of evidence consideration for the structural analog, acetoin, and also based on the structure of the substance and the identity and arrangement of functional groups therein, the Panel did not identify specific concerns related to the potential genotoxicity of (±)-4-hydroxy-6-methyl-2-heptanone (Aeschbacher et al., 1989; NTP, 2013a,b,c). A 13-week male and female CFE rat drinking water study resulted in a NOEL of 330 mg/kg bw/day for the conservative structural analog acetoin (FEMA 2008). This is >100,000,000 times higher the anticipated daily *per capita* intake of (±)-4-hydroxy-6-methyl-2-heptanone from use as a flavor ingredient. (Gaunt et al., 1972, FDA, 1993).

The Panel reviewed the GRAS application and supporting information regarding 2-octyl-2-dodecenal (CAS 25234-33-7) and concluded that it is GRAS (FEMA 4785) for use as a flavor ingredient in the food categories at the use levels specified in the GRAS application (See Table 2; Smith et al., 2005a). This substance was evaluated individually within the context of the chemical group of aliphatic branched-chain saturated and unsaturated alcohols, aldehydes, acids and related esters (SLR M1; JECFA 2004, 2009). The Panel noted that based on the anticipated annual volume of use (3 kg), the *per capita* intake ("eaters only") of 2-octyl-2-dodecenal from use as a flavor ingredient is calculated to be 0.4 µg/person/day (0.007 µg/kg bw/day), which is below the threshold of toxicological concern for structural class II (540 µg/person/day) (Munro et al., 1996). The material was not reported to occur naturally in food. The Panel considered the specification of the material to be adequately characterized by the purity assay and supporting spectral data provided for FEMA GRAS evaluation. Based on the metabolic behavior of *alpha,beta*-unsaturated aldehydes, it is anticipated that 2-octyl-2-dodecenal would be metabolized along several pathways, namely (a) oxidation of the aldehyde function to the carboxylic acid and (b) a series of *beta*-oxidations to the corresponding carboxylic acid and (c) *omega*-oxidation to the corresponding alcohol and carboxylic acid. The carboxylic acid metabolite might be expected to be conjugated with glucuronic acid and glycine (Deuel, 1957; Weiner, 1980; Blair and Bodley, 1969; Kassahun et al., 1991).

A number of studies for the structural analog *trans*-2-hexenal were reviewed, including *in vitro* and *in vivo* genotoxicity studies. Based on the overall weight of evidence for *trans*-2-hexenal, and also based on the structure of the substance and the identity and arrangement of the functional groups therein, the Panel did not identify specific concerns related to the potential genotoxicity of 2-octyl-2-dodecenal (Kirby et al., 1983; Zeiger et al., 1985; Agarwal et al., 1985; Seed, 1982; Divincenzo et al., 1985; Tomita et al., 1982; Phillips et al., 1982; Hodgson et al., 1982; Putman et al., 1983; Eder et al., 1992, 1993; Marnett et al., 1985; Dittberner et al., 1995; Canonero et al., 1990; Griffin and Segall, 1986; NTP, 1997, 2001, 2003; Banerjee and Giri, 1986; Mukherjee et al., 1988; Oda, 1979; Kuroda et al., 1984; Yoo, 1986; Ishidate et al., 1984). Other structural analogs, 2-ethyl-1-hexanol (FEMA 3151) and citral (FEMA 2303) were also reviewed (Astill, 1996a,b; Astill, 1993; Rhodes et al., 1984; Lake et al., 1975; Narotsky et al., 1994; Dieter et al., 1993; Hagan et al., 1967; NTP, 2001; Geldof et al., 1992; Hoberman et al., 1989; Vollmuth et al., 1990; Nogueira et al., 1994; Gaworski et al., 1992; Gray and Beaman, 1984; Sjoberg et al., 1986; NTP, 1991; Hardin et al., 1987; EPA, 1991; Ritter et al., 1987; Tyl et al., 1992). A 13-week dietary study for the structural analog *trans*-2-hexenal (FEMA 2560) in male and female CFW rats resulted in a NOEL of 80 mg/kg bw/day. This NOEL is at least 10,000,000 times the anticipated daily *per capita* intake of 2-octyl-2-dodecenal from use as a flavor ingredient (Gaunt et al., 1971).

The Panel reviewed the GRAS application and supporting information regarding 2-hexyl-2-decenal (CAS 13893-39-5) and concluded that it is GRAS (FEMA 4786) for use as a flavor ingredient in the food categories at the use levels specified in the GRAS application (See Table 2; Smith et al., 2005a). This substance was evaluated individually within the context of the chemical group of aliphatic branched-chain saturated and unsaturated alcohols, aldehydes, acids and related esters (SLR M1; JECFA 2004, 2009). The Panel noted that based on the anticipated annual volume of use (5 kg), the *per capita* intake ("eaters only") of 2-hexyl-2-decenal from use as a flavor ingredient is calculated to be 0.7 µg/person/day (0.01 µg/kg bw/day), which is below the threshold of toxicological concern for structural class II (540 µg/person/day) (Munro et al., 1996). The material was not reported to occur naturally in food. The Panel considered the specification of the material to be adequately characterized by the purity assay and supporting spectral data provided for FEMA GRAS evaluation. Based on the metabolic behavior of *alpha,beta*-unsaturated aldehydes, it is anticipated that 2-hexyl-2-decenal would be metabolized along several pathways, namely (a) oxidation of the aldehyde function to the carboxylic acid and (b) a series of *beta*-oxidations to the corresponding carboxylic acid and (c) *omega*-oxidation to the corresponding alcohol and carboxylic acid. The carboxylic acid metabolite might be expected to be conjugated with glucuronic acid and glycine (Deuel, 1957; Weiner, 1980; Blair and Bodley, 1969; Kassahun et al., 1991). A number of studies for the structural analog *trans*-2-hexenal were reviewed, including *in vitro* and *in vivo* genotoxicity studies. Based on the overall weight of evidence for *trans*-2-hexenal, and also based on the structure of the substance and the identity and arrangement of the functional groups therein, the Panel did not identify specific concerns related to the potential genotoxicity of 2-hexyl-2-decenal. (Kirby et al., 1983; Zeiger

et al., 1985; Agarwal et al., 1985; Seed, 1982; Divincenzo et al., 1985; Tomita et al., 1982; Phillips et al., 1982; Hodgson et al., 1982; Putman et al., 1983; Eder et al., 1992, 1993; Marnett et al., 1985; Dittbener et al., 1995; Canonero et al., 1990; Griffin and Segall, 1986; NTP, 1997, 2001, 2003; Banerjee and Giri, 1986; Mukherjee et al., 1988; Oda, 1979; Kuroda et al., 1984; Yoo, 1986; Ishidate et al., 1984). Other structural analogs, 2-ethyl-1-hexanol (FEMA 3151) and citral (FEMA 2303) were also reviewed (Astill, 1996a,b; Astill, 1993; Rhodes et al., 1984; Lake et al., 1975; Narotsky et al., 1994; Dieter et al., 1993; Hagan et al., 1967; NTP, 2001; Geldof et al., 1992; Hoberman et al., 1989; Vollmuth et al., 1990; Nogueira et al., 1994; Gaworski et al., 1992; Gray and Beaman, 1984; Sjoberg et al., 1986; NTP, 1991; Hardin et al., 1987; EPA, 1991; Ritter et al., 1987; Tyl et al., 1992). A 13-week dietary study for the structural analog *trans*-2-hexenal (FEMA 2560) in male and female CFW rats resulted in a NOAEL of 80 mg/kg bw/day. This NOAEL is at least 10,000,000 times the anticipated daily *per capita* intake of 2-hexyl-2-decanal from use as a flavor ingredient (Gaunt et al., 1971).

The Panel reviewed the GRAS application and supporting information regarding *trans*-6-octenal (CAS 63196-63-4) and concluded that it is GRAS (FEMA 4787) for use as a flavor ingredient in the food categories at the use levels specified in the GRAS application (See Table 2; Smith et al., 2005a). The substance was evaluated individually within the context of the chemical group of linear and branched-chain aliphatic, unsaturated, unconjugated alcohols, aldehydes, acids, and related esters (SLR M1, JECFA 1999, 2004, 2012). The Panel noted that based on the anticipated annual volume of use (0.1 kg), the *per capita* intake ("eaters only") of *trans*-6-octenal from use as a flavor ingredient is calculated to be 0.02 µg/person/day (0.0003 µg/kg bw/day), which is below the threshold of toxicological concern for structural class I (1800 µg/person/day) (Munro et al., 1996). The material was not reported to occur naturally in food. The Panel considered the specification of the material to be adequately characterized by the purity assay and supporting spectral data provided for FEMA GRAS evaluation. Based on the metabolism of unsaturated acyclic fatty acids, it is anticipated that *trans*-6-octenal will be oxidized and the resulting linear unsaturated medium chain carboxylic acid will participate in the fatty acid metabolism pathway to produce carbon dioxide and water (Nelson and Cox, 2008; Kawaguchi, 2012). No increases in the number of reverse mutations were observed in Ames assays for *trans*-6-octenal in *S. typhimurium* strains TA98 or TA100 in either the presence or absence of metabolic activation. Based on the available data, and also based on the structure of the substance and the identity and arrangement of functional groups therein, the Panel did not identify specific concerns related to the potential genotoxicity of *trans*-6-octenal. A 98-day male and female SPF CFE weanling rat drinking water study for the structural analog *cis*-3-hexenal (FEMA 2563) resulted in NOAELs of approximately 120-150 mg/kg bw/day. The lowest reported NOAEL for the structural analog is at least 480,000,000 times the anticipated daily *per capita* intake of *trans*-6-octenal from use as a flavor ingredient (Moreno, 1973; Gaunt et al., 1969; Newell et al., 1949).

The Panel reviewed the GRAS application and supporting information regarding (*E*)-3-benzo[1,3]dioxol-5-yl-*N,N*-diphenyl-2-propenamide (CAS 1309389-73-8) and concluded that it is GRAS (FEMA 4788) for use as a flavor

ingredient in the food categories at the use levels specified in the GRAS application (See Table 2; Smith et al., 2005a). The substance was evaluated individually within the context of the chemical group of aliphatic and aromatic amines and amides (SLR A7, C21, JECFA 2006, 2008, 2011, 2012). The Panel noted that based on the anticipated annual volume of use (100 kg), the *per capita* intake ("eaters only") of (*E*)-3-benzo[1,3]dioxol-5-yl-*N,N*-diphenyl-2-propenamide from use as a flavor ingredient is calculated to be 15 µg/person/day (0.3 µg/kg bw/day), which is below the threshold of toxicological concern for structural class III (90 µg/person/day) (Munro et al., 1996). The Panel considered the specification of the material to be adequately characterized by the purity assay and supporting spectral data provided for FEMA GRAS evaluation. Based on the metabolism of similar diphenyl amide compounds, it is anticipated that (*E*)-3-benzo[1,3]dioxol-5-yl-*N,N*-diphenyl-2-propenamide will undergo cytochrome P450-induced para-oxidation. Given the large number of carbon centers a number of possible polar acid and alcohol metabolites may result. These are expected to conjugate with glucuronide or sulfate and be excreted in the urine, or to a minor extent unchanged in the feces. Amide hydrolysis is not expected to be a favorable process *in vivo* given other metabolic options; therefore amide hydrolysis products are not expected to be major metabolites (Foster, 2009; Yuan, 2008; Bhat and Chandrasekhara, 1986a; Schwen, 1982). No increases in the number of reverse mutations were observed for (*E*)-3-benzo[1,3]dioxol-5-yl-*N,N*-diphenyl-2-propenamide in *S. typhimurium* strains TA98, TA100, TA1535 and TA1537 and *E. coli* WP2uvrA strain in either the presence or absence of metabolic activation. Based on the available data for the substance, and also based on the structure of the substance and the identity and arrangement of functional groups therein, the Panel did not identify specific concerns related to the potential genotoxicity of (*E*)-3-benzo[1,3]dioxol-5-yl-*N,N*-diphenyl-2-propenamide (Uhde, 2004). A male and female reproductive mouse study resulted in a NOAEL of 20 mg/kg bw/day for piperine. A 14-day male and female rat dietary study resulted in NOAELs of 1443 and 1381 mg/kg bw/day, respectively for (*E*)-3-benzo[1,3]dioxol-5-yl-*N,N*-diphenyl-2-propenamide. An 8-week male and female rat dietary study for black pepper oleoresin, containing the structural analog piperine, FEMA 2909, resulted in NOAELs equivalent to 20 mg/kg bw/day piperine. This is 80,000 times higher than the anticipated daily *per capita* intake of (*E*)-3-benzo[1,3]dioxol-5-yl-*N,N*-diphenyl-2-propenamide from use as a flavor ingredient (Piyachaturawat et al., 1983; Bhat and Chandrasekhara, 1986b; Platel and Srinivasan, 2000, 2001; Daware et al., 2000; Posternak et al., 1969).

The Panel reviewed the GRAS application and supporting information regarding 2,6-dimethyl-5-heptenol (CAS 4234-93-9) and concluded that it is GRAS (FEMA 4789) for use as a flavor ingredient in the food categories at the use levels specified in the GRAS application (See Table 2; Smith et al., 2005a). The substance was evaluated within the context of the chemical group of linear and branched-chain aliphatic, unsaturated, unconjugated alcohols, aldehydes, acids, and related esters (SLR M1, JECFA 1999, 2004, 2012). The Panel noted that based on the anticipated annual volume of use (5 kg), the *per capita* intake ("eaters only") of 2,6-dimethyl-5-heptenol from use as a flavor ingredient is calculated to be 0.7 µg/person/day (0.01 µg/kg bw/day), which is below the threshold of toxicological concern for structural class I (1800 µg/person/day) (Munro et al., 1996). The material was reported to occur naturally in *Litsea*

cubeba and *Passiflora quadrangularis*, also known as Badaea or giant passion fruit, but only qualitative data are available and thus no consumption ratio can be calculated (Nijssen et al., 2015). The Panel considered the specification of the material to be adequately characterized by the purity assay and supporting spectral data provided for FEMA GRAS evaluation. The main impurity is 2,6-dimethyl-5-heptenal (FEMA 2389) (Oser and Hall, 1965). Based on *alpha*-methyl-branched fatty acid alcohol derivatives, it is anticipated that 2,6-dimethyl-5-heptenol will undergo metabolism by oxidation to the corresponding aldehyde, 2,6-dimethyl-5-heptenal (FEMA 2389) and subsequent acid followed by excretion in the urine, or will undergo conjugation with glucuronic acid and excretion in the urine. No increases in the number of reverse mutations were observed in Ames assays for the structural analog and primary metabolite 2,6-dimethyl-5-heptenal in *S. typhimurium* strains TA98, TA100, TA1535, TA1537 and TA1538 in the presence and absence of metabolic activation. 2,6-Dimethyl-5-heptenal also gave negative results in a rat hepatocyte unscheduled DNA synthesis assay and in a mouse bone marrow micronucleus assay. Based on the lack of genotoxicity displayed for the structural analog, 2,6-dimethyl-5-heptenal, and also based on the structure of the substance and the identity and arrangement of the functional groups therein, the Panel did not identify specific concerns related to the potential genotoxicity of 2,6-dimethyl-5-heptenol (Heck et al., 1989; Wild et al., 1983; Oser, 1958; Oda, 1979; Sasaki et al., 1989; Kasamaki et al., 1982; Kono, et al., 1995; Yoo, 1986; Kuroda et al., 1984; Ishidate et al., 1984). A 90-day male and female rat dietary study for the primary metabolite, 2,6-dimethyl-5-heptenal (FEMA 2389), resulted in a NOAEL of 37 mg/kg bw/day which is 3,000,000 times the anticipated daily *per capita* intake of 2,6-dimethyl-5-heptenol from use as a flavor ingredient (Gaunt et al., 1983, NTP 2001; Dieter et al., 1993; Oser, 1958a; Hagan et al., 1967; NTP, 2000; Hoberman et al., 1989; Vollmuth et al., 1990; Nogueira et al., 1994; Gaworski et al., 1992).

The Panel reviewed the GRAS application and supporting information regarding (±)-bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene-2-carboxylic acid, ethyl ester (CAS 10138-32-6) and concluded that it is GRAS (FEMA 4790) for use as a flavor ingredient in the food categories at the use levels specified in the GRAS application (See Table 2; Smith et al., 2005a). The substance was evaluated individually within the context of the chemical group of alicyclic primary alcohols, aldehydes, acids and related esters (SLR A5, JECFA, 2002, 2011). The Panel noted that based on the anticipated annual volume of use (2 kg), the *per capita* intake ("eaters only") of (±)-bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene-2-carboxylic acid, ethyl ester from use as a flavor ingredient is calculated to be 0.3 µg/person/day (0.005 µg/kg bw/day), which is below the threshold of toxicological concern for structural class III (90 µg/person/day) (Munro et al., 1996). The material was not reported to occur naturally in food. The Panel considered the specification of the material to be adequately characterized by the purity assay and supporting spectral data provided for FEMA GRAS evaluation. (±)-Bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene-2-carboxylic acid, ethyl ester is expected to be hydrolyzed in humans (via carboxylesterases, which occur in most human tissues and predominately in hepatocytes) to the corresponding bicycloheptene carboxylic acid and ethanol. The resulting bicycloheptene carboxylic acid is expected to be conjugated and excreted in the urine as the corresponding glucuronic acid and glycine conjugates or as the corresponding

hippuric acids. Some of the carboxylic acid may also be excreted unchanged. No increases in the number of reverse mutations were observed in an Ames assay for (±)-bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene-2-carboxylic acid, ethyl ester in *S. typhimurium* strains TA98, TA100, TA1535, TA1537 and *E. coli* strain WP2uvrA in either the presence or absence of metabolic activation. (±)-Bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene-2-carboxylic acid, ethyl ester did induce chromosomal aberrations in human lymphocytes treated for 21 hours in the absence of metabolic activation, but it did not induce chromosomal aberrations in human lymphocytes treated for 3 hours with an 18 hour recovery period in either the presence or absence of metabolic activation. (±)-Bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene-2-carboxylic acid, ethyl ester did not increase mutant frequencies in mouse lymphoma L5178Y cells under any treatment conditions in either the presence or absence of metabolic activation. (±)-Bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene-2-carboxylic acid, ethyl ester did not produce biologically relevant increases in the frequency of micronucleated binucleate cells in either the presence or absence of metabolic activation in human lymphocytes under any treatment conditions. In an *in vivo* chromosomal aberration study in male ICR mice, no significant increases in the frequency of bone marrow cells with structural or numerical aberrations were observed. Based on weight of evidence from the available studies supplied, the Panel did not identify specific concerns related to the potential genotoxicity of (±)-bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene-2-carboxylic acid, ethyl ester (May, 2010; May 2012; Thompson, 2007; Akhurst, 1995; Pritchard, 2011). A 90-day oral gavage study in CFE male and female rats resulted in a NOAEL of 15 mg/kg bw/day (males) for the structural analog isobornyl acetate (FEMA 2160). This is >3,000,000 times the anticipated daily *per capita* intake of (±)-bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene-2-carboxylic acid, ethyl ester from use as a flavor ingredient (Gaunt et al., 1971; Lea, 1996).

The Panel reviewed the GRAS application and supporting information regarding 3-(acetylthio)hexanal (CAS 22236-44-8) and concluded that it is GRAS (FEMA 4791) for use as a flavor ingredient in the food categories at the use levels specified in the GRAS application (See Table 2; Smith et al., 2005a). This substance was evaluated individually within the context of the chemical group of simple aliphatic and aromatic thiols and sulfides (SLR B5C, JECFA 2000, 2004, 2008, 2011, 2012). The Panel noted that based on the anticipated annual volume of use (1 kg), the *per capita* intake ("eaters only") of 3-(acetylthio)hexanal from use as a flavor ingredient is calculated to be 0.2 µg/person/day (0.002 µg/kg bw/day), which is below the threshold of toxicological concern for structural class III (90 µg/person/day). The material was reported to occur in ciflorete (*Fragaria x ananassa*) strawberry, but only qualitative data are available and thus no consumption ratio can be calculated (Nijssen et al., 2015). The Panel considered the specification of the material to be adequately characterized by the purity assay and supporting spectral data provided for FEMA GRAS evaluation. 3-(Acetylthio)hexanal is anticipated to be metabolized along a number of pathways: oxidation of the aldehyde function to the carboxylic acid followed by excretion further unchanged or by conjugation with glycine and glucuronic acid and subsequent excretion; hydrolysis of the acetyl function to 3-thiohexanal which can be further metabolized by oxidation of the aldehyde group and the thiol function (by S-oxidation to the sulfoxide) and finally *omega*-oxidation of the terminal hexane function to the

primary alcohol and carboxylic acid and their conjugates. Thus, the metabolism of 3-(acetylthio)hexanal is anticipated to produce a array of metabolites that are expected to be cleared primarily in the urine (Diechmann and Gerarde, 1969). Based on the structure of the substance and the identity and arrangements of functional groups therein, the Panel did not identify specific concerns related to the potential genotoxicity of 3-(acetylthio)hexanal. A 90-day dietary toxicity study in male and female rats conducted at a single dose resulted in a NOEL of 6.48 mg/kg bw/day for the structural analog ethyl thioacetate (FEMA 3282). This is >2,500,000 times higher than the anticipated daily *per capita* intake of 3-(acetylthio)hexanal from use as a flavor ingredient (Shellenberger, 1970).

The Panel reviewed the GRAS application and supporting information regarding (±)-3-mercapto-1-pentanol (CAS 548740-99-4) and concluded that it is GRAS (FEMA 4792) for use as a flavor ingredient in the food categories at the use levels specified in the GRAS application (See Table 2; Smith et al., 2005a). This substance was evaluated individually within the context of the chemical group of simple aliphatic and aromatic thiols and sulfides (SLR B5A, JECFA 2000, 2004, 2008, 2011, 2012). The Panel noted that based on the anticipated annual volume of use (2 kg), the *per capita* intake ("eaters only") of (±)-3-mercapto-1-pentanol from use as a flavor ingredient is calculated to be 0.3 µg/person/day (0.005 µg/kg bw/day), which is below the threshold of toxicological concern for structural class I (1800 µg/person/day) (Munro et al., 1996). The material was reported to occur in beer, black tea, and wine, but only qualitative data are available and thus no consumption ratio can be calculated (Nijssen et al., 21015). The Panel considered the specification of the material to be adequately characterized by the purity assay and supporting spectral data provided for FEMA GRAS evaluation. The metabolism of (±)-3-mercapto-1-pentanol is anticipated to involve oxidation of the thiol to the unstable sulfenic acid (RSOH) with further oxidation to the corresponding sulfinic (RSO₂H) and sulfonic acids (RSO₃H). Additionally, methylation of the thiol to yield the corresponding sulfide, which is then oxidized to the sulfoxide and sulfone will occur. Other metabolic options include reaction with endogenous thiols to form mixed disulfides, and conjugation of the alcohol with glucuronic acid or sulfate. All oxidation and conjugation products would be anticipated to lead to excretion. No increases in the number of reverse mutations were observed in Ames assays for the structural analogs 3-mercapto-3-methylbutanol (FEMA 3854) and 3-mercapto-2-methyl-1-butanol (FEMA 3993) in *S. typhimurium* strains TA98, TA100, TA1535, TA1537, and TA1535, TA97, TA98, TA100, and TA102, respectively (Jones, 1990; Gocke, 1997). Based on the data for structural analogs, the structure of the substance and the identity and arrangements of functional groups therein, the Panel did not identify specific concerns related to the potential genotoxicity of (±)-3-mercapto-1-pentanol. A 90-day dietary study in male and female albino weanling rats resulted in a NOAEL of 0.705 mg/kg bw/day for the structural analog 2-mercapto-3-butanol (FEMA 3502) (Morgareidge, 1974). This is >140,000 times higher than the anticipated daily *per capita* intake of (±)-3-mercapto-1-pentanol from use as a flavor ingredient.

The Panel reviewed the GRAS application and supporting information regarding (3*R*,3*S*)-3-[[[(4-amino-2,2-dioxido-

1*H*-2,1,3-benzothiadiazin-5-yl)oxy]methyl]-*N*-cyclopentyl-2-oxo-3-piperidinecarboxamide (CAS 1446687-20-2) and concluded that it is GRAS (FEMA 4793) for use as a flavor ingredient in the food categories at the use levels specified in the GRAS application (See Table 2; Smith et al., 2005a). This substance was evaluated individually within the context of the chemical group of miscellaneous nitrogen-containing substances (SLR D19, JECFA 2006, 2009, 2012, 2015). The Panel noted that based on the anticipated annual volume of use (100 kg), the *per capita* intake ("eaters only") of (3*R*,3*S*)-3-[[[(4-amino-2,2-dioxido-1*H*-2,1,3-benzothiadiazin-5-yl)oxy]methyl]-*N*-cyclopentyl-2-oxo-3-piperidinecarboxamide from use as a flavor ingredient is calculated to be 15 µg/person/day (0.2 µg/kg bw/day), which is below the threshold of toxicological concern for structural class III (90 µg/person/day) (Munro et al., 1996). The Panel considered the specification of the material to be adequately characterized by the purity assay and supporting spectral data provided for FEMA GRAS evaluation. The Panel evaluated sensory data included within the application and found it satisfactory with regard to intended conditions of use for the flavoring ingredient (Harman and Hallagan, 2013). Studies on (3*R*,3*S*)-3-[[[(4-amino-2,2-dioxido-1*H*-2,1,3-benzothiadiazin-5-yl)oxy]methyl]-*N*-cyclopentyl-2-oxo-3-piperidinecarboxamide indicate low bioavailability. The metabolism of the structural analog, 3-[[[(4-amino-2,2-dioxido-1*H*-2,1,3-benzothiadiazin-5-yl)oxy]-2,2-dimethyl-*N*-propylpropanamide (FEMA 4701) was investigated in Sprague-Dawley rat and human liver microsomes, and the principal metabolites included hydroxylated. On this basis, (3*R*,3*S*)-3-[[[(4-amino-2,2-dioxido-1*H*-2,1,3-benzothiadiazin-5-yl)oxy]methyl]-*N*-cyclopentyl-2-oxo-3-piperidinecarboxamide is anticipated to primarily be excreted in the feces unchanged, or after hydroxylation (Arthur et al., 2015). No increases in reverse mutations were observed in an Ames assay when *S. typhimurium* strains TA98, TA100, TA1535 and TA1537 and *E. coli*WP2uvrA were incubated with (3*R*,3*S*)-3-[[[(4-amino-2,2-dioxido-1*H*-2,1,3-benzothiadiazin-5-yl)oxy]methyl]-*N*-cyclopentyl-2-oxo-3-piperidinecarboxamide at concentrations up to 5000 µg/plate in the presence and absence of metabolic activation. No evidence of mutagenic potential was identified for the structural analog 3-[[[(4-amino-2,2-dioxido-1*H*-2,1,3-benzothiadiazin-5-yl)oxy]-2,2-dimethyl-*N*-propylpropanamide (FEMA 4701) in an Ames assay in *S. typhimurium* strains TA98, TA100, TA1535, TA1537, and TA102 either in the presence or absence of metabolic activation, in a chromosomal aberration assay in Chinese hamster ovary WBL cells, and in a bone marrow micronucleus assay in male Swiss albino CD-1 mice. Based on the data for the substance and for structural analogs, the Panel did not identify specific concerns related to the potential genotoxicity of (3*R*,3*S*)-3-[[[(4-amino-2,2-dioxido-1*H*-2,1,3-benzothiadiazin-5-yl)oxy]methyl]-*N*-cyclopentyl-2-oxo-3-piperidinecarboxamide (Arthur et al., 2015). A 90-day dietary study in CrI:CD (SD) male and female rats resulted in a NOAEL of 20 mg/kg bw/day for the structural analog 3-[[[(4-amino-2,2-dioxido-1*H*-2,1,3-benzothiadiazin-5-yl)oxy]-2,2-dimethyl-*N*-propylpropanamide (FEMA 4701) (Arthur et al., 2015). This is >80,000 times higher than the anticipated daily *per capita* intake of (3*R*,3*S*)-3-[[[(4-amino-2,2-dioxido-1*H*-2,1,3-benzothiadiazin-5-yl)oxy]methyl]-*N*-cyclopentyl-2-oxo-3-piperidinecarboxamide from use as a flavor ingredient.

The Panel reviewed the GRAS application and supporting information regarding (\pm)-1-cyclohexylethanol (CAS 1193-81-3) and concluded that it is GRAS (FEMA 4794) for use as a flavor ingredient in the food categories at the use levels specified in the GRAS application (See Table 2; Smith et al., 2005a). This substance was evaluated individually within the context of the chemical group of saturated aliphatic acyclic secondary alcohols, ketones, and related saturated and unsaturated esters (SLR A1, JECFA 1999). The Panel noted that based on the anticipated annual volume of use (50 kg), the *per capita* intake ("eaters only") of (\pm)-1-cyclohexylethanol from use as a flavor ingredient is calculated to be 7 $\mu\text{g}/\text{person}/\text{day}$ (0.1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ bw/day), which is below the threshold of toxicological concern for structural class II (540 $\mu\text{g}/\text{person}/\text{day}$) (Munro et al., 1996). The Panel considered the specification of the material to be adequately characterized by the purity assay and supporting spectral data provided for FEMA GRAS evaluation. (\pm)-1-Cyclohexylethanol is an alicyclic secondary alcohol and is expected to be rapidly absorbed, metabolized and the metabolites cleared in the urine. The main pathways of metabolism are anticipated to be conjugation with glucuronic acid through the hydroxyl function and hydroxylation of the cyclohexyl group followed by conjugation with glucuronic acid (Williams, 1959). In both cases it would be anticipated that the conjugates would be excreted in the urine. No increases in reverse mutations were observed in an Ames assay for 1-cyclohexylethyl butyrate in *S. typhimurium* strains TA98, TA100, TA1535, TA1537, or TA1538 in either the presence or absence of metabolic activation. Based on the data for the structural analog, as well as on the structure of the substance and the identity and arrangements of functional groups therein, the Panel did not identify specific concerns related to the potential genotoxicity of (\pm)-1-cyclohexylethanol (Stevens, 1978b). A 28-day oral gavage study in Charles River CD rats resulted in a NOAEL of 3000 mg/kg bw/day for the structural analog 1-cyclohexylethyl butyrate (CAS 63449-88-7). This is >24,000,000 times higher than the anticipated daily *per capita* intake of (\pm)-1-cyclohexylethanol from use as a flavor ingredient (Stevens, 1978a).

The Panel reviewed the GRAS application and supporting information regarding (\pm)-8-methyldecanal (CAS 127793-88-8) and concluded that it is GRAS (FEMA 4795) for use as a flavor ingredient in the food categories at the use levels specified in the GRAS application (See Table 2; Smith et al., 2005a). This substance was evaluated individually within the context of the chemical group of saturated aliphatic acyclic branched-chain primary alcohols, aldehydes and acids (SLR M1; JECFA 1998). The Panel noted that based on the anticipated annual volume of use (5 kg), the *per capita* intake ("eaters only") of (\pm)-8-methyldecanal from use as a flavor ingredient is calculated to be 0.7 $\mu\text{g}/\text{person}/\text{day}$ (0.01 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ bw/day), which is below the threshold of toxicological concern for structural class I (1800 $\mu\text{g}/\text{person}/\text{day}$) (Munro et al., 1996). The material was reported to occur in lemongrass, citronella, orange, and many other oils, including rose oil, but only qualitative data are available and thus no consumption ratio can be calculated (Nijssen et al., 2015). The Panel considered the specification of the material to be adequately characterized by the purity assay and supporting spectral data provided for FEMA GRAS evaluation. (\pm)-8-Methyldecanal is anticipated to be metabolized in a manner consistent with detoxification of linear aliphatic acyclic aldehydes, which

involves absorption through the gastrointestinal tract, oxidation to the corresponding acid by NAD⁺-dependent aldehyde dehydrogenase, and complete metabolism to endogenous products via fatty acid oxidation, tricarboxylic acid pathways, or via reaction with glutathione and ultimate excretion in the urine (Walkenstein and Weinhouse, 1953; Dunster and Watson, 2012). No evidence of mutagenic potential was observed in multiple Ames assays for the structural analog 2-methylundecanal in *S. typhimurium* strains TA98, TA100, TA1535, TA1537, and TA102 in either the presence or absence of metabolic activation. Based on the data for the structural analog, as well as on the structure of the substance and the identity and arrangements of functional groups therein, the Panel did not identify specific concerns related to the potential genotoxicity of (\pm)-8-methyldecanal (Harnasch, 1999; Verspeek-Rip, 2002). A 12-week dietary study in male and female rats resulted in a NOAEL of 112 mg/kg bw/day for a mixture of six aldehydes, including the structural analog 2-methylundecanal (FEMA 2749) at 19.1 mg/kg bw/day. This is >1,500,000 times higher than the anticipated daily *per capita* intake of (\pm)-8-methyldecanal from use as a flavor ingredient (Trubek, 1958).

The Panel reviewed the GRAS application and supporting information regarding rebaudioside C 30% (CAS 63550-99-2) and concluded that it is GRAS (FEMA 4796) for use as a flavor ingredient in the food categories at the use levels specified in the GRAS application. This material was evaluated within the context of the procedure for the safety evaluation of natural flavor complexes (Smith et al., 2005b). The Panel noted that based on the anticipated annual volume of use (1000 kg), the *per capita* intake ("eaters only") of rebaudioside C 30% from use as a flavor ingredient is calculated to be 147 $\mu\text{g}/\text{person}/\text{day}$ (2 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ bw/day), which is above the threshold of toxicological concern for structural class III (90 $\mu\text{g}/\text{person}/\text{day}$) (Munro et al., 1996). The material occurs in the Stevia plant which is used as a tea, but a consumption ratio cannot be calculated. The Panel considered the identity description of the material to be adequate for FEMA GRAS evaluation. The Panel evaluated sensory data included within the application and found it satisfactory with regard to intended conditions of use for the flavoring ingredient (Harman and Hallagan, 2013). Metabolic studies with steviol glycosides in multiple species, including humans, have demonstrated that intact steviol glycosides are poorly absorbed after oral exposure but that they are hydrolyzed by the microflora in the gut to steviol. A large portion of this steviol is absorbed and is conjugated to steviol glucuronide, which undergoes enterohepatic circulation. The remaining steviol is excreted in the feces. No steviol epoxide, which may have genotoxic potential, was detected in human plasma (Carakostas et al., 2008; Nakayama et al., 1986; Koyama et al., 2003; Geuns, 2003; Kraemer and Maurer, 1994; Simonetti et al., 2004; Geuns et al., 2006, 2007; Hutapea et al., 1997). The genotoxicity of steviol glycosides has been thoroughly examined in a wide range of studies. While some positive results are reported in *in vitro* mutagenicity assays, *in vivo* studies do not provide evidence of genotoxic effects. Based on the results for various steviol glycosides, the Panel did not identify specific concerns related to the potential genotoxicity of rebaudioside C 30% (Brusick, 2008). No effects on testicular morphology or spermatogenesis were identified in a multi-generation reproductive study for dietary administration of rebaudioside A. No treatment-related effects of rebaudioside A were observed in either the

FO or F1 generations on reproductive performance parameters including mating performance, fertility, and gestation lengths in a two-generation reproductive study. (Curry et al., 2008; Yodyingyuad and Bunyawong, 1991; Carakostas et al., 2008). Multiple toxicity studies for various steviol glycosides have been conducted. The Panel noted that a 13-week dietary study in Fischer 344 rats for the structural analog stevioside (FEMA 4763) resulted in a NOAEL of 2500 mg/kg bw/day. In the 108-week carcinogenicity study for stevioside, no carcinogenic effects were observed, and a NOAEL of 970 mg/kg bw/day was set by the United Nations Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA). This NOAEL identified by JECFA is >390,000 times higher than the anticipated daily *per capita* intake of rebaudioside C 30% from use as a flavor ingredient (Carakostas et al., 2008; Aze et al., 1991; Toyoda et al., 1997; JECFA, 1999, 2006; Curry and Roberts, 2008; Maki et al., 2008).

The Panel reviewed the GRAS application and supporting information regarding (±)-naringenin (CAS 480-41-1) and concluded that it is GRAS (FEMA 4797) for use as a flavor ingredient in the food categories at the use levels specified in the GRAS application (See Table 2; Smith et al., 2005a). This substance was evaluated individually within the context of the chemical group of phenol and phenol derivatives (SLR C12; JECFA 2001, 2011, 2015). The Panel noted that based on the anticipated annual volume of use (5200 kg), the *per capita* intake ("eaters only") of (±)-naringenin from use as a flavor ingredient is calculated to be 766 µg/person/day (13 µg/kg bw/day), which is above the threshold of toxicological concern for structural class III (90 µg/person/day) (Munro et al., 1996). The material as reviewed by the Panel was reported to occur in grapefruit and the calculated consumption ratio is 33 annual *per capita*. The Panel considered the specification of the material to be adequately characterized by the purity assay and supporting spectral data provided for FEMA GRAS evaluation. The Panel evaluated sensory data included within the application and found it satisfactory with regard to intended conditions of use for the flavoring ingredient (Harman and Hallagan, 2013). The disposition of (±)-naringenin was examined in male Sprague-Dawley rats and the authors concluded that glucuronide conjugates were formed and excreted (El Mohsen et al., 2004; Hsiu et al., 2002; Yanez et al., 2008; Choudhury et al. 1999; Kanaze et al., 2007; Felgines et al., 2000). No increases in reverse mutations were observed in Ames assays in *S. typhimurium* strains TA100, TA98, TA1535, TA1537, TA1538 either in the presence or absence of metabolic activation for (±)-naringenin. Based on these results, as well as on the structure of the substance and the identity and arrangement of the functional groups therein, the Panel did not identify specific concerns related to the potential genotoxicity of (±)-naringenin (Batzinger, 1977; Bjeldanes & Chang, 1977; Brown & Dietrich, 1979; MacGregor and Jurd, 1978; Zeiger et al., 1987; Sahu et al., 1981). Multiple carcinogenicity studies have been conducted in rats for the very conservative, with respect to safety, structural analog quercetin. The Panel reviewed the results of these studies, and agreed with the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) conclusion that quercetin is not classifiable as a carcinogen to humans. A conservative NOAEL based on the lowest dose tested from the 2-year dietary study in F344/N rats was assigned at 40 mg/kg bw/day. This is >3,000 times higher than the anticipated daily *per capita* intake of (±)-naringenin from use as a flavor ingredient

(Ortiz-Andrade et al., 2008; Brown et al., 1977; Brown and Dietrich, 1979; Jurado et al., 1991; Lina et al., 1990; Booth et al., 1965; Booth, 1974; Gumbmann et al., 1978).

The Panel reviewed the GRAS application and supporting information regarding 2-(((3-(2,3-dimethoxyphenyl)-1*H*-1,2,4-triazol-5-yl)thio)methyl)pyridine (CAS 902136-79-2) and concluded that it is GRAS (FEMA 4798) for use as a flavor ingredient in the food categories at the use levels specified in the GRAS application (See Table 2; Smith et al., 2005a). This substance was evaluated individually within the context of the chemical group of miscellaneous nitrogen-containing substances (SLR D19, JECFA 2006, 2009, 2012, 2015). The Panel noted that based on the anticipated annual volume of use (2000 kg), the *per capita* intake ("eaters only") of 2-(((3-(2,3-dimethoxyphenyl)-1*H*-1,2,4-triazol-5-yl)thio)methyl)pyridine from use as a flavor ingredient is calculated to be 295 µg/person/day (5 µg/kg bw/day), which is above the threshold of toxicological concern for structural class III (90 µg/person/day) (Munro et al., 1996). The material was not reported to occur naturally in food. The Panel considered the specification of the material to be adequately characterized by the purity assay and supporting spectral data provided for FEMA GRAS evaluation. The Panel evaluated sensory data included within the application and found it satisfactory with regard to intended conditions of use for the flavoring ingredient (Harman and Hallagan, 2013). *In vitro* and *in vivo* metabolic profiling was conducted to explore the disposition of the substance. In the *in vivo* metabolism studies in rats multiple metabolic pathways were identified, including formation of a sulfoxide metabolite, a thioether oxidation product, a demethylation metabolite, and a phenyl hydroxylation product. Formation of a desmethyl, *O*-sulfate, and glucuronic acid conjugate were also observed (Bailey, 2012; Chi, 2012, 2013). 2-(((3-(2,3-Dimethoxyphenyl)-1*H*-1,2,4-triazol-5-yl)thio)methyl)pyridine did not increase reverse mutations in an Ames assay in *S. typhimurium* strains TA98, TA100, TA1535, TA1537, and *E. coli* strain WP2uvrA either in the presence or absence of metabolic activation. 2-(((3-(2,3-Dimethoxyphenyl)-1*H*-1,2,4-triazol-5-yl)thio)methyl)pyridine did not induce structural or numerical chromosomal aberrations in human peripheral blood lymphocytes in either the presence or absence of metabolic activation. The substance did not induce increases in the numbers of micronucleated binucleate Chinese hamster ovary cells in either the presence or absence of metabolic activation under any treatment condition. Based on the uniformly negative data from these genotoxicity studies, the Panel did not identify specific concerns related to the potential genotoxicity of 2-(((3-(2,3-dimethoxyphenyl)-1*H*-1,2,4-triazol-5-yl)thio)methyl)pyridine (Yokoi, 2008; Cardoso, 2013a, b, c). A 28-day study in male and female CD [CrI:CD (SD)] rats resulted in a NOAEL of 100 mg/kg bw/day for 2-(((3-(2,3-dimethoxyphenyl)-1*H*-1,2,4-triazol-5-yl)thio)methyl)pyridine (Rose, 2012, 2013). This is >20,000 times higher than the anticipated daily *per capita* intake of 2-(((3-(2,3-dimethoxyphenyl)-1*H*-1,2,4-triazol-5-yl)thio)methyl)pyridine from use as a flavor ingredient.

The Panel reviewed the GRAS application and supporting information regarding (2*R*)-3',5'-dihydroxy-4'-

methoxyflavanone (CAS 1449417-52-0) and concluded that it is GRAS (FEMA 4799) for use as a flavor ingredient in the food categories at the use levels specified in the GRAS application (See Table 2; Smith et al., 2005a). This substance was evaluated within the context of the chemical group of phenol and phenol derivatives (SLR C12; JECFA 2001, 2011, 2015). The Panel noted that based on the anticipated annual volume of use (600 kg), the *per capita* intake ("eaters only") of (2*R*)-3',5-dihydroxy-4'-methoxyflavanone from use as a flavor ingredient is calculated to be 88 µg/person/day (2 µg/kg bw/day), which is below the threshold of toxicological concern for structural class III (90 µg/person/day) (Munro et al., 1996). The material was not reported to occur naturally in food. The Panel considered the specification of the material to be adequately characterized by the purity assay and supporting spectral data provided for FEMA GRAS evaluation. The Panel evaluated sensory data included within the application and found it satisfactory with regard to intended conditions of use for the flavoring ingredient (Harman and Hallagan, 2013). It is anticipated that (2*R*)-3',5-dihydroxy-4'-methoxyflavanone would be metabolized along various routes: conjugation of the hydroxyl functions with glucuronic acid and sulfate and excretion of these conjugates; demethylation and ring-opening of the heterocyclic ring function to form the corresponding hydroxyphenylpropionic acid (Gee et al., 2000; Day et al., 2000; Donovan et al., 2006; Manach et al., 2005). (2*R*)-3',5-Dihydroxy-4'-methoxyflavanone did not increase reverse mutations in an Ames assay in *S. typhimurium* strains TA98, TA100, TA1535, TA1537, and TA1538 either in the presence or absence of metabolic activation. The structural analog naringenin (FEMA 4797) gave no increases in reverse mutations were observed in Ames assays in *S. typhimurium* strains TA100, TA98, TA1535, TA1537, TA1538 either in the presence or absence of metabolic activation. Based on these results, as well as on the structure of the substance and the identity and arrangement of the functional groups therein, the Panel did not identify specific concerns related to the potential genotoxicity of (2*R*)-3',5-dihydroxy-4'-methoxyflavanone (NTP, 1992; FDA 2010a,b; Brown and Dietrich, 1979; Nagao et al., 1981). Multiple carcinogenicity studies have been conducted in rats for the very conservative structural analog quercetin (Hirono et al., 1981, 1993; Ito et al., 1989; NTP, 1992; Dunnick and Hailey, 1992; Willhite, 1982). The Panel reviewed these studies, which provided evidence of carcinogenic activity in some cases, and also reviewed available information on the probable mode of action for any carcinogenic activity reported. The Panel agreed with the International Agency for Research on Cancer conclusion that quercetin is not classifiable as a carcinogen to humans (IARC 1999). A conservative NOAEL from the 2-year dietary study in F344/N rats was assigned at 40 mg/kg bw/day. This is >27,000 times the anticipated daily *per capita* intake of (2*R*)-3',5-dihydroxy-4'-methoxyflavanone from use as a flavor ingredient.

The Panel reviewed the natural flavor complex GRAS application and supporting information regarding glucosylated *Rubus suavissimus* extract, 20-30% glucosylated rubusoside glycosides (CAS 1268518-76-8) and concluded that it is GRAS (FEMA 4800) for use as a flavor ingredient in the food categories at the use levels specified in the GRAS application. This material was evaluated within the context of the procedure for the safety evaluation of natural flavor complexes (Smith et al., 2005b).

The Panel noted that based on the anticipated annual volume of use (1000 kg), the *per capita* intake ("eaters only") of glucosylated *Rubus suavissimus* extract, 20-30% glucosylated rubusoside from use as a flavor ingredient is calculated to be 147 µg/person/day (3 µg/kg bw/day). The extract has a long history of use in Chinese herbal sweet tea and as an allergy remedy in Japan without known toxicity, but only qualitative data are available and thus no consumption ratio can be calculated. The Panel considered the identity description of the material to be sufficient for FEMA GRAS evaluation (see Appendix 1). The Panel evaluated sensory data included within the application and found it satisfactory with regard to intended conditions of use for the flavoring ingredient (Harman and Hallagan, 2013). Metabolic data exist for representative members of each congeneric group that would predict, at the intake levels proposed, metabolism by well-established detoxication pathways to innocuous products. The Panel did not identify specific concerns related to the potential genotoxicity of glucosylated *Rubus suavissimus* extract, 20-30% glucosylated rubusoside. In a 9-week study using male and female obese-prone rats that were administered 220 mg/kg bw/day Chinese sweet leaf tea (*Rubus suavissimus*) extract, which corresponds to an average daily intake of 50 mg/kg bw of rubusoside, reduced body weight and reduced abdominal fat were reported. Liver and kidney weights in a low-fat diet group were slightly higher than those that were provided a fat supplement, but there were no effects on hematology or blood chemistry parameters (Koh et al., 2011). This dose is >20,000 times the anticipated daily *per capita* intake of glucosylated *Rubus suavissimus* extract, 20-30% glucosylated rubusoside from use as a flavor ingredient.

The Panel reviewed the natural flavor complex GRAS application and supporting information regarding olive fruit extract, *Olea europaea* (CAS 8001-25-0) and concluded that it is GRAS (FEMA 4801) for use as a flavor ingredient in the food categories at the use levels specified in the GRAS application. This material was evaluated within the context of the procedure for the safety evaluation of natural flavor complexes (Smith et al., 2005b). The Panel noted that based on the anticipated annual volume of use (200 kg), the *per capita* intake ("eaters only") of olive fruit extract, *Olea europaea* from use as a flavor ingredient is calculated to be 30 µg/person/day (0.5 µg/kg bw/day). The extract is derived from commonly consumed olives (Nijssen et al., 2015). The Panel considered the identity description of the material to be adequate for FEMA GRAS evaluation (see Appendix 1). Metabolic data exist for representative members of each congeneric group that would predict, at the intake levels proposed, metabolism by well-established detoxication pathways to innocuous products (Chen et al., 1998; Bonanome et al., 2000; De la Torre et al., 2008; Tuck et al., 2001; Visioli et al., 2001; de Bock et al., 2013; Caruso et al., 2001; D'Angelo et al., 2001; Manna et al., 2000; Mateos et al., 2005; Tuck et al., 2002). A related extract, olive pulp extract, increased reverse mutations in *S. typhimurium* strains TA98 and TA100 in the presence of metabolic activation, but not in TA97a, TA1535, and *E. coli* strain WP2uvrA either in the presence or absence of metabolic activation or in TA98 and TA100 in the absence of metabolic activation. It also induced chromosomal aberrations in Chinese hamster ovary cells but only at a toxic concentration. It was negative in a rat bone marrow micronucleus assay. The major marker constituent, hydroxytyrosol, did not increase reverse mutations in *S.*

typhimurium strains TA98, TA100, TA1535, TA1537, and *E. coli* strain WP2(pKM101) either in the presence or absence of metabolic activation, and did not increase the number of structural or numerical chromosomal aberrations either in the presence or absence of metabolic activation. Based on these data, the Panel concluded that based on weight of evidence it did not have specific concerns related to the potential genotoxicity of olive fruit extract, *Olea europaea*. A 90-day oral toxicity study in male and female rats for a related extract, olive pulp extract, resulted in a NOAEL of 2000 mg/kg bw/day. A 90-day study for hydroxytyrosol in Wistar Hannover RccHan male and female rats resulted in a NOAEL of 500 mg/kg bw/day (de Bock et al., 2013; Aunon-Calles et al., 2013; D'Angelo et al., 2001). This is >1,000,000 times the anticipated daily *per capita* intake of olive extract, *Olea europaea*, from use as a flavor ingredient.

The Panel reviewed the GRAS application and supporting information regarding (S)-1-(3-(((4-amino-2,2-dioxido-1H-benzo[c][1,2,6]thiadiazin-5-yl)oxy)methyl)piperidin-1-yl)-3-methylbutan-1-one (CAS 1469426-64-9) and concluded that it is GRAS (FEMA 4802) for use as a flavor ingredient in the food categories at the use levels specified in the GRAS application (See Table 2; Smith et al., 2005a). This substance was evaluated individually within the context of the chemical group of miscellaneous nitrogen-containing substances (SLR D19, JECFA 2006, 2009, 2012, 2015). The Panel noted that based on the anticipated annual volume of use (5000 kg), the *per capita* intake ("eaters only") of (S)-1-(3-(((4-amino-2,2-dioxido-1H-benzo[c][1,2,6]thiadiazin-5-yl)oxy)methyl)piperidin-1-yl)-3-methylbutan-1-one from use as a flavor ingredient is calculated to be 740 µg/person/day (12 µg/kg bw/day), which is above the threshold of toxicological concern for structural class III (90 µg/person/day) (Munro et al., 1996). The material is not known to occur in nature and thus no consumption ratio can be calculated. The Panel considered the specification of the material to be adequately characterized by the purity assay and supporting spectral data provided for FEMA GRAS evaluation. The Panel evaluated sensory data included within the application and found it satisfactory with regard to intended conditions of use for the flavoring ingredient (Harman and Hallagan, 2013). (S)-1-(3-(((4-Amino-2,2-dioxido-1H-benzo[c][1,2,6]thiadiazin-5-yl)oxy)methyl)piperidin-1-yl)-3-methylbutan-1-one was shown to have poor bioavailability. The substance is oxidized to several metabolites by microsomes *in vitro*. Metabolism *in vivo* is limited but includes the formation of two main hydroxylation products. All oxidation and conjugation reactions would be anticipated to lead to readily excretable metabolites (Arthur et al., 2015). No increases in the number of reverse mutations were observed in Ames assays for the (S)-1-(3-(((4-amino-2,2-dioxido-1H-benzo[c][1,2,6]thiadiazin-5-yl)oxy)methyl)piperidin-1-yl)-3-methylbutan-1-one in *S. typhimurium* strains TA98, TA100, TA1535 and TA1537 and *E. coli* strain WP2uvrA. (S)-1-(3-(((4-Amino-2,2-dioxido-1H-benzo[c][1,2,6]thiadiazin-5-yl)oxy)methyl)piperidin-1-yl)-3-methylbutan-1-one was also negative for genotoxicity in *in vitro* chromosomal aberration and micronucleus assays. The Panel noted that the poor bioavailability may have limited the ability of the substance to reach the target tissue in the *in vivo* study, but the material was tested up to 2000 mg/kg bw (a limit dose). Based upon the available results, the Panel did not identify specific concerns related to the genotoxicity of (S)-1-(3-(((4-amino-2,2-dioxido-1H-benzo[c][1,2,6]thiadiazin-5-yl)oxy)methyl)piperidin-1-yl)-3-methylbutan-1-one (Arthur

et al., 2015). Developmental toxicity studies were conducted for (S)-1-(3-(((4-amino-2,2-dioxido-1H-benzo[c][1,2,6]thiadiazin-5-yl)oxy)methyl)piperidin-1-yl)-3-methylbutan-1-one. An initial range-finder study was conducted in bred female CrI:CD(SD) rats. (S)-1-(3-(((4-Amino-2,2-dioxido-1H-benzo[c][1,2,6]thiadiazin-5-yl)oxy)methyl)piperidin-1-yl)-3-methylbutan-1-one was administered to rats by gavage at 125, 250, 500, and 1000 mg/kg bw/day on gestation days 6-20. On gestation day 21, dams were necropsied and no remarkable findings were observed. On this basis, dosage levels of 250, 500, and 1000 mg/kg bw/day were chosen for the main embryo/fetal development study. In the main study, bred female rats were administered dosage levels of 250, 500, and 1000 mg/kg bw/day by gavage on gestation days 6-20, then necropsied on gestation day 21. No maternal toxicity, adverse effects on intrauterine fetal growth, or survival morphology was observed at any dose level, and thus the study resulted in a NOAEL for both maternal and fetal toxicity of 1000 mg/kg bw/day (Arthur et al., 2015). A 28-day study in male and female CrI:CD®(SD)] rats showed no mortality and no toxic effects and resulted in a NOAEL of 100 mg/kg bw/day. A follow-up 90-day dietary study was conducted in the same strain of rats at doses of 10, 30, and 100 mg/kg bw/day. No treatment-related effects were observed and the study resulted in a NOAEL of 100 mg/kg bw/day (Arthur et al., 2015). This is >8,000 times higher than the anticipated daily *per capita* intake of (S)-1-(3-(((4-amino-2,2-dioxido-1H-benzo[c][1,2,6]thiadiazin-5-yl)oxy)methyl)piperidin-1-yl)-3-methylbutan-1-one from use as a flavor ingredient.

The Panel reviewed the GRAS application and supporting information regarding 8-methylnonanal (CAS 3085-26-5) and concluded that it is GRAS (FEMA 4803) for use as a flavor ingredient in the food categories at the use levels specified in the GRAS application (See Table 2; Smith et al., 2005a). This substance was evaluated individually within the context of the chemical group of saturated aliphatic acyclic branched-chain primary alcohols, aldehydes and acids (SLR M1; JECFA 1998). The Panel noted that based on the anticipated annual volume of use (5 kg), the *per capita* intake ("eaters only") of 8-methylnonanal from use as a flavor ingredient is calculated to be 0.7 µg/person/day (0.01 µg/kg bw/day), which is below the threshold of toxicological concern for structural class I (1800 µg/person/day) (Munro et al., 1996). The material was reported to occur naturally in yuzu oil, derived from the yuzu fruit, but only qualitative data were available and thus no consumption ratio could be calculated (Tajima et al., 1990). The Panel considered the specification of the material to be adequately characterized by the purity assay and supporting spectral data provided for FEMA GRAS evaluation. 8-Methylnonanal would be expected to be rapidly absorbed from the gastrointestinal tract and oxidized to the corresponding carboxylic acid followed by progressive *beta*-oxidation to finally yield propionic acid (Brabec, 1993; Brambilla et al., 1989; Esterbauer et al., 1990). No increases in the number of reverse mutations were observed in Ames assays for the structurally related substance 2-methylundecanal. The structurally related substance nonanal (FEMA 2782) was negative in Ames assays in the presence and absence of metabolic activation, but positive in the non-standard 6-thioguanine forward mutation assay. Nonanal was negative in an *in vitro* micronucleus assay, a chromosomal aberration assay, and a mouse lymphoma assay, and produced small increases in

sister chromatid exchange, but this was not dose-dependent. Based on weight of evidence, as well as the structure of the substance and the identity and arrangement of functional groups therein, the Panel did not identify specific concerns related to the potential genotoxicity of 8-methylnonanal. (Marnett et al., 1985; Connor et al., 1985; Mortelmans et al., 1986; Myhr and Caspary, 1991; Martelli et al., 1994; Harnasch, 1999; Verspeek-Rip, 2002). A 12-week dietary study in male and female rats for a mixture of aldehydes (octanal (FEMA 2797), nonanal (FEMA 2782), decanal (FEMA 2362), undecanal (FEMA 3092), 2-methylundecanal (FEMA 2749), and lauric aldehyde (FEMA 2615)) resulted in a NOAEL of 112 mg/kg bw/day for the structural analog 2-methylundecanal (FEMA 2749) according to the author of the study (Trubek, 1953). This is >9,000,000 times higher than the anticipated daily *per capita* intake of 8-methylnonanal from use as a flavor ingredient.

The Panel reviewed the GRAS application and supporting information regarding the mixture of ricinoleic acid, linoleic acid and oleic acid (CAS Pending) and concluded that it is GRAS (FEMA 4804) for use as a flavor ingredient in the food categories at the use levels specified in the GRAS application (See Table 2; Smith et al., 2005a). This substance was evaluated within the context of the chemical group of aliphatic primary alcohols, aldehydes, carboxylic acids, acetals and esters containing additional oxygenated functional groups (SLR B1B; JECFA 2000, 2011). The Panel noted that based on the anticipated annual volume of use (375 kg), the *per capita* intake ("eaters only") of the mixture of ricinoleic acid, linoleic acid and oleic acid from use as a flavor ingredient is calculated to be 55 µg/person/day (1 µg/kg bw/day), which is below the threshold of toxicological concern for structural class I (1800 µg/person/day) (Munro et al., 1996). The material was reported to occur in Castor seed oil, but is not considered a commonly consumed food (Nijssen et al., 2015). The Panel considered the specification of the material to be adequately characterized by the purity assay and supporting spectral data provided for FEMA GRAS evaluation. The Panel noted that the other components of the mixture included small amounts of stearic acid, palmitic acid, and C18:1 and C16:1 fatty acids. The mixture of ricinoleic acid, linoleic acid, and oleic acid is anticipated to be absorbed in the gastrointestinal tract and be subject to oxidation and *beta*-cleavage in the fatty acid pathway (Gosselin et al., 1976; Tunaru et al., 2012; Watson and Gordon, 1962; Watson et al., 1963). Linoleic acid gave negative results in an Ames assay in either the presence or absence of metabolic activation. Based on the data for structural analogs and the identity and arrangements of functional groups therein, the Panel did not identify specific concerns related to the potential genotoxicity of the mixture of ricinoleic acid, linoleic acid, and oleic acid. A 13-week dietary study in male and female F344/N rats and B6C3F1 mice conducted with castor oil at dietary levels of 0.6, 1.25, 2.50, 5 and 10% (w/w), providing doses equivalent to 600, 1250, 2500, 5000 and 10,000 mg/kg bw/d in rats and 900, 1875, 3750, 7500, 15,000 mg/kg bw/d in mice resulted in a NOAEL of 10% or 10,000 mg/kg bw/day for rats and 15,000 mg/kg bw/day for mice (El-Khatib and Cora, 1981; Szepesnwol and Boschetti, 1975; Szepesnwol, 1978; Hillyard and Abraham, 1979; Tinsley et al., 1981; Borgman and Wardlaw, 1975, Lee et al., 1986). This is >15,000,000 times the anticipated daily *per capita* intake of mixture the

mixture of ricinoleic acid, linoleic acid and oleic acid from use as a flavor ingredient.

The Panel reviewed the GRAS application and supporting information regarding Steviol glycoside extract, *Stevia rebaudiana*, Rebaudioside A 22% (CAS 91722-21-3) and concluded that it is GRAS (FEMA 4805) for use as a flavor ingredient in the food categories at the use levels specified in the GRAS application. This material was evaluated within the context of the procedure for the safety evaluation of natural flavor complexes (Smith et al., 2005b). The Panel noted that based on the anticipated annual volume of use (10000 kg), the *per capita* intake ("eaters only") of Stevia extract RebA 22 from use as a flavor ingredient is calculated to be 1473 µg/person/day (25 µg/kg bw/day). The material occurs naturally in the plant stevia, but no consumption ratio could be calculated. The Panel considered the identity description to be adequate for FEMA GRAS evaluation (see Appendix 1). The Panel evaluated sensory data included within the application and found it satisfactory with regard to intended conditions of use for the flavoring ingredient (Harman and Hallagan, 2013). Metabolic data exist for representative members of each congeneric group that would predict, at the intake levels proposed, metabolism by well-established detoxication pathways to innocuous products (Wingard et al., 1980; Hutapea et al., 1997; Gardana et al., 2003; Geuns et al., 2003, 2007; Koyama et al., 2003a,b; Renwick and Tarka, 2008; Nakayama et al., 1986; Geuns and Pietta, 2004; Simonetti et al., 2004; Roberts and Renwick, 2008; Wheeler et al., 2008). The genotoxicity of the major marker constituents (steviol glycosides) has been thoroughly examined in a wide range of studies. While some positive results are reported in *in vitro* mutagenicity assays, *in vivo* studies do not provide evidence of genotoxic effects. Based on the results for the various steviol glycosides, the Panel did not identify specific concerns related to the potential genotoxicity of steviol glycoside extract, *Stevia rebaudiana*, Rebaudioside A 22% (Toyoda et al., 1997; EFSA, 2010, 2011; Kim et al., 1987; Janzowski et al., 2000; Durling et al., 2009; Nishi et al., 1989; Stich et al., 1981a,b). Multiple toxicity studies for the major marker constituents (steviol glycosides) of steviol glycoside extract, *Stevia rebaudiana*, Rebaudioside A 22% have been conducted. The Panel noted that a 13-week study in Fischer 344 rats for stevioside (FEMA 4763) resulted in a NOAEL of 2500 mg/kg bw/day. This is >100,000 times the anticipated daily *per capita* intake of Stevia extract RebA 22 from use as a flavor ingredient. In a 108-week carcinogenicity study for stevioside, no carcinogenic effects were observed (Galvin and Farr, 1994; JECFA, 2001, 2005b 2006b; NTP, 2010; Czok, 1970; Germond et al., 1987; Godfrey et al., 1999; Monien et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 1993; Durling et al., 2009; EFSA, 2011).

The Panel reviewed the natural flavor complex GRAS application and supporting information regarding steviol glycoside extract, *Stevia rebaudiana*, Rebaudioside C 22% (CAS 91722-21-3) and concluded that it is GRAS (FEMA 4806) for use as a flavor ingredient in the food categories at the use levels specified in the GRAS application. This material was evaluated within the context of the procedure for the safety evaluation of natural flavor complexes (Smith et al., 2005b). The Panel noted that based on the anticipated annual volume of use (10000 kg), the *per capita* intake ("eaters only") of steviol glycoside extract, *Stevia rebaudiana*, Rebaudioside C 22% from use as a flavor ingredient is calculated to be 1473 µg/person/day (25

µg/kg bw/day). The material occurs naturally in the plant stevia, but no consumption ratio could be calculated. The Panel considered the identity description to be adequate for FEMA GRAS evaluation (see Appendix 1). The Panel evaluated sensory data included within the application and found it satisfactory with regard to intended conditions of use for the flavoring ingredient (Harman and Hallagan, 2013). Metabolic data exist for representative members of each congeneric group that would predict, at the intake levels proposed, metabolism by well-established detoxication pathways to innocuous products (Wingard et al., 1980; Hutapea et al., 1997; Gardana et al., 2003; Geuns et al., 2003, 2007; Koyama et al., 2003a,b; Renwick and Tarka, 2008; Nakayama et al., 1986; Geuns and Pietta, 2004; Simonetti et al., 2004; Roberts and Renwick, 2008; Wheeler et al., 2008). The genotoxicity of the major marker constituents (steviol glycosides) has been thoroughly examined in a wide range of studies. While some positive results are reported in *in vitro* mutagenicity assays, *in vivo* studies do not provide evidence of genotoxic effects. Based on the results for the various steviol glycosides, the Panel did not identify specific concerns related to the potential genotoxicity of steviol glycoside extract, *Stevia rebaudiana*, Rebaudioside C 22% (Toyoda et al., 1997; EFSA, 2010, 2011; Kim et al., 1987; Janzowski et al., 2000; Durling et al., 2009; Nishi et al., 1989; Stich et al., 1981a,b). Multiple toxicity studies for the major marker constituents (steviol glycosides) of Stevia extract RebC 22 have been conducted. The Panel noted that a 13-week study in Fischer 344 rats for stevioside (FEMA 4763) resulted in a NOAEL of 2500 mg/kg bw/day. This is >100,000 times higher than the anticipated daily *per capita* intake of steviol glycoside extract, *Stevia rebaudiana*, Rebaudioside C 22% from use as a flavor ingredient. In the 108-week carcinogenicity study for stevioside, no carcinogenic effects were observed (Galvin and Farr, 1994; JECFA, 2006b, 2001; JECFA, 2005b; NTP, 2010; Czok, 1970; Germond et al., 1987; Godfrey et al., 1999; Monien et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 1993; Durling et al., 2009; EFSA, 2011).

The Panel reviewed the GRAS application and supporting information regarding pinocarvyl acetate (CAS 1078-95-1) and concluded that it is GRAS (FEMA 4807) for use as a flavor ingredient in the food categories at the use levels specified in the GRAS application (See Table 2; Smith et al., 2005a). This substance was evaluated within the context of the chemical group of monocyclic and bicyclic secondary alcohols, ketones and related esters (SLR A5; JECFA 2006; 2009, 2015). The Panel noted that based on the anticipated annual volume of use (2 kg), the *per capita* intake ("eaters only") of pinocarvyl acetate from use as a flavor ingredient is calculated to be 0.3 µg/person/day (0.005 µg/kg bw/day), which is below the threshold of toxicological concern for structural class II (540 µg/person/day) (Munro et al., 1996). The material was reported to occur in nature in celery and grapefruit juice, with a calculated consumption ratio of 45 (Nijssen et al., 2015; Stofberg and Grundschober, 1985). The Panel considered the specification of the material to be adequately characterized by the purity assay and supporting spectral data provided for FEMA GRAS evaluation. The candidate substance is expected to be hydrolyzed in humans via carboxylesterases to acetic acid and the corresponding alcohol, 6,6-dimethyl-2-methylenebicyclo[3.1.1]heptanol (pinocarveol). Pinocarveol is anticipated to be excreted in urine following conjugation. The structural relative, ethyl bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene-2-carboxylic acid (FEMA 4790)

was not genotoxic in an Ames assay or in *in vitro* mouse lymphoma and chromosomal aberration assays in either the presence or absence of metabolic activation. The structural analog produced positive results in an *in vitro* micronucleus assay in the presence and absence of metabolic activation, but the mean values were within the historical control range and were not considered to be biologically relevant. The same structural analog gave negative results in an *in vivo* bone marrow chromosomal aberration study. Based on the results for the structural analog, as well as the absence of structural alerts for pinocarvyl acetate and the identity and arrangements of functional groups therein, the Panel did not identify specific concerns related to the potential genotoxicity of pinocarvyl acetate. (May, 2010, 2012; Pritchard, 2010, 2011). A 13-week gavage toxicity study for the structural analog isobornyl acetate (FEMA 2160) at 15, 90 and 270 mg/kg bw/day, showed renal effects in males at the middle and top doses, and no effects in female rats. Thus, the resulting NOAELs were 15 and 90 mg/kg bw/day, respectively, for male and female rats (Gaunt et al., 1971). The NOAEL of 15 mg/kg bw/day for the structural analog is >3,000,000 times higher than the anticipated daily *per capita* intake of pinocarvyl acetate from use as a flavor ingredient.

The Panel reviewed the GRAS application and supporting information regarding *N*-ethyl-5-methyl-2-(1-methylethenyl)cyclohexanecarboxamide (CAS 1582789-90-9) and concluded that it is GRAS (FEMA 4808) for use as a flavor and flavor ingredient in the food categories at the use levels specified in the GRAS application (See Table 2; Smith et al., 2005a). The substance was reviewed individually within the context of the chemical group of aliphatic and aromatic amines and amides (SLR A7, C21, JECFA 2006, 2008, 2011, 2012). The Panel noted that based on the anticipated annual volume of use of 1000 kg, the *per capita* intake ("eaters only") of *N*-ethyl-5-methyl-2-(1-methylethenyl)cyclohexanecarboxamide from use as a flavor and flavor ingredient is calculated to be 150 µg/person/day (3 µg/kg bw/day), which is above the threshold of toxicological concern for structural class III (90 µg/person/day) (Munro et al., 1996). The material was not reported to occur naturally in food. The Panel considered the specification of the material to be adequately characterized by the purity assay and supporting spectral data provided for FEMA GRAS evaluation. Based on analogy with the metabolism of structurally related compounds the candidate substance would be expected to undergo hydroxylation of the cyclohexane and angular alkyl structures followed by conjugation to polar, rapidly cleared excretion products. In addition there may occur limited hydrolysis of the amide function to the corresponding carboxylic acid that may be excreted as such but more likely following conjugation with glycine (Poet et al., 2005) In an Ames assay for the structural analog *N*-[(ethoxycarbonyl)methyl]-*p*-menthane-3-carboxamide, no significant increases in revertants were observed in either the absence or presence of metabolic activation in *S. typhimurium* strains TA98, TA100, TA1537, and *E. coli* strain WP2 uvrA. Statistically significant positive results were observed in the absence of metabolic activation for TA1535, but this was only observed in a confirmatory experiment whereas in the main experiment at similar concentrations no significant increases were observed. The authors of the study considered the biological significance of the results to be unclear. For *N*-ethyl-5-methyl-2-(1-methylethenyl)cyclohexanecarboxamide, based on the lack

of structural alerts for the substance and the type and arrangement of functional groups therein, the Panel did not identify any specific concerns related to the genotoxic potential of *N*-ethyl-5-methyl-2-(1-methylethenyl)cyclohexanecarboxamide (Thompson, 2005; Prueksaritanont et al., 1997). A 28-day gavage study in Crj:CD(SD) rats for the structural analog *N*-ethyl-2-isopropyl-5-methylcyclohexanecarboxamide (FEMA 3455) resulted in a NOAEL of 8 mg/kg bw/day (James, 1974). This NOAEL is >3200 times greater than the anticipated intake of *N*-ethyl-5-methyl-2-(1-methylethenyl)cyclohexanecarboxamide from use as a flavoring substance.

The Panel reviewed the GRAS application and supporting information regarding 2-(4-methylphenoxy)-*N*-(1*H*-pyrazol-3-yl)-*N*-(thiophen-2-ylmethyl)acetamide (CAS 1374760-95-8) and concluded that it is GRAS (FEMA 4809) for use as a flavor ingredient in the food categories at the use levels specified in the GRAS application (See Table 2; Smith et al., 2005a). This substance was evaluated individually within the context of the chemical group of miscellaneous nitrogen-containing substances (SLR D19, JECFA 2006, 2009, 2012, 2015). The Panel noted that based on the anticipated annual volume of use (2000 kg), the *per capita* intake ("eaters only") of 2-(4-methylphenoxy)-*N*-(1*H*-pyrazol-3-yl)-*N*-(thiophen-2-ylmethyl)acetamide from use as a flavor ingredient is calculated to be 290 µg/person/day (5 µg/kg bw/day), which is above the threshold of toxicological concern for structural class III (90 µg/person/day) (Munro et al., 1996). The material is not reported to occur in nature. The Panel considered the specification of the material to be adequately characterized by the purity assay and supporting spectral data provided for FEMA GRAS evaluation. The Panel evaluated sensory data included within the application and found it satisfactory with regard to intended conditions of use for the flavoring ingredient (Harman and Hallagan, 2013). In an *in vivo* mouse metabolism study, the substance was shown to be rapidly hydrolyzed to 4-methylphenoxyacetic acid and the corresponding secondary amine. In rats, multiple phase 1 and phase 2 metabolites were identified, including the hydrolysis products noted above. In the beagle, hydrolysis of the amide functionality was rapid. The available data indicated that 2-(4-methylphenoxy)-*N*-(1*H*-pyrazol-3-yl)-*N*-(thiophen-2-ylmethyl)acetamide is readily metabolized and ultimately excreted in the urine or feces (Chi, 2014b,c,d; Zavorskas, 2012). No evidence of mutagenicity was observed in Ames assays for 2-(4-methylphenoxy)-*N*-(1*H*-pyrazol-3-yl)-*N*-(thiophen-2-ylmethyl)acetamide in *S. typhimurium* strains TA98, TA100, TA1535, TA1537 and *E. coli* strain WP2 uvrA, either in the presence or absence of metabolic activation. No genotoxic potential was observed in an *in vitro* chromosomal aberration study or in a CD-1 mouse bone marrow micronucleus study. For the metabolism product *N*-(thiophen-2-ylmethyl)-1*H*-pyrazol-3-amine, an Ames assay was negative in *S. typhimurium* strains TA98, TA100, TA1535, TA1537 and *E. coli* strain WP2 uvrA in either the presence or absence of S9 metabolic activation. The metabolite was negative in the presence of S9 activation in an *in vitro* chromosomal aberration assay, but positive in the absence of activation in the same system. To follow up on these results, an *in vivo* comet/micronucleus combination assay was conducted for the metabolite. No significant increases in DNA damage or the incidences of micronucleated polychromatic

erythrocytes were observed. Based on these data, the Panel did not identify specific concerns related to the potential genotoxicity of 2-(4-methylphenoxy)-*N*-(1*H*-pyrazol-3-yl)-*N*-(thiophen-2-ylmethyl)acetamide (Wells, 2012; Cardoso, 2013a,b; Wasil, 2013). There were no developmental toxicities in CrI:CD rats administered the substance by gavage on gestation days 6 to 20 (Charlap, 2013; Hood et al., 1979). A 28-day dietary study for 2-(4-methylphenoxy)-*N*-(1*H*-pyrazol-3-yl)-*N*-(thiophen-2-ylmethyl)acetamide in male and female CrI:CD(SD) rats resulted in a NOAEL of >100 mg/kg bw/day. A 90-day gavage study in the same strain and both sexes was also conducted and resulted in a NOAEL of >100 mg/kg bw/day (Diehl, 2013, 2014). This NOAEL is >20,400 times greater than the anticipated intake of 2-(4-methylphenoxy)-*N*-(1*H*-pyrazol-3-yl)-*N*-(thiophen-2-ylmethyl)acetamide from use as a flavoring substance.

The Panel reviewed the GRAS application and supporting information regarding ethyl-2-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)acetate (CAS 60563-13-5) and concluded that it is GRAS (FEMA 4810) for use as a flavor ingredient in the food categories at the use levels specified in the GRAS application (See Table 2; Smith et al., 2005a). This substance was evaluated individually within the context of the chemical group of hydroxyl- and alkoxy-substituted benzyl derivatives (SLR C18; JECFA 2002, 2009). The Panel noted that based on the anticipated annual volume of use (50 kg), the *per capita* intake ("eaters only") of ethyl-2-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)acetate from use as a flavor ingredient is calculated to be 7 µg/person/day (0.1 µg/kg bw/day), which is below the threshold of toxicological concern for structural class I (1800 µg/person/day) (Munro et al., 1996). The material is reported to occur naturally in wine, but only qualitative data were available and a consumption ratio could not be calculated (van Jaarsveld et al. 2009; Cabaroglu et al., 1997). The Panel considered the specification of the material to be adequately characterized by the purity assay and supporting spectral data provided for FEMA GRAS evaluation. This substance is anticipated to be rapidly hydrolyzed to the corresponding carboxylic acid which would be expected to undergo conjugation with glycine (rodent species) and with glutamine in humans and to be excreted as such. Additionally, conjugation with glucuronic acid at the hydroxyl functionality is expected (Heymann, 1980; Anders, 1989; Strand & Scheline, 1975; Wong & Sourkes, 1966). Ethyl-2-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)acetate was negative in an Ames assay in either the presence or absence of S9 metabolic activation in *S. typhimurium* strains TA98, TA100, TA1535, TA1537, and *E. coli* strain WP2 uvrA. The structural analog vanillin (FEMA 3107) gave negative results in Ames assays in *S. typhimurium* strains TA98, TA100, TA97, TA1535, and TA1538 in either the absence or presence of metabolic activation (Sokolowski, 2014). Vanillin was also negative in a mouse lymphoma forward mutation assay in the absence and presence of metabolic activation, negative in an unscheduled DNA synthesis assay at concentrations up to 500 µg/mL, and weakly positive in a micronucleus assay in Hep-G2 cells (Heck et al., 1989; Sanyal et al., 1997). Based on the available data for ethyl-2-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)acetate, weight of evidence considerations for the structural analog vanillin, and the structure of ethyl-2-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)acetate and the identity and arrangement of functional groups therein, the Panel did not identify specific concerns related to the potential genotoxicity of ethyl-2-(4-hydroxy-3-

methoxyphenyl)acetate. A 60-day drinking water study with evaluation of limited endpoints for the structural analog 3,4-dihydroxybenzoic acid (FEMA 4430) resulted in a NOAEL of 25 mg/kg bw/day. This NOAEL is >208,000 times greater than the anticipated intake of ethyl-2-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)acetate from use as a flavoring substance (Nakamura et al., 2001; FDA, 1993; Mancebo et al., 2003; Hagan et al., 1967).

The Panel reviewed the natural flavor complex GRAS application and supporting information regarding ginger mint oil (1505459-14-2) and concluded that it is GRAS (FEMA 4811) for use as a flavor ingredient in the food categories at the use levels specified in the GRAS application. This material was evaluated within the context of the procedure for the safety evaluation of natural flavor complexes (Smith et al., 2005b). The Panel noted that based on the anticipated annual volume of use (15,000 kg), the *per capita* intake ("eaters only") of ginger mint oil from use as a flavor ingredient is calculated to be 2200 µg/person/day (37 µg/kg bw/day). The oil is produced from the mint hybrid *Mentha x gracilis*, but no consumption ratio could be calculated. Ginger mint oil is produced by steam distillation of the flowering tips and leaves of the *Mentha x gracilis* plant. The Panel considered the identity description to be adequate for FEMA GRAS evaluation. Metabolic data exist for representative members of each congeneric group of ginger mint oil that would predict, at the intake levels proposed, metabolism by well-established detoxication pathways to innocuous products. For the major marker constituent, linalool, metabolism studies are available that suggest that glucuronic acid conjugation and excretion is the primary route of metabolism. Allylic oxidation becomes an important pathway for linalool metabolism only after repeated dosing (Buck and Renwick, 1998; Parke et al., 1974; Rahman, 1974; Chadha and Madyastha, 1984; Eder et al., 1982). The major marker constituent, linalool, gave negative results in an *in vitro* chromosomal aberration assay in Chinese hamster fibroblast cells. It was negative in an unscheduled DNA synthesis assay in rat hepatocytes. Linalool was also negative in a bone marrow micronucleus assay in Swiss CD-1 mice. The Panel concluded that the presence of low levels of epoxide-containing substances did not lead to concerns for genotoxicity, since these would be expected to be rapidly converted to innocuous metabolites. Based on the available data, the Panel did not identify specific concerns related to the potential genotoxicity of ginger mint oil (Ishidate et al., 1984; Heck et al., 1989; Bertens 2000; Meerts, 2001; Thompson and Bowles, 2011). The major marker constituent, linalool, was tested in a developmental toxicity study in rats, resulting in a maternal NOAEL of 500 mg/kg bw/day, and a developmental NOAEL of >1000 mg/kg bw/day. (Lewis 2006; Politano et al., 2008). In a 12-week dietary study in male and female rats, a mixture of linalool and citronellol (1:1) resulted only in a slight retardation in body weight gain that was concluded to be biologically insignificant (Oser, 1967. Hagan et al., 1967). The NOAEL of 50 mg/kg bw/day (for each component of the mixture) is >1350 times greater than the anticipated daily *per capita* intake of ginger mint oil from use as a flavor ingredient.

The Panel reviewed the natural flavor complex GRAS application and supporting information regarding palmitoylated green tea extract catechins (CAS 1448315-04-5) and concluded that the uses of the substance be considered GRAS (FEMA 4812) for use as a flavoring

ingredient. This material was evaluated within the context of the procedure for the safety evaluation of natural flavor complexes (Smith et al., 2005b). The Panel noted that based on the anticipated annual volume of use (700 kg), the *per capita* intake ("eaters only") of palmitoylated green tea extract catechins from use as a flavor ingredient is calculated to be 103 µg/person/day (2 µg/kg bw/day). Palmitoylated green tea extract catechins have been reported to occur naturally in tea (*Camellia sinensis*), but no consumption ratio could be calculated. The Panel considered the identity description to be adequate for FEMA GRAS evaluation (see Appendix 1). The Panel evaluated sensory data included within the application and found it satisfactory with regard to intended conditions of use for the flavoring ingredient (Harman and Hallagan, 2013). Metabolic data exist for representative members of each congeneric group that would predict, at the intake levels proposed, metabolism by well-established detoxication pathways to innocuous products (Hackett and Griffiths, 1982). Palmitoylated green tea extract catechins gave negative results in an Ames assay in *S. typhimurium* strains TA97a, TA98, TA100 and TA102 in either the absence or presence of metabolic activation. It was also negative in a bone marrow micronucleus assay at concentrations up to 10,000 mg/kg bw in ICR mice. The Panel reviewed the available data that confirmed that the substances tested within the genotoxicity studies were of similar composition to the substance proposed for use as a flavoring ingredient. Based on the available data, the Panel did not identify any specific concerns related to the genotoxic potential for palmitoylated green tea extract catechins (Mei, S. et al. 2010). 28-Day and 90-day studies in rats and a 90-day study in beagles were available for the palmitoylated green tea extract catechins (Mei, S. et al., 2010; Xu, Y., et al. 2010; Stanford, H. et al. 2011; Chengelis et al., 2008; Takami et al., 2008). The NOAEL of 500 mg/kg bw/day from the 90-day dietary study in male and female Sprague-Dawley rats was >290,000 times higher than the anticipated daily intake of palmitoylated green tea extract catechins when used as a flavoring substance. The Panel reviewed the available data that confirmed that the substances tested within the toxicity studies were of similar composition to the substance proposed for use as a flavoring ingredient.

The Panel reviewed the GRAS application and supporting information regarding 2-(5-isopropyl-2-methyltetrahydrothiophen-2-yl)-ethanol (CAS 1612888-42-2) and concluded that it is GRAS (FEMA 4813) for use as a flavor at the use levels specified in the GRAS application (See Table 2; Smith et al., 2005a). This substance was evaluated individually within the context of the chemical group of sulfur-containing heterocyclic compounds (SLR D15; JECFA 2003, 2008, 2012, 2015). The Panel noted that based on the anticipated annual volume of use (1 kg), the *per capita* intake ("eaters only") of 2-(5-isopropyl-2-methyltetrahydrothiophen-2-yl)-ethanol from use as a flavor ingredient is calculated to be 0.1 µg/person/day (0.003 µg/kg bw/day), which is significantly below the threshold of toxicological concern for structural class II (540 µg/person/day) (Munro et al., 1996). The substance naturally occurs in lemon peel but only qualitative data are available and thus no consumption ratio can be calculated (Nijssen et al., 2015). The Panel considered the specification of the material to be adequately characterized by the purity assay and supporting spectral data provided for FEMA GRAS evaluation. 2-(5-Isopropyl-2-methyltetrahydrothiophen-2-yl)-ethanol is subject to

oxidative metabolism. Tetrahydrothiophenes are readily metabolized by formation and urinary excretion of stable sulfoxide and sulfone derivatives. The hydroxyl moiety also may undergo conjugation with glucuronic acid and facile elimination in the urine (Rance, 1989). Based on the structure of the substance and the identity and arrangements of functional groups therein, the Panel did not identify specific concerns related to the potential genotoxicity of 2-(5-isopropyl-2-methyl-tetrahydrothiophen-2-yl)-ethanol. A 90-day oral gavage study for structural analog 2-methyl-4-propyl-1,3-oxathiane (FEMA 3578) at 0.44 mg/kg bw/day. There were no adverse test substance related differences observed. The only significant deviation was increased food intake among the males but this was thought to be in compensation for the highly flavored solutions that they were receiving. Thus the resulting NOAEL assigned by the panel was 0.44 mg/kg bw/day (BIBRA, 1976; Morgareidge, 1974). The NOAEL of 0.44 mg/kg bw/day for the structural analog is >176,000 times higher than the anticipated daily *per capita* intake of 2-(5-isopropyl-2-methyl-tetrahydro-thiophen-2-yl)-ethanol from use as a flavor ingredient.

The Panel reviewed the GRAS application and supporting information regarding glucosylated *Rubus suavisissimus* extract, 60% glucosylated rubusoside glycosides (CAS pending) and concluded that it is GRAS (FEMA 4814) for use as a flavor ingredient in food categories at the use levels specified in the GRAS application. This material was evaluated individually within the context of the procedure for the safety evaluation of natural flavor complexes (Smith et al., 2005b). The Panel noted that based on the reported annual volume of use (500 kg), the *per capita* intake ("eaters only") of glucosylated *Rubus suavisissimus* extract, 60% glucosylated rubusoside glycosides from use as a flavor ingredient is calculated to be 74 µg/person/day (1 µg/kg bw/day). This dose level would be equivalent to roughly 0.7 µg/kg bw/day of Rubusoside *alpha*-D-glycosides (main constituent). The material is not reported to occur in nature. The Panel considered the identity description to be adequate for FEMA GRAS evaluation. The Panel evaluated sensory data included within the application and found it satisfactory with regard to intended conditions of use for the flavoring ingredient (Harman and Hallagan, 2013). It is anticipated that glucosylated *Rubus suavisissimus* extract, 60% glucosylated rubusoside glycosides are not absorbed from the GI tract. These compounds are believed to be converted to rubusoside by the action of intestinal flora by analogy to steviol glycosides. It has been demonstrated that enzymatically-treated stevia and naturally occurring steviol glycosides are converted to steviol *in vitro* when incubated in fecal homogenates under anaerobic conditions (Koyama et al., 2003a, b; Gardana et al., 2003; Roberts and Renwick, 2008; Wheeler et al., 2008; Jeppesen et al., 1996, 2000, 2003; Costa et al., 2003a; Abudula et al., 2004; Xiao and Hermansen, 2005; Xiao et al., 2005; Chen et al., 2006a, 2006b, 2006c; Nakamura et al., 2003; Yamamoto et al., 1985; Suanarunsawat and Chaiyabutr, 1997; Dyrskog et al., 2005a, b; Chen et al., 2005; Chang et al., 2005; Maki et al., 2007; Maki et al., 2008a,b; Curi et al., 1986; Barriocanal et al., 2008; Cavalcante da Silva et al., 2006). Based on the identities of the constituents and arrangements of functional groups therein, the Panel did not identify specific concerns related to the potential genotoxicity for glucosylated *Rubus suavisissimus* extract, 60% glucosylated rubusoside glycosides. *beta*-Cyclodextrin is a nephrotoxin in dogs at

654 mg/kg bw per day. The intake of *beta*-cyclodextrin at the maximum anticipated concentration of 30% within the flavor complex is estimated to be 1.2 µg/kg bw/day, which is 545,000 times less than the concentration where nephrotoxicity was observed (Bellringer et al., 1995). In a subchronic rat study, four groups of Sprague Dawley rats (25 per group) were fed diets that contained 0, 1.25, 2.5 and 5.0% of *alpha*-glucosyl steviol glycoside for 13 weeks. At 2.5 and 5.0%, slight increases in body weights were seen in both sexes of rats when compared to control rats. No treatment-related effect on food or water consumption was observed. After 13 weeks, hematological examination revealed that there was a decrease in the number of lymphocytes and white cell count in females at the 2.5 and 5.0% treatment level and a decrease in cell volume in the high dose group males. Blood biochemistry was done on 22 parameters. Small changes were seen in some parameters (decreases in calcium and globulin concentrations in males, decrease in glucose and increase in creatine in females) but none were believed to be significant or treatment related. No effect on organ weights was seen at any dose in either sex. No changes in any tissue were seen in gross and microscopic pathology examination. Thus the resulting NOAEL was 5000 mg/kg bw/day (Hooks, 1988). The NOAEL of 5000 mg/kg bw/day for the structural analog is >4,166,000 times higher than the anticipated daily *per capita* intake of glucosylated *Rubus suavisissimus* extract, 60% glucosylated rubusoside glycosides from the intended use as a flavor ingredient.

The Panel reviewed the GRAS application and supporting information regarding Sandalwood austrocaledonien oil (*Santalum austrocaledonicum* oil) (CAS 91845-48-6; 1070895-66-7) and concluded that it is GRAS (FEMA 4815) for use as a flavor in food categories at the use levels specified in the GRAS application. This material was evaluated within the context of the procedure for the safety evaluation of natural flavor complexes (Smith et al., 2005b). The Panel noted that based on the reported annual volume of use (10 kg), the *per capita* intake ("eaters only") of Sandalwood austrocaledonien oil from use as a flavor ingredient is calculated to be 2 µg/person/day (0.03 µg/kg bw/day). This dose level would be equivalent to roughly 0.011 µg/kg bw/day of (*E*)-*alpha*-santalol, the principal constituent. Sandalwood austrocaledonien oil is produced by steam distillation of the wood of *Santalum austrocaledonicum*. The Panel considered the identity description to be adequate for FEMA GRAS evaluation. The Panel also noted that the material is sufficiently different from Sandalwood yellow oil (FEMA 3005) based on species differentiation to grant a new FEMA number. Metabolic data exist for representative members of each congeneric group of Sandalwood austrocaledonien oil that would predict, at the levels of intake proposed, metabolism by well-established detoxication pathways to innocuous products. For the major marker constituent (*E*)-*alpha*-santalol, metabolism studies that are available that suggest oxidation to the corresponding aldehyde and carboxylic acid followed by *beta*-oxidation to short-chain acids and complete metabolism by the fatty acid pathway and the citric acid cycle. No increases in reverse mutations were observed in Ames assays in *S. typhimurium* strains TA97a, TA100, TA98, TA102, and TA1535, TA1537, TA1538 either in the presence or absence of metabolic activation for Sandalwood austrocaledonien oil (Sivaswamy, et al., 1991; Andres, 2014). The acute oral LD₅₀ of Sandalwood

australocaledonien oil is greater than 2000 mg/kg bw (Keating, 1972; Colas, 2010).

The Panel reviewed the GRAS application and supporting information regarding Sugar Cane distillate (CAS pending) and concluded that it is GRAS (FEMA 4816) for use as a flavor ingredient in food categories at the use levels specified in the GRAS application. This material was evaluated within the context of the procedure for the safety evaluation of natural flavor complexes (Smith et al., 2005b). The Panel noted that based on the reported annual volume of use (30,000 kg; 0.15 kg concentrate), the *per capita* intake ("eaters only") of Sugar Cane distillate from use as a flavor ingredient is calculated to be 4,400 (0.022) µg/person/day (74 (0.0004) µg/kg bw/day). The distillate is isolated from Sugar Cane which is a complex hybrid of 5 species of the genus *Saccharum* (Nijssen et al., 2015). Sugar Cane distillate is isolated from pressed sugar cane, filtered and distilled to isolate the volatile components. The material in commerce is 0.0005% distillate in water. The Panel considered the identity description to be adequate for FEMA GRAS evaluation. The Panel evaluated sensory data included within the application and found it satisfactory with regard to intended conditions of use for the flavoring ingredient (Harman and Hallagan, 2013). No evidence of mutagenicity was observed when the constituent damascenone was observed in an Ames assay in *S. typhimurium* strains TA98, TA100, TA1535, TA1537 and TA102 and *E. coli* strain WP2 uvrA in the absence or presence of metabolic activation (Wagner, 2000). Toxicity data provided for the constituent of Sugar Cane distillate, furfural, in a 28-day oral gavage study provided a NOAEL of 96 mg/kg bw/day which is at least 1290 (240,000,000) times the exposure of Sugar Cane distillate used as a flavor ingredient (Arts et al., 2004).

New Use Levels/Food Categories

The Panel reviewed the GRAS application and supporting information regarding new use levels and additional food categories for potassium cinnamate (CAS 16089-48-8; FEMA 2288) and concluded that the new proposed uses are GRAS (See Table 3; Smith et al., 2005a). This substance was evaluated within the context of the chemical group of cinnamyl alcohol and related substances (Adams et al., 2004; SLR C11: JECFA 2001). The Panel noted that based on the anticipated increase in annual volume of use (2500 kg), and the most recent surveyed volume of 599 kg, the *per capita* intake ("eaters only") of potassium cinnamate from use as a flavor ingredient is calculated to be 456 µg/person/day (8 µg/kg bw/day), which is below the threshold of toxicological concern for structural class I (1800 µg/person/day) (Munro et al., 1996). The material was reported to occur naturally in several foods, including beer, apple cider, citrus fruit, cocoa, grape, honey and others. Quantitative data were available and the consumption ratio was calculated to be 2. The Panel considered the specification of the material to be adequately characterized by the purity assay and supporting spectral data provided for FEMA GRAS evaluation. The candidate substance is rapidly absorbed and converted to benzoyl-CoA which is conjugated with glycine to form hippuric acid, which is excreted in the urine. A variety of studies for cinnamic acid were available. Cinnamic acid was negative in Ames experiments in a number of strains in the presence and absence of metabolic

activation. It was negative in an SOS chromotest in *E. coli* strain PQ37, and in a rec assay in *Bacillus subtilis* strains H17 (rec+) and M45 (rec-). The substance was negative in an *in vitro* Comet assay and in a sister chromatid exchange (SCE) assay. Cinnamic acid was positive in a mouse lymphoma assay (MLA), but it was noted that the potentially acidifying effect of cinnamic acid on the culture medium may have influenced the outcome. Cinnamic acid gave a positive response in an *in vitro* micronucleus assay in rat hepatoma tissue cells (HTC). However, the strain and experimental conditions used in the study are not compliant with OECD guidelines (Maistro et al., 2011; Heck et al., 1989; Sasaki et al., 1989; Yoo, 1986; Eder et al., 1991; Lijinsky and Andrews, 1980). The Panel discussed the available data and concluded that there was no concern for the genotoxic potential of cinnamic acid. In a developmental study there were no effects observed up to 50 mg/kg bw/day in pregnant rats on cinnamic acid (Zaitsev and Maganova, 1975).

The Panel reviewed proposed new use levels for Quillaia extract (CAS 68990-67-0) and concluded that they are considered GRAS (FEMA 2973) for use as a flavor ingredient in the food categories at the use levels specified in the GRAS application (see Table 3). This material was evaluated within the context of the procedure for the safety evaluation of natural flavor complexes (Smith et al., 2005b). The Panel noted that based on the anticipated increase in the annual volume of use (1743 kg) and the most recent surveyed volume (5300 kg), the anticipated *per capita* intake ("eaters only") of quillaia extract from use as a flavor ingredient is calculated to be 1040 µg/person/day (17 µg/kg bw/day). The material occurs naturally in the soap bark tree, but this is not commonly consumed as a food. The Panel considered the identity description and constituent identification data to be adequate for FEMA GRAS evaluation. Metabolic data exist for representative members of each congeneric group that would predict, at the intake levels proposed, metabolism by well-established detoxication pathways to innocuous products. Based on the structures of the major constituents, the Panel did not identify any specific concerns related to the genotoxic potential of quillaia extract. In a 13-week study, male and female CFE rats were administered quillaia extract as part of the diet. The NOAEL of 400 mg/kg bw/day is >23,000 times the anticipated daily *per capita* intake of quillaia extract when used as a flavoring ingredient (JECFA, 2001).

The Panel reviewed the proposed New Use Levels for Glycine (CAS 56-40-6) and concluded that the additional uses are GRAS (FEMA 3287) for use as a flavor ingredient in the food categories at the use levels specified in the GRAS application (See Table 3; Smith et al., 2005a). This substance was evaluated within the context of the chemical group of amino acids and related substances (SLR B3; JECFA 2006, 2012). The Panel noted that based on the anticipated increase in the annual volume of use (2000 kg) and the most recent surveyed volume (5890 kg), the *per capita* intake ("eaters only") of glycine from use as a flavor ingredient is calculated to be 1160 µg/person/day (19 µg/kg bw/day), which is below the threshold of toxicological concern for structural class I (1800 µg/person/day) (Munro et al., 1996). Glycine is naturally occurring in plants and endogenous in humans. The Panel considered the specification of the material to be adequately characterized by the purity assay and supporting spectral data provided for FEMA GRAS

evaluation. An established body of data exists that describes the absorption of free amino acids through the intestinal mucosa and their subsequent entrance into the portal blood. A variety of carrier systems transport amino acids into cells. The use of free amino acids as precursors for protein synthesis is well-established. Any excretion of amino acids in the urine is limited, and regulated by renal tubular reabsorption. Ultimately, the ADME characteristics of free amino acids, including glycine, are balanced to maintain an adequate cellular pool for the continuous production of proteins (Nelson and Cox, 2008). Glycine was negative in Ames assays under a variety of conditions, and negative in a Rec assay (Haworth et al., 1983; Fujita et al., 1994; Kada, 1981; Kuroda et al. 1984). Based on the negative mutagenicity study data for glycine and other evidence from structural analogs and the identity and arrangements of functional groups therein, the Panel did not identify specific concerns related to the potential genotoxicity of glycine. The Panel stated that there are no toxicity concerns or safety issues; the properties of this substance are well known and toxicity studies have been published and reviewed previously supporting general recognition of safety.

The Panel reviewed the proposed New Use Levels for *N*-(heptan-4-yl)benzo[d][1,3]dioxole-5-carboxamide (CAS 745047-51-2) and concluded that the additional uses are GRAS (FEMA 4232) for use as a flavor in the food categories at the use levels specified in the GRAS application (See Table 3; Smith et al., 2005a). The substance was reviewed individually within the context of the chemical group of aliphatic and aromatic amines and amides (SLR A7, C21, JECFA 2006, 2008, 2011, 2012). The Panel noted that based on the anticipated increase in the annual volume of use (1000 kg) and the most recent surveyed volume (1000 kg), the *per capita* intake ("eaters only") of *N*-(heptan-4-yl)benzo[d][1,3]dioxole-5-carboxamide from use as a flavor ingredient is calculated to be 294 µg/person/day (5 µg/kg bw/day), which is above the threshold of toxicological concern for structural class III (90 µg/person/day) (Munro et al., 1996). The material was not reported to occur in nature. The Panel considered the specification of the material to be adequately characterized by the purity assay and supporting spectral data provided for FEMA GRAS evaluation. Based on the results of in vitro rat hepatocyte studies, the substance is metabolized by hydroxylation of the 4-heptamine side chain and by ring opening of the methylenedioxy group. These polar metabolites are anticipated to be conjugated and excreted (Denning, 2004). The substance gave negative results in an Ames assay with and without S9 metabolic activation in *S. typhimurium* strains TA98, TA100, TA1535, TA1537, and *E. coli* strain WP2 uvrA, and negative results in a chromosomal aberration assay in CHO ovary WBL cells. The substance was negative in a bone marrow micronucleus test in mice. Based on these results the Panel did not identify specific concerns related to the genotoxicity of *N*-(heptan-4-yl)benzo[d][1,3]dioxole-5-carboxamide (Zhang, 2004a,b,c; Thompson, 2005; Pucaj, 2004). In a 90-day dietary study in CrI:CD(SD)IGS BR male and female rats, no mortality or treatment-related effects were observed, and a NOAEL of >20 mg/kg bw/day was established. This NOAEL is >4,000 times greater than the anticipated daily intake of *N*-(heptan-4-yl)benzo[d][1,3]dioxole-5-carboxamide when used as a flavoring ingredient (Kot, 2005a).

The Panel reviewed the proposed New Use Levels for 3-[(4-amino-2,2-dioxido-1*H*-2,1,3-benzothiadiazin-5-yl)oxy]-2,2-dimethyl-*N*-propylpropanamide (CAS 1093200-92-0) and concluded that the additional uses are GRAS (FEMA 4701) for use as a flavor ingredient in the food categories at the use levels specified in the GRAS application (See Table 3; Smith et al., 2005a). This substance was evaluated individually within the context of the chemical group of miscellaneous nitrogen-containing substances (SLR D19, JECFA 2006, 2009, 2012, 2015). The Panel noted that based on no anticipated increase in the annual volume of use from the new uses and the most recent surveyed volume (650 kg), the *per capita* intake ("eaters only") of 3-[(4-amino-2,2-dioxido-1*H*-2,1,3-benzothiadiazin-5-yl)oxy]-2,2-dimethyl-*N*-propylpropanamide from use as a flavor ingredient is calculated to be 72 µg/person/day (1 µg/kg bw/day), which is below the threshold of toxicological concern for structural class II (540 µg/person/day) (Munro et al., 1996). 3-[(4-Amino-2,2-dioxido-1*H*-2,1,3-benzothiadiazin-5-yl)oxy]-2,2-dimethyl-*N*-propylpropanamide is not known to occur in nature. The Panel considered the specification of the material to be adequately characterized by the purity assay and supporting spectral data provided for FEMA GRAS evaluation. This substance shows very low bioavailability in rodent studies. It is therefore anticipated that oral absorption of this candidate substance in man would be limited. The metabolism of the substance is via oxidation to hydroxylated metabolites, which would be anticipated to be excreted unchanged, or conjugated with glucuronic acid and excreted in the urine (Arthur et al., 2015). The substance gave negative results in an Ames assay with and without S9 metabolic activation in *S. typhimurium* strains TA98, TA100, TA1535, TA1537, and *E. coli* strain WP2 uvrA, and gave negative results in a chromosomal aberration assay in CHO ovary WBL cells. The substance was negative in a bone marrow micronucleus test in CD-1 mice (Arthur et al., 2015). Based on these data, the Panel did not identify specific concerns related to the potential genotoxicity of 3-[(4-amino-2,2-dioxido-1*H*-2,1,3-benzothiadiazin-5-yl)oxy]-2,2-dimethyl-*N*-propylpropanamide. In a 90-day dietary study, 5, 10 or 20 mg of 3-[(4-amino-2,2-dioxido-1*H*-2,1,3-benzothiadiazin-5-yl)oxy]-2,2-dimethyl-*N*-propylpropanamide were administered to male and female CD [CrI:CD(SD)] rats daily. There were no adverse treatment-related effects observed. The NOAEL of 20 mg/kg bw is >16,600 times greater than the estimated daily intake (Arthur et al., 2015).

The Panel reviewed the GRAS application and supporting information regarding glutamyl-valyl-glycine (CAS 38837-70-6) and concluded that it is GRAS (FEMA 4709) for use as a flavor ingredient in the new food categories and at the use levels specified in the GRAS application (See Table 3; Smith et al., 2005a). This substance was evaluated individually within the context of the chemical group of amino acids and related substances (SLR B3; JECFA 2006, 2012). The Panel noted that based on the anticipated proposed increase of 10,000 kg to the most recent surveyed annual volume of use (0 kg), the total proposed annual volume of use is 10,000 kg. Based on this total proposed annual volume of use, the *per capita* intake ("eaters only") of glutamyl-valyl-glycine from use as a flavor ingredient is calculated to be 1473 µg/person/day (25 µg/kg bw/day), which is below the threshold of toxicological concern for structural class I (1800 µg/person/day) (Munro et al., 1996). The material was reported to occur in fish sauce, soy sauce shrimp paste,

raw and dried scallops and scallops extract. While quantitative data is available, the consumption ratio cannot be calculated due to limited information related to the consumption of these food products. The Panel considered the specification of the material to be adequately characterized by the purity assay and supporting spectral data provided for FEMA GRAS evaluation. Based on available data from *in vitro* studies using human small intestinal mucosa homogenate, glutamyl-valyl-glycine is readily hydrolyzed to their respective amino acids (Willis, 2005, 2010a, 2010b). Glutamyl-valyl-glycine did not increase reverse mutations in *S. typhimurium* strains TA98, TA100, TA1535 and TA1537, and *E. coli* strain WP2uvrA either in the presence or absence of metabolic activation. The substance did not induce structural or numerical (polyploidy) chromosome aberrations in Chinese hamster lung fibroblast cells (CHL/IU) in either the presence or absence of metabolic activation. No clastogenic potential was observed in the bone marrow of Crlj:CD1(ICR) SPF mice administered glutamyl-valyl-glycine (Oda et al., 2008). Based on these results, as well as the panel's assessment of the structure of the substance including lack of structural alerts for genotoxicity, and the identity and arrangement of the functional groups therein, the Panel did not identify specific concerns related to the potential genotoxicity of glutamyl-valyl-glycine. A 28-day dietary study in Crl:CD(SD) male and female rats resulted in a NOAEL of 1000 mg/kg/day. This NOAEL is 36,000 times higher than the anticipated daily *per capita* intake of glutamyl-valyl-glycine from use as a flavor ingredient (Okamura, 2010).

The Panel reviewed proposed new use levels for Luo Han Fruit Concentrate (CAS 1042967-53-2) and concluded that they are considered GRAS (FEMA 4711) for use as a flavor ingredient with in the food categories at the use levels specified in the GRAS application (see Table 3). This material was evaluated within the context of the procedure for the safety evaluation of natural flavor complexes (Smith et al., 2005b). The Panel noted that based on the anticipated increase in the annual volume of use (1 kg) and the most recent surveyed volume (4940 kg), the anticipated *per capita* intake ("eaters only") of Luo Han fruit concentrate from use as a flavor ingredient is calculated to be 727 µg/person/day (12 µg/kg bw/day). The material occurs naturally in the edible fruit, *Siraitia grosvenorii* (aka, Monk fruit), but no consumption ratio could be calculated. The Panel considered the previously reviewed specifications to be adequate for FEMA GRAS evaluation. Luo Han Fruit Concentrate does not contain any significant levels of volatile components. The major marker constituent of Luo Han Fruit Concentrate is mogroside V (50%). Metabolic data exist for representative members of each congeneric group that would predict, at the intake levels proposed, metabolism by well-established detoxication pathways to innocuous products. When incubated in an Ames assay with or without S9 metabolic activation in *S. typhimurium* strains TA100, TA98, TA1535 and TA1537 as well as with *E. coli* strain WP2/uvrA, Luo Han Fruit Concentrate was not mutagenic at concentrations up to 5000 µg/plate. In an *in vivo* mouse micronucleus assay, the investigators concluded that under the experimental conditions tested, Luo Han Fruit Concentrate did not induce structural or numerical chromosomal damage in the immature erythrocytes of the mouse up to the maximum tolerable dose (Heimbach, 2009). Based on the available data, the Panel did not identify any specific concerns related to the genotoxic potential of Luo Han Fruit Concentrate.

Three repeat dose oral toxicity studies have been completed and published with the Luo Han fruit concentrate, and in all studies the NOAEL was the highest dose tested and no adverse effects were seen at any tested dose (Heimbach, 2009). The NOAEL of 2520 mg/kg bw from one subchronic study is >200,000 times larger than the anticipated intake of Luo Han Fruit Concentrate when used as a flavoring ingredient under intended use conditions in food.

References

- Abudula R., Jeppesen P.B., Rolfsen S.E., Xiao J. and Hermansen K. 2004. Rebaudioside a potentially stimulates insulin secretion from isolated mouse islets: Studies on the does-, glucose-, and calcium-dependency. *Metabolism*, 53(10). 1378-1381.
- Adams T.B., Cohen S.M., Doull J., Feron V.J., Goodman J.I., Marnett L.J., Munro I.C., Portoghesi P.S., Smith R.L., Waddell W.J. and Wagner B.M. 2004. The FEMA GRAS assessment of cinnamyl derivatives used as flavor ingredients. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 42, 157.
- Aeschbacher H. U., Wolleb U., Loliger J., Spadone J.C. and Liardon R. 1989. Contribution of Coffee Aroma Constituents to the Mutagenicity of Coffee. *Food Chemistry and Toxicology* 27(4), 227-232.
- Agarwal D.K., Lawrence W.H., Nunez L.J., and Autian J. 1985. Mutagenicity evaluation of phthalic acid esters and metabolites in Salmonella typhimurium cultures. *Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health* 16, 61-69.
- Akhurst L.C. 1995. Herbanate: Metaphase chromosome analysis of human lymphocytes cultured in vitro. Study Number KC950254, Huntingdon Research Center, Cambridgeshire, England.
- Anders M.W., Hutson D.H., Caldwell J., and Paulson G.D. 1989. Biotransformation and bioactivation of xenobiotic by the kidney. In: *Intermediary Xenobiotic Metabolism in Animals*. pp. 81-97. Taylor and Francis, New York.
- Andres I. 2014. Determination of the mutagenic potential of Sandalwood austrocaledonia (Santalum austrocaledonicum) EO 21687 with the Bacterial Reverse Mutation Test following OECD 471 and EU B.13/14, Study No.: 14022402G803, LAUS GmbH, Kirrweiler, Germany.
- Arts H.E., Muijser H., Appel M., Kuper F.C., Bessems G.M.J and Woutersen Ruud. 2004. Subacute (28-day) toxicity of furfural in Fischer 344 rats: a comparison of the oral and inhalation route. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 42, 1389-1399.
- Arthur A.J., Karanewsky D.S., Luksic M., Goodfellow G. and Daniels J. 2015. Toxicological evaluation of two flavors with modifying properties: 3-((4-amino-2,2-dioxido-1H-benzo[c][1,2,6]thiadiazin-5-yl)oxy)-2,2-dimethyl-N-propylpropanamide and (S)-1-(3-(((4-amino-2,2-dioxido-1H-benzo[c][1,2,6]thiadiazin-5-yl)oxy)methyl)piperidin-1-yl)-3-methylbutan-1-one. *Food and Chemical Toxicology* 76, 33-45.

Astill B.D., Gingell R., Guest D., Hodgson J.R., Murphy S.R. and Tyler T.R. 1993. Subacute and subchronic oral toxicity of ethylhexanol to Fischer 344 rats and B6C3F1 mice. *The Toxicologist* 13, 70.

Astill B.D., Deckardt K., Gemhardt Chr., Gingell R., Guest D., Hodgson J.R., Mellert W., Murphy S.R., and Tyler T.R. 1996a. Prechronic toxicity studies on 2-ethyl-1-hexanol in F334 rats and B6C3F1 Mice. *Fundamental and Applied Toxicology* 29, 31-39.

Astill B.D., Gingell R., Guest D., Hellwig J., Hodgson J.R., Kuettler K., Mellert W., Murphy S.R., Sielken R.L., and Tyler T.R. 1996b. Oncogenicity testing of 2-ethyl-1-hexanol in Fischer 344 rats and B6C3F1 mice. *Fundamental and Applied Toxicology* 31, 29-41.

Auñon-Calles D., Canut L. and Visioli F. 2013. Toxicological evaluation of pure hydroxytyrosol, *Food Chemical Toxicology*. 55, 498-504.

Auñon-Calles D., Giordano E., Bohnenberger S., Visioli F., Bonanome A., Pagnan A., Caruso D., Toia A., Xamin A., Fedeli E., Berra B., Zamburlini A., Ursini F. and Galli G. 2000. Hydroxytyrosol is not genotoxic in vitro. Evidence of postprandial absorption of olive oil phenols in humans. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis*. 2000 (3):111-20.

Aze Y., Toyoda K., Imaida K., Hayashi S., Imazawa T., Hayashi Y. and Takahashi M. 1991. Subchronic oral toxicity study of stevioside in F344 rats. *Eisei Shikenjo Hokoku* 109, 48-54 [in Japanese].

Bailey D.L. 2012. Qualitative metabolic profile of 2-(((3-(2,3-dimethoxyphenyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazol-5-yl)thio)methyl)pyridine using human and rat mixed gender pooled hepatic microsomes. Study number 2011-156. PharmOptima, Portage, Michigan.

Banerjee T.S. and Giri A.K. 1986. Effects of sorbic acid and sorbic acid-nitrite in vivo on bone marrow chromosomes of mice. *Toxicol. Lett.* 31, 101-106.

Barriocanal L., Palacios M., Benitez G., Benitez S., Jimenez J.T., Jimenez N. and Rojas V. 2008. Apparent lack of pharmacological effect of steviol glycosides used as a sweetener in humans. A pilot study of repeated exposures in some normotensive and hypotensive individuals and Type 1 and Type 2 diabetics. *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology* 51 (1):37-41.

Batzinger R.P., Suh-Yun L. O. and Bueding E. 1977. Saccharin and other sweeteners: mutagenic properties. *Science* 198, 944-946.

Bauter M. 2011. ML-2: A 28-day dietary study in rats. Study No. 31278. Product Safety Laboratories. Dayton, New Jersey.

Bauter M.R. 2012. beta-Caryophyllene epoxide: A 90-Day dietary study in rats. Study number 33329. Product Safety Laboratories, Dayton, New Jersey. As cited in JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2015. Aliphatic and alicyclic hydrocarbons (addendum). In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 70.

http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/171781/3/9789240693982_eng.pdf?ua=1

Belanger J.T. 1998. Perillyl alcohol: Applications in oncology. *Alternative Medicine Review*, 3(6), 448-457.

Bellringer M.E., Smith T.G., Read R., Gopinath C. and Olivier P. 1995. Beta-Cyclodextrin: 52-Week toxicity studies in the rat and dog. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 33 (5), 367-376.

Bertens. A.M.C. 2000. Evaluation of the ability of linalyl acetate to induce chromosome aberrations in cultured peripheral human lymphocytes. NOTOX, The Netherlands. As cited in JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2008. Aliphatic acyclic and alicyclic terpenoid tertiary alcohols and structurally related substances (addendum). In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 59. http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2008/9789241660594_eng.pdf

Bhat B. and Chandreskara N. 1986a. Lack of adverse influence of black pepper its oleoresin and piperine in the weaning rat. *Journal of Food Safety* 7, 215-223.

Bjeldanes L.F. and Chang G.W. 1977. Mutagenic activity of quercetin and related compounds. *Science* 197, 577-578.

Blair A. H. and Bodley F. H. 1969. Human liver aldehyde dehydrogenase: partial purification and properties. *Canadian Journal of Biochemistry* 47, 265-272.

Bonanome A., Pagnan A., Caruso D., Toia A., Xamin A., Fedeli E., Berra B., Zamburlini A., Ursini F. and Galli G. 2000. Evidence of postprandial absorption of olive oil phenols in humans. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis*. 2000 Jun;10(3):111-20.

Booth A.N., Robbins D.J. and Gagne W.E. 1965. Toxicity study of two flavanone dihydrochalcones (potential artificial sweetening agents). Report from Western Regional Research Laboratory, Albany, California, 17 p. As cited in JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2015. Phenol and phenol derivatives (addendum). In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 70. http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/171781/3/9789240693982_eng.pdf?ua=1

Booth A.N. 1974. Long-term toxicity study of neohesperidin dihydrochalcone in rats. As cited in JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2015. Phenol and phenol derivatives (addendum). In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 70. http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/171781/3/9789240693982_eng.pdf?ua=1

Borgman R.F. and Wardlaw F.B. 1975. Serum cholesterol concentrations and cholelithiasis in rabbits as influenced by the form of dietary fat. *Am. J. vet. Res.*, 36(1), 93-95.

Bowen R. 2011. p-Mentha-1,8-dien-7-al: reverse mutation in five histidine-requiring strains of *Salmonella typhimurium*. Study No. 8221207. Covance Laboratories Ltd. North Yorkshire, England. As cited in EFSA Panel on Food Contact Materials, Enzymes, Flavourings and Processing Aids (CEF); Scientific Opinion on Flavouring Group Evaluation 208 (FGE.208): Consideration of genotoxicity data on representatives for 10 alicyclic aldehydes with the α,β -unsaturation in ring / side-chain and precursors from chemical subgroup 2.2 of FGE.19 by EFSA. EFSA Journal 2013;11(4):3151. [25pp.] doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2013. 3151. Available online: www.efsa.europa.eu/efsajournal

Brabec M. J. 1993. Aldehydes and Acetals. In Patty's Industrial Hygiene and Toxicology. Edited by G. D. Clayton and F. E. Clayton. Third Revised Edition. Vol IIB. pp. 2629-2669. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York.

Brambilla G., Cagelli E., Canonero R., Martelli A and Marinari U.M. 1989. Mutagenicity in V79 Chinese hamster cells of n-alkanals produced by lipid peroxidation. *Mutagenesis*, 4(4), 277-279.

BIBRA (British Industrial Biological Research Association).1976. Short-term toxicity of samples TT171, TT172, TT173, and TT174 in rats. United Kingdom. As cited in JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2000. Simple aliphatic and aromatic sulfides and thiols. In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 44. <http://www.inchem.org/documents/jecfa/jecmono/v44j ec09.htm>

Broitman S.A., Wilkinson J., Cerda S. and Branch S.K. 1996. Effects of monoterpenes and mevinolin on murine colon tumor CT-26 in vitro and its hepatic 'metastases' in vivo. *Adv. Exp. Med. Biol.*, 401, 111-130.

Brown J.P., Dietrich P.S. and Brown R.J. (1977) Frameshift mutagenicity of certain naturally occurring phenolic compounds in the "Salmonella/microsome" test: activation of anthraquinone and flavonol glycosides by gut bacterial enzymes. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* 5, 1489-1492.

Brown J.P. and Dietrich P.S. 1979. Mutagenicity of plant flavonols in the Salmonella/mammalian Microsome test: activation of flavonol glycosides by mixed glycosidases from rat cecal bacteria and other sources. *Mutation research* 66, 223-240.

Brusick D.J. 2008. A critical review of the genetic toxicity of steviol and steviol glycosides. *Food and Chemical Toxicology* 46, S83-S91.

Buck N.R. and Renwick A.G. 1998. Report on the metabolism of linalool in rat tissue and intestinal homogenates. University of Southampton, Southampton United Kingdom. As cited in Marnett et al., 2014.

Buck N. R. and A. G. Renwick. 1999. Report on the Metabolism of Linalyl Acetate in Rat Tissue and Intestinal Homogenates. University of Southampton,

Southampton United Kingdom. As cited in Marnett et al., 2014.

Burke Y.D., Stark M.J., Roach S.L., Sen, S.E. and Crowell, P.L. 1997. Inhibition of pancreatic cancer growth by the dietary isoprenoids farnesol and geraniol. *Lipids*, 32, 151-156.

Cabaroglu T., Canbas A., Baumes R., Bayonove C., Lepoutre J.P., and Gunata, Z. 1997. Aroma composition of a white wine of *Vitis vinifera* L. cv. Emir as affected by skin contact. *Journal of Food Science*, 62(4), 680 m- 683.

Canonero R., Martelli A., Marinari U.M. and Brambilla G. 1990. Mutation induction in Chinese hamster lung V79 cells by five alk-2-enals produced by lipid peroxidation. *Mutation Research*, 224(2), 153-156.

Capen C.C., Dybing E., Rice J.M., and Wilbourn J.D. 1999. International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) Consensus: Species differences in thyroid, kidney and urinary bladder carcinogenesis. *IARC Sci. Publ.* 147, pp 175-189.

Carakostas M.C., Curry L.L., Boileau A.C. and Brusick D.J. 2008. Overview: The history, technical function and safety of rebaudioside A, a naturally occurring steviol glycoside, for use in food and beverages. *Food and Chemical Toxicology* 46, S1-S10.

Cardoso R. 2013a. Bacterial Reverse Mutation Assay of 2-(4-Methylphenoxy)-N-(1H-pyrazol-3-yl)-N-(thiophen-2-ylmethyl) acetamide. Study No. 265879. Nucro-Technics, Ontario, Canada.

Cardoso, R. 2013a. Bacterial Reverse Mutation Assay of 2-(((3-(2,3-dimethoxyphenyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazol-5-yl)thio)methyl)pyridine. Study No. 257958. Nucro-Technics, Ontario, Canada.

Cardoso, R. 2013b. In Vitro Chromosome Aberration Test of 2-(((3-(2,3-dimethoxyphenyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazol-5-yl)thio)methyl)pyridine in Human Lymphocytes. Study No. 257957. Nucro-Technics, Ontario, Canada.

Cardoso R. 2013c. In Vitro Micronucleus Test of 2-(((3-(2,3-dimethoxyphenyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazol-5-yl)thio)methyl)pyridine in Chinese Hamster Ovary Cells. Study No. 257956. Nucro-Technics, Ontario, Canada.

Caruso D., Visioli F., Patelli R., Galli C. and Galli G. 2001. Urinary excretion of olive oil phenols and their metabolites in humans. *Metabolism*. 2001 Dec; 50(12):1426-8.

Cavalcante da Silva G.E., Assef A.H., Cordeiro A.C., de Araujo F. F.L., Tasin G., Takahashi M.H., Filho W.E. and Barbosa B.R. 2006. Investigation of the tolerability of oral stevioside in Brazilian hyperlipidemic patients. *Brazilian Archives of Biology and Technology* 49, 583-587.

Chadha A. and Madyastha K.M. 1984. Metabolism of geraniol and linalool in the rat and effects on liver and lung microsomal enzymes. *Xenobiotica* 14, 365-374.

- Chang J.C., Wu M.C., Liu I.M and Cheng J.T. 2005. Increase of insulin sensitivity by stevioside in fructose-rich chow-fed rats. *Hormone and Metabolic Research* 37, 610-616.
- Charlap, J.H. 2013. An Oral (Gavage) Dose Range-Finding Developmental Toxicity Study of 2-(4-Methylphenoxy)-N-(1H-pyrazol-3-yl)-N-(thiophen-2-ylmethyl)acetamide in Rats. Study No. WIL-884019. WIL Research, Ashland, Ohio.
- Charlap J.H. (2015) An Oral (Gavage) Developmental Toxicity Study of 2-(4-Methylphenoxy)-N-(1H-pyrazol-3-yl)-N-(thiophen-2-ylmethyl)acetamide in Rats. (WIL Study No. 884034). WIL Research, Skokie, Illinois.
- Chen B., Yan X., Takenaka M., Sekiya K., and Nagata T. 1998. Determination of synthetic hydroxytyrosol in rat plasma by GC-MS. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*. vol.46, no10, pp. 3998-4001.
- Chen J., Jeppesen P.B., Abudula R., Dyrskog S.E., Colombo M. and Hermansen K. 2006a. Stevioside does not cause increased basal insulin secretion or β -cell desensitization as does sulphurea, glibenclamide: studies in vitro. *Life Sciences* 78, 1748-1753.
- Chen J, Jeppesen P.B., Nordentoft I. and Hermansen K. 2006b. Stevioside counteracts beta-elliptotoxicity without affecting acetyl CoA carboxylase. *The Review of Diabetic Studies* 3, 178-188.
- Chen J., Jeppesen P.B., Nordentoft I. and Hermansen K. 2006c. Stevioside counteracts the glyburide induced desensitization of the pancreatic beta-cell function in mice: studies in vitro. *Metabolism Clinical and Experimental* 55, 1674-1680.
- Chen B., Xiaojun Y., Makiko T., Keizo S., and Tadahiro N. 1998. Determination of Synthetic hydroxytyrosol in rat plasma by GC-MS. *Journal of agricultural and food chemistry*. Vol.46, no10, pp. 3998-4001.
- Chen T.H., Chen S.C., Chan P., Chu Y.L., Yang H.Y. and Cheng J.T. 2005. Mechanism of the hypoglycaemic effect of stevioside a glycoside of *Stevia rebaudiana*. *Planta Medica* 71, 108-113.
- Chengelis C.P., Kirkpatrick J.B., Regan K.S., Radovsky A.E., Beck M.J., Morita O., Tamaki Y. and Suzuki H. 2008. 28-day oral (gavage) toxicity studies of green tea catechins prepared for beverages in rats. *Food and Chemical Toxicology* 46, 978 – 989.
- Chi B. 2012. Pharmacokinetics and Oral bioavailability of 2-(((3-(2,3-dimethoxyphenyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazol-5-yl)thio)methyl)pyridine in Sprague-Dawley rats. Study no. XPK1.
- Chi B. 2013. Metabolic profiling of 2-(((3-(2,3-dimethoxyphenyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazol-5-yl)thio)methyl)pyridine in plasma following a single oral dose to Sprague-Dawley rats. Study no. XPK2.
- Chi B. 2013a. Pharmacokinetics and Oral Bioavailability of 2-(4-Methylphenoxy)-N-(1H-pyrazol-3-yl)-N-(thiophen-2-ylmethyl)acetamide in Sprague-Dawley Rats. Study no. XPK1.
- Chi B. 2013b. Pharmacokinetics and Oral Bioavailability of 2-(4-Methylphenoxy)-N-(1H-pyrazol-3-yl)-N-(thiophen-2-ylmethyl)acetamide in Male Beagle Dogs.
- Chi, B. 2014a. In Vivo Metabolism Study of 2-(4-Methylphenoxy)-N-(1H-pyrazol-3-yl)-N-(thiophen-2-ylmethyl)acetamide in Sprague-Dawley Rats and Beagle Dogs.
- Chi B. 2014b. In Vitro Metabolic Profiling of 2-(4-Methylphenoxy)-N-(1H-pyrazol-3-yl)-N-(thiophen-2-ylmethyl)acetamide Using Human, Rat, Dog, Rabbit, and Gottingen Pig Hepatic Microsomes
- Chi B. 2014c. Comparison of N-(thiophen-2-ylmethyl)-1H-pyrazol-3-amine Plasma Concentration Between 2-(4-Methylphenoxy)-N-(1H-pyrazol-3-yl)-N-(thiophen-2-ylmethyl)acetamide and 3-((thiophen-2-ylmethyl)amino-1H-pyrazol-1-ium chloride Following Single Oral Administration to Male CD-1 Mice
- Chi B. 2014d. Ex-Vivo Plasma Stability of 2-(4-Methylphenoxy)-N-(1H-pyrazol-3-yl)-N-(thiophen-2-ylmethyl)acetamide in Human, Rat, Dog, Rabbit, Monkey and Gottingen Pig Plasma.
- Choudhury R., Chowrimootoo G., Srai K., Debnam E. and Rice-Evans C.A. 1999. Interactions of the flavonoid naringenin in the gastrointestinal tract and the influence of glycosylation. *Biochemical and biophysical research communications* 265, 410-415.
- Colas S. 2010. Santal Austrocaledonien DM 201325 Evaluation of Acute Oral Toxicity in Rats – Acute Toxic Class Method, Study No: TAO423-PH-10/0280, Phycher Bio développement, Cestas, France.
- Collinson V.A. 1989. Acute oral toxicity of 2-pentanethiol in the rat. Study No. A/O/13008, Toxicol Laboratories Limited, Herefordshire, England. As cited in JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2000. Simple aliphatic and aromatic sulfides and thiols. In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 44. <http://www.inchem.org/documents/jecfa/jecmono/v44jec09.htm>
- Connor T.H., Theiss J.C., Hanna H.A., Monteith D.K. and Matney T.S. 1985. Genotoxicity of organic chemicals frequently found in the air of mobile homes. *Toxicology Letters*, 25, 33-40.
- Costa C., Costa S., Rocha M., Peres S., Dacomi A., Piccinato C., Carpinelli A. and Lima F. 2003a. Rebaudioside A, a glycoside of the *Stevia rebaudiana*, stimulates insulin secretion in rat isolated pancreatic islets. *Diabetes* 52 (1), A370 [Abstract No. 1606-P].
- Crowell P.L. 1997. Monoterpenes in breast cancer chemoprevention. *Breast Cancer Res. Treat.*, 46, 191-197.
- Curi R., Alvarez M., Bazotte R.B., Botion L.M., Godoy J.L. and Bracht A. 1986. Effect of *Stevia rebaudiana* on glucose tolerance in normal adult humans. *Brazilian Journal of Medical Biological Research* 19, 771-774.

- Curry L. and Roberts A. 2008. Subchronic toxicity of rebaudioside A. *Food and Chemical Toxicology* 46, suppl 7, S11-S20.
- Curry L. and Roberts A. and Brown N. 2008. Rebaudioside A: Two-generation reproductive toxicity study in rats. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 46 (7), S21-S30.
- Curry L.L. and Roberts A. 2008. Subchronic toxicity of rebaudioside A. *Food Chemical Toxicology*. 46/7S, S11-S20.
- Curry L.L., Roberts A. and Brown N. 2008. Rebaudioside A: two-generation reproductive toxicity study in rats. *Food Chemical Toxicology*. 46/7S, S21-S30.
- Czok G. 1970. Tolerance of 5-hydroxymethylfurfural (HMF). 2nd communication: Pharmacologic effects. *Z. Ernährungswiss.* 10, 103-110.
- D'Angelo S., Manna C., Galletti P., Maisto G., Cucciolla V., and Zappia V. 2000. Transport mechanism and metabolism of olive oil hydroxytyrosol in Caco-2 cells. *FEBS Lett*; 470(3):341-4.
- D'Angelo S., Manna C., Migliardi V., Mazzoni O., Morrica P., Capasso G., Pontoni G., Galletti P. and Zappia V. 2001. Pharmacokinetics and metabolism of hydroxytyrosol, a natural antioxidant from olive oil. *Drug Metab Dispos.* 2001 Nov; 29(11):1492-8.
- Daware M.B., Mujumdar A.M. and Ghaskadbi S. 2000. Reproductive toxicity of piperine in Swiss albino mice. *Planta Medica* 66, 231-236.
- Day A.J., Canada F.J., Diaz J.C., Kroon P.A., Mclauchlan R., Faulds C.B., Plumb G.W., Morgan M.R., and Williamson G. 2000. *FEBS Letters* 468(2-3) 166-170.
- De Bock M., Thorstensen E.B., Derraik José G. B., Henderson H. V., Hofman P.L. and Cutfield W. S. 2013. Human absorption and metabolism of oleuropein and hydroxytyrosol ingested as olive (*Olea europaea* L.) leaf extract. *Molecular Nutrition and Food Research*. 57, 2079-2085.
- De la Torre R. 2008. Bioavailability of olive oil phenolic compounds in humans. *Inflammopharmacology*, (5), 245-7.
- Denning I., Stewart J., Johnson D. and Lam G.N. 2004a. In vitro drug metabolism report, determination of the potential metabolites of test material formed in rat hepatocytes. Report No. MC04141. MicroConstants, Inc. San Diego, California. As cited in JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2008. Aliphatic and aromatic amines and amides (addendum). In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 59. http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2008/9789241660594_eng.pdf
- Deuel H. J. 1957. The Lipids, Their Chemistry and Biochemistry, Vol III. A 28-day Study of 2-(4-Methylphenoxy)-N-(1H-pyrazol-3-yl)-N-(thiophen-2-ylmethyl) acetamide by Oral Gavage Administration in Rats. Editor. Wiley Interscience, New York.
- Diechmann W.B. and Gerarde H.W. 1969. Acute Toxicity of Aliphatic and Aromatic Mercaptans. In *Toxicology of Drugs and Chemicals*. p. 739. Academic Press, Inc. New York.
- Diehl L. 2013. A 28-day Study of 2-(4-Methylphenoxy)-N-(1H-pyrazol-3-yl)-N-(thiophen-2-ylmethyl) acetamide by Oral Gavage Administration in Rats. Study No. 20036640. Charles River Laboratories, Spencerville, Ohio.
- Diehl L. 2014. A 90-day Study of 2-(4-Methylphenoxy)-N-(1H-pyrazol-3-yl)-N-(thiophen-2-ylmethyl) acetamide by Oral Gavage Administration in Rats. Study No. 20041590. Charles River Laboratories, Spencerville, Ohio.
- Dieter M.P., Goehl T.J., Jameson C.W., Elwell M.R., Hildebrandt P.K. and Yuan J.H. 1993. Comparison of the toxicity of citral in F344 rats and B6C3F1 mice when administered by microencapsulation in feed or by corn-oil gavage. *Food Chemical Toxicology* 31, No. 7, pp. 463-474.
- Dittberner U., Eisenbrand G. and Zankl H. 1995. Genotoxic effects of the alpha, beta-unsaturated aldehydes 2-trans-butenal, 2-trans-hexenal and 2-trans, 6-cis-nonadienal. *Mutation Research* 335, 259-265.
- Divincenzo G.D., Hamilton M.L., Mueller K.R., Donish W.H. and Barber E.D. 1985. Bacterial mutagenicity testing of urine from rats dosed with 2-ethyl-1-hexanol derived plasticizers. *Toxicology* 34, 247-259.
- Donovan J. L., Manach C., Faulks R. M. and Kroon P. 2006. Absorption and Metabolism of Dietary Plant Secondary Metabolites., ed. A. Crozier, M. N. Clifford and H. Ashihara, Blackwell Publishing, Oxford, pp. 303-351.
- Dunnick J.K. and Hailey J.R. 1992. Toxicity and carcinogenicity studies of quercetin, a natural component of foods. *Fundamental and Applied Toxicology* 19:423-431.
- Dunster J.S. and Watson P. 2012. Lauric aldehyde: Ninety-day repeated dose oral (dietary) toxicity study in the rat. Project Number 41102082. Harlan Laboratories Ltd., Derbyshire, UK.
- Durling L. J. K., Busk L. and Hellman B. E. 2009. Evaluation of the DNA damaging effect of the heat-induced food toxicant 5-hydroxymethylfurfural (HMF) in various cell lines with different activities of sulfotransferases. *Food Chemical Toxicology* 47, 880-884.
- Dyrskog S.E., Jeppesen P.B., Chen J., Christensen L.P. and Hermansen K. 2005a. The diterpene glycoside, rebaudioside A, does not improve glycemic control or affect blood pressure after eight weeks treatment in the Goto-Kakizaki rat. *The Review of Diabetic Studies* 2, 84-91.
- Dyrskog S.E., Jeppesen P.B., Colombo M., Abudula R. and Hermansen K., 2005b. Preventive effects of a soy-based diet supplemented with stevioside on the development of the metabolic syndrome and type 2 diabetes in sugar diabetic fatty rats. *Metabolism Clinical and Experimental* 54, 1181-1188.
- Eder E., Neudecker T., Lutz D. and Henschler D. 1982. Correlation of alkylating and mutagenic activities of allyl and allylic compounds: Standard alkylation test vs. kinetic investigation. *Chem.-Biol. Interactions* 38, 303-315.

Eder E., Deininger C. and Muth D. 1991. Genotoxicity of p-nitrocinnamaldehyde and related alpha, betaunsaturated carbonyl compounds in two bacterial assays. *Mutagenesis* 6, 261-269.

Eder E., Deininger C., Neudecker T. and Deininger D. 1992. Mutagenicity of beta-alkyl substituted acrolein congeners in the Salmonella typhimurium strain TA100 and genotoxicity testing in the SOS Chromotest. *Environ. Mol. Mutagen.* 19, 338-345.

Eder E., Scheckenbach S., Deininger C. and Hoffman C. 1993. The possible role of alpha, beta-unsaturated carbonyl compounds in mutagenesis and carcinogenesis. *Toxicology Letters* 67, 87-103.

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority). 2010. Scientific Opinion on the safety of steviol glycosides for the proposed uses as a food additive (Question number: EFSA-Q-2007-071, EFSA-Q-2008-387, EFSA-Q-2008-401, adopted:10 March 2010 by European Food Safety Authority). *EFSA J.* 8, 1537 [84 pp.] doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2010.1537. <http://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/efsajournal/pub/1537.htm>.

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority). 2011. Revised exposure assessment for steviol glycosides for the proposed uses as a food additive. *EFSA Journal* 9(1):1972. [19 pp.] doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2011.1972. www.efsa.europa.eu/efsajournal

El- Mohsen M.A., Marks J., Kuhnle G., Rice-Evans C., Moore K., Gibson G., Debnam E., Srai S.K. 2004. The differential tissue distribution of the citrus flavanone naringenin following gastric instillation. *Free Radical Research* 38(12), 1329-1340.

El-Khatib S.M. and Cora E.M. 1981. Role of high-fat diet in tumorigenesis in C57BL/1 mice. *J. Nat. Cancer Inst.*, 66(2), 297-301.

EPA (Environment Protection Agency).1991. Study of the Prenatal Toxicity of Isodecanol, 2-Ethyl-1-hexanol, and 711 Alcohols (T.C.) in Rats after oral administration (gavage). The study is on microfiche OTS0524015-2.

Esterbauer H., Eckl P. and Ortner A. 1990. Possible mutagens derived from lipids and lipid precursors. *Mutation Research*, 238, 223-233.

Fairchild E.J. and Stokinger H.E. 1958. Toxicologic studies on organic sulfur compounds. 1. Acute toxicity of some aliphatic and aromatic thiols (Mercaptans). *American Industrial Hygiene Association Journal (AIHAJ)*, 19(3), 171-189.

Felgines C., Texier O., Morand C., Manach C., Scalbert A., Regerat F. and Remesy C. 2000. Bioavailability of the flavanone naringenin and its glycosides in rats. *American Journal of Physiology. Gastrointestinal and Liver Physiology* 279, G1148-1154.

FDA (Food and Drug Administration). 1993. Priority-based Assessment of Food Additives (PAFA) Database, Washington DC: Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition, p. 58.

Foster J. 2009. PFMC benzamide. In vitro metabolism using rat liver microsomes. Study No. 0431. Huntingdon Life Sciences, Cambridgeshire, UK. As cited in JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2012. Aliphatic and aromatic amines and amides (addendum). In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 67. http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/77763/1/9789241660679_eng.pdf?ua=1

Fujita H., Aoki N. and Sasaki M. 1994. Mutagenicity test of food additives with Salmonella typhimurium TA97 and TA102 (IX*). *Ann. Rep. Tokyo Metr. Res. Lab. Public Health*, 45, 191-199.

Fukami T. and Yokoi T. 2012. The emerging role of human esterases. *Drug Metabolism Pharmacokinetics.* 27(5):466-77.

Galvin J. B. and Farr C. 1994. Organic peroxides. 2.4.7. Di (1-hydroxycyclohexyl) peroxide In Clayton, J. D. and Clayton F. E. (Editors). *Patty's Industrial Hygiene and Toxicology: Toxicology*, Volume 2A. 4th Ed. p. 564 & 567. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York.

Gardana C., Simonetti P., Canzi E., Zanchi R. and Pieta P. 2003. Metabolism of stevioside and rebaudioside A from Stevia rebaudiana extracts by human microflora. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 51, 6618-6622.

Gaunt I.F., Colley J., Grasso P., Lansdown A.B.G. and Gangolli S.D. 1971. Acute (rat and mouse) and short-term (rat) toxicity studies on cis-3-hexen-1-ol. *Food and Cosmetics Toxicology* 7:451-459.

Gaunt I.F., Agrelo C.E., Colley J., Lansdown A.B.G. and Grasso, P. 1971 Short-term toxicity of isobornyl acetate in rats. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 9, 355-366.

Gaunt I.F., Branton P.G., Kiss I.S., Grasso P. and Gangolli S.D. 1972. Short-term Toxicity of Acetoin (acetyl methylcarbinol) in Rats. *Food and Cosmetics Toxicology*, (10), 131-141.

Gaunt I.F., Wright M.G., Cottrell R. and Gangolli, S.D. 1983. Short-term toxicity of 2,6-dimethylhept-5-en-1-ol in rats. *Food Chemical Toxicology*, 21, 543-549.

Gaworski C.L., Vollmuth T.A., York R.G., Heck J.D. and Aranyli C. 1992. Developmental toxicity evaluation of inhaled citral in Sprague-dawley rats. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 30(4), 269-275.

Gee J.M., DuPont S.M., Day A.J., Plumb G.W., Williamson G. and Johnson T.I. 2000. Intestinal transport of quercetin glycosides in rats involves both deglycosylation and interaction with the hexose transport pathway. *Diet, Health and Consumer Sciences Division*, Institute of Food Research, Norwich Research Park, Colney Norwich United Kingdom. NR4 7 UA.

Geldof A.A., Engel C. and Rao B.R. 1992. Estrogenic action of commonly used fragrant agent citral induces prostatic hyperplasia. *Urological Research* (2), 139-144.

Germond J., Philipposian G., Richli U., Bracco I., and Arnaud M. 1987. Rapid and complete urinary elimination of [14C]-5-hydroxymethyl-2-furaldehyde administered orally or intravenously to rats. *J. Toxicology and Environmental Health*. 22, 79-89.

Geuns J. M., Augustijns P., Mols R., Buyse J. G., and Driessen B. 2003. Metabolism of stevioside in pigs and intestinal absorption characteristics of stevioside, rebaudioside A and steviol. *Food and Chemical Toxicology* 41, 1599-1607.

Geuns J.M., Buyse J., Vankeirsbilck A., Temme E.H., Compennolle F., Toppet S. 2006. Identification of steviol glucuronide in human urine. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 5, 2794-2798.

Geuns J. M. C., Buyse J., Vankeirsbilck A. and Temme E. H. M. 2007. Metabolism of stevioside by healthy subjects. *Experimental Biology and Medicine* 232, 164-173.

Geuns J.M.C., Augustijns P., Mols R., Buyse J.G. and Driessen B. 2003. Metabolism of stevioside in pigs and intestinal absorption characteristics of Stevioside, Rebaudioside A and Steviol. *Food and Chemical Toxicology* 41, 1599-1607. *Free radical research* 38, 1329-1340.

Gocke E. 1997. Evaluation of Ro B4-1446/000 for mutagenic activity in the Ames test. Hoffmann La Roche, Study No. 134M97. As cited in JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2000. Simple aliphatic and aromatic sulfides and thiols. In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 44. <http://www.inchem.org/documents/jecfa/jecmono/v44j ec09.htm>

Godfrey V. B., Chen L.J., Griffin R. J., Lebetkin E.H., and Burka L.T. 1999. Distribution and metabolism of (5-hydroxymethyl)furfural in male F344 rats and B6C3F1 mice after oral administration. *J. Toxicology and Environmental Health A*. 57, 199-210.

Gosselin R.E., Hodge H.C., Smith R.P., and Gleason M.N. 1976. *Clinical Toxicology of Commercial Products*, 4th Edition. Baltimore: The Williams & Wilkins Co., p. 152.

Gray T.J.B. and Beamand J.A. 1984. Effect of some phthalate esters and other testicular toxins on primary cultures of testicular cells. *Food and Chemical Toxicology* 22, 123-131.

Griffin D.S., and Segall H.J. 1986. Genotoxicity and cytotoxicity of selected pyrrolizidine alkaloids, a possible alkenal metabolite of the alkaloids, and related alkenals. *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology*, 86, 227-234.

Gumbmann M.R., Gould D.H., Robbins D.J. and Booth A.N. 1978. Toxicity studies of neohesperidin dihydrochalcone. In *Sweeteners and Dental Caries*. Shaw J.H. & G.G. Roussos (eds.). Information Retrieval Inc., Washington, D.C., pp. 301-310.

Haag J.D. and Gould M.N. 1994. Mammary carcinoma regression induced by perillyl alcohol, a hydroxylated analog of limonene. *Cancer Chemother. Pharmacol.* 34, 477-483.

Hackett A.M. and Griffiths L.A. 1982. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev.* 10(1), 53-58. The metabolism and excretion of 3-palmitoyl-(+)-catechin in the rat. *Xenobiotica*. 12(7) 447 - 456.

Hagan E.C., Hansen W.H., Fitzhugh O.G., Jenner P.M., Jones W.I. and Taylor J.M. 1967. Food flavourings and compounds of related structure. II. Subacute and chronic toxicity. *Food and Cosmetics Toxicology* 5, 141-157.

Hall R.L. and Oser B.L. 1965. 3. GRAS substances. *Food Technology*. 19(2), Supp.

Hall C. 2011a. In vitro chromosome aberration test in Chinese hamster V79 cells with test with mixture of 1-vinyl-3-cyclohexenecarbaldehyde and 4-vinyl-1-cyclohexenecarbaldehyde. Harlan Study No. 1406305. Harlan Cytotest Cell Research GmbH, Rossdorf, Germany.

Hall C. 2011b. Micronucleus assay in bone marrow cells of the mouse with mixture of 1-vinyl-3-cyclohexenecarbaldehyde and 4-vinyl-1-cyclohexenecarbaldehyde. Harlan Study No. 1439400. Harlan Cytotest Cell Research GmbH, Rossdorf, Germany.

Hallagan J.B. and Hall R.L. 2009. Under the conditions of intended use: New developments in the FEMA GRAS program and the safety assessment of flavor ingredients. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*. 47, 267.

Hardin B.D., Schuler R.L., Burg J. R., Booth G. M., Hazelden K.P., MacKenzie K.M., Piccirillo V.J., and Smith K.N. 1987. Evaluation of 60 chemicals in a preliminary developmental toxicity test. *Teratogen. Carcinogen. Mutagen.* 7, 29-48.

Harman C.L. and Hallagan J.B. 2013. Sensory testing for flavorings with modifying properties. *Food Technology*. 67(11), 44.

Harnasch D. 1999. Mutagenicity study of 2-methylundecanal (aldehyde C12 MNA) in the Salmonella typhimurium/mammalian microsome reverse mutation assay (Ames-Test).

Harnasch D. 2012. Mutagenicity study of 2-methylundecanal (aldehyde C12 MNA) in the Salmonella typhimurium/mammalian microsome reverse mutation assay (Ames-Test).

Haworth S., Lawlor T., Mortelmans K., Speck W. and Zeiger E. 1983. Salmonella mutagenicity test results for 250 chemicals. *Environmental Mutagenesis Supplement*, 1, 3-142.

Heck J.D., Vollmuth T.A., Cifone M.A., Jagnath D.R., Myhr B. and Curren R.D. 1989. An evaluation of food flavoring ingredients in a genetic toxicity screening battery. *The Toxicologist* 9(1), 257.

Heimbach J. 2009. Determination of the GRAS status of the use of Luo Han fruit concentrate.

Heymann E. 1980. Carboxylesterases and Amidases. In: *Enzymatic Basis of Detoxication*. Jakoby W.B., Bend J.R., and Caldwell J. (eds.) 2nd ed. Pp.291-323. Academic Press, New York.

Hillyard L.A. and S. Abraham. 1979. Effect of dietary polyunsaturated fatty acids on growth of mammary adenocarcinomas in mice and rats. *Cancer Research*, 39, 4430-4437.

Hirono I., Ueno I., Hosaka S., Takanashi H., Matsushima T., Sugimura T., and Natori S. 1981. Carcinogenicity examination of quercetin and rutin in ACl rats. *Cancer Letters* 13:15-21.

Hirono I. 1993. Edible plants containing naturally occurring carcinogens in Japan. *Japanese Journal of Cancer Research* 84, 997-1006.

Hoberman A.M., Christian M.S., Bennett M.B. and Vollmuth T.A. 1989. Oral general reproduction study of citral in female rats. *The Toxicologist* 9, 271.

Hodgson, J.R. Myhr, B.C., McKeon, M. & Brusick, D.J. (1982) Evaluation of di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate and its major metabolites in the primary rat hepatocyte unscheduled DNA synthesis assay. *Environ. Mutagen.* 4, 388.

Hood R.D., Patterson B.L., Thacker G.T., Sloan G.L and Szczech G.M. (1979) Prenatal effect of 2,4,5-T,2,4,5-Trichlorophenol and phenoxyacetic acid in mice. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health.* C13 (3), 189-204.

Hooks W.N. 1988. Safety assessment of transglycosylated stevia sweetener. Study no. 64912. As cited in JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2000a. Sweetening agents: Stevioside. In Evaluation of Certain Food Additives and Contaminants. 51st Report of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA), June 9-18, 1998. WHO Technical Report Series no. 891. pp. 35-37, 147-148, 161. World Health Organization (WHO), Geneva, Switzerland http://whqlibdoc.who.int/trs/WHO_TRS_891.pdf

Hosokawa M. 2008. Structure and catalytic properties of carboxylesterase isozymes involved in metabolic activation of prodrugs. *Molecules* 13:412-31.

Hsiu S.L., Huang T.Y., Hou Y.C., Chin D.H. and Chao P.D. 2002. Comparison of metabolic pharmacokinetics of naringin and naringenin in rabbits. *Life sciences* 70, 1481-1489.

Hutapea A. M., Toskulkao C., Buddhasukh D., Wilairat P., and Glinsukon T. 1997. Digestion of stevioside, a natural sweetener, by various digestive enzymes. *J. Clin. Biochem. Nutr.* 23, 177-186.

Ishida S., Sakiya Y., Ichikawa T. and Awazu S. 1989a. Pharmacokinetics of glycyrrhetic acid, a metabolite of glycyrrhizin, in rats. *Chemical and Pharmaceutical Bulletin*, 37(9), 2509-2513.

Ishidate M. Jr., Sofuni T., Yoshikawa K., Hayashi M., Nohmi T., Sawada M. and Matsuoka A. 1984. Primary mutagenicity screening of food additives currently used in Japan. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 22(8), 623-636.

Ito N., Hagiwara A., Tamano S., Kagawa M., Shibata M.A., Kurata Y. and Fukushima S. 1989. Carcinogenicity examination of quercetin and rutin in ACl rats. *Cancer*

Letters 13:15-21. Lack of carcinogenicity of quercetin in F344/DuCrj rats. *Japanese Journal of Cancer Research* 80:317-325.

James R. 1974. Appendix 4, Animal Studies - summary of results. Huntingdon Research Centre, Huntingdon, Cambridgeshire. As cited in JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2006. Aliphatic and aromatic amines and amides. In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 56. http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2006/924166056_2_eng.pdf

Janzowski C., Glaab V., Samimi E., Schlatter J., and Eisenbrand, G. 2000. 5-Hydroxymethylfurfural: Assessment of mutagenicity, DNA-damaging potential and reactivity towards cellular glutathione. *Food and Chemical Toxicology* 38, 801-809.

JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 1998. Saturated aliphatic acyclic branched-chain primary alcohols, aldehydes, and acids. In Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 40. <http://www.inchem.org/documents/jecfa/jecmono/v040je11.htm>

JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 1999. Saturated aliphatic acyclic secondary alcohols, ketones, and related saturated and unsaturated esters. In Safety evaluation of certain food additives. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 42. <http://www.inchem.org/documents/jecfa/jecmono/v042je15.htm>

JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 1999. Linear and branched-chain aliphatic, unsaturated, unconjugated alcohols, aldehydes, acids, and related esters. In Safety evaluation of certain food additives. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 42. <http://www.inchem.org/documents/jecfa/jecmono/v042je16.htm>

JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 1999. Aliphatic acyclic and alicyclic alpha-diketones and related alpha-hydroxyketones. In Safety evaluation of certain food additives. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 42. <http://www.inchem.org/documents/jecfa/jecmono/v042je20.htm>

JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2000. Simple aliphatic and aromatic sulfides and thiols. In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 44. <http://www.inchem.org/documents/jecfa/jecmono/v44jec09.htm>

JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2000. Aliphatic primary alcohols, aldehydes, carboxylic acids, acetals, and esters containing additional oxygenated functional groups. In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 44. <http://www.inchem.org/documents/jecfa/jecmono/v44jec09.htm>

JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives).2000a. Sweetening agents: Stevioside. In Evaluation of Certain Food Additives and Contaminants. 51st Report of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA), June 9-18, 1998. WHO Technical Report Series no. 891. pp. 35-37, 147-148, 161. World Health Organization (WHO), Geneva, Switzerland http://whqlibdoc.who.int/trs/WHO_TRS_891.pdf.

JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2001. Cinnamyl alcohol and related substances. In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 46. http://www.inchem.org/documents/jecfa/jecmono/v46j_e07.htm

JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2001. Phenol and phenol derivatives. In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 46. http://www.inchem.org/documents/jecfa/jecmono/v46j_e09.htm

JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2002. Hydroxy- and alkoxy-substituted benzyl derivatives. In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 48. http://www.inchem.org/documents/jecfa/jecmono/v48j_e15.htm

JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2003. Alicyclic primary alcohols, aldehydes, acids, and related esters. In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 50. http://www.inchem.org/documents/jecfa/jecmono/v50j_e10.htm

JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2003. Sulfur-containing heterocyclic compounds. In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 50. http://www.inchem.org/documents/jecfa/jecmono/v50j_e12.htm

JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2004. Linear and branched-chain aliphatic, unsaturated, unconjugated alcohols, aldehydes, acids and related esters: additional compounds. In: Evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants (Sixty-first report of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). WHO Technical Report Series: No. 922. http://whqlibdoc.who.int/trs/WHO_TRS_922.pdf?ua=1

JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2004. Aliphatic branched-chain saturated and unsaturated alcohols, aldehydes, acids, and related esters. In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 52. http://www.inchem.org/documents/jecfa/jecmono/v52j_e15.htm

JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2004. Simple aliphatic and aromatic sulfides and thiols: additional compounds. In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 52.

http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2004/924166052_X.pdf?ua=1

JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2005a. Steviol glycosides. In Evaluation of Certain Food Additives. Sixty-Third Report of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA), June 8-17, 2004, Geneva, Switzerland WHO Technical Report Series, no. 928. pp. 34-39, 138. World Health Organization (WHO), Geneva, Switzerland. http://whqlibdoc.who.int/trs/WHO_TRS_928.pdf.

JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2006a. Steviol glycosides. In Safety Evaluation of Certain Food Additives. Sixty-third Meeting of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives, June 8-17, 2004, Geneva, Switzerland WHO Food Additives Series, no. 54. pp. 117-144, 638. World Health Organization (WHO), International Programme on Chemical Safety (IPCS), Geneva, Switzerland. http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2006/924166054_6_eng.pdf.

JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2006. Monocyclic and bicyclic secondary alcohols, ketones and related esters. In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 54. http://www.inchem.org/documents/jecfa/jecmono/v54j_e01.pdf

JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2006. Amino acids and related substances. In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 54. http://www.inchem.org/documents/jecfa/jecmono/v54j_e01.pdf

JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2006. Miscellaneous nitrogen- containing flavouring agents. In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 56. http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2006/924166056_2_eng.pdf

JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2006. Aliphatic and aromatic amines and amides. In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 56. http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2006/924166056_2_eng.pdf

JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2006. Quillaia Extract type 1 (addendum). In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 56. http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2006/924166056_2_part1_e_eng.pdf

JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2007. Steviol glycosides. In Evaluation of Certain Food Additives and Contaminants. Sixty-eighth. Report of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA), June 19-28, 2007. Geneva, Switzerland WHO Technical Report Series no. 947. pp. 50-54, 78. World Health Organization(WHO), Geneva, Switzerland

http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2007/9789241209472_eng.pdf

JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2007. Linear and branched-chain aliphatic, unsaturated, unconjugated alcohols, aldehydes, acids and related esters. In: Evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants (Sixty-eighth report of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). WHO Technical Report Series: No. 947
http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2007/9789241209472_eng.pdf

JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2008. Simple aliphatic and aromatic sulfides and thiols (addendum). In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 59.
http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2008/9789241660594_eng.pdf

JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2008. Sulfur-containing heterocyclic compounds (addendum). In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 59.
http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2008/9789241660594_eng.pdf

JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2008. Aliphatic and aromatic amines and amides (addendum). In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 59.
http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2008/9789241660594_eng.pdf

JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2009. Hydroxy- and alkoxy-substituted benzyl derivatives (addendum). In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 60.
http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2009/9789241660600_eng.pdf

JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2009. Miscellaneous nitrogen-containing substances (addendum). In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 60.
http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2009/9789241660600_eng.pdf

JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2011. Alicyclic primary alcohols, aldehydes, acids and related esters (addendum). In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 64.
http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2011/9789241660648_eng.pdf?ua=1

JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2011. Aliphatic acyclic and alicyclic alpha-diketones and related alpha-hydroxyketones (addendum). In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 64.

http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2011/9789241660648_eng.pdf

JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2011. Aliphatic acyclic and alicyclic terpenoid tertiary alcohols and structurally related substances (addendum). In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 64.
http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2011/9789241660648_eng.pdf

JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2011. Aliphatic and aromatic amines and amides (addendum). In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 64.
http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2011/9789241660648_eng.pdf

JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2011. Phenol and phenol derivatives (addendum). In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 64. 2011.
http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2011/9789241660648_eng.pdf?ua=1

JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2011. Simple aliphatic and aromatic sulfides and thiols (addendum). In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 64.
http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2011/9789241660648_eng.pdf

JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2012. Aliphatic and aromatic amines and amides (addendum). In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 67.
http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/77763/1/9789241660679_eng.pdf?ua=1

JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2012. Amino acids and related substances (addendum). In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 67.
http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/77763/1/9789241660679_eng.pdf?ua=1

JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2012. Linear and branched-chain aliphatic, unsaturated, unconjugated alcohols, aldehydes, acids and related esters (addendum). In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 67.
http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/77763/1/9789241660679_eng.pdf?ua=1

JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2012. Miscellaneous nitrogen-containing substances (addendum). In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 67.
http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/77763/1/9789241660679_eng.pdf?ua=1

JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2012. Phenol and phenol derivatives (addendum). In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 67. http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/77763/1/9789241660679_eng.pdf?ua=1

JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2012. Sulfur-containing heterocyclic compounds (addendum). In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 67. http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/77763/1/9789241660679_eng.pdf?ua=1

JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2015. Sulfur-containing heterocyclic compounds (addendum). In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 70. http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/171781/3/9789240693982_eng.pdf?ua=1

JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2015. Miscellaneous nitrogen-containing substances (addendum). In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 70. http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/171781/3/9789240693982_eng.pdf?ua=1

JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2015. Monocyclic and bicyclic secondary alcohols, ketones and related esters (addendum). In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 70. http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/171781/3/9789240693982_eng.pdf?ua=1

JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2015. Phenol and phenol derivatives (addendum). In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 70. http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/171781/3/9789240693982_eng.pdf?ua=1

Jeppesen P.B., Gregersen S. and Hermansen K. 1996. Stevioside and steviol stimulates insulin secretion from isolated mouse islets. *Diabetologia* 39, A125 [Abstract No. 472].

Jeppesen P., Gregersen S., Poulsen C.R. and Hermansen K. 2000. Stevioside acts directly on pancreatic β cells to secrete insulin: actions independent of cyclic adenosine monophosphate and adenosine triphosphate-sensitive K⁺-channel activity. *Metabolism* 49, 208-214.

Jeppesen P., Gregersen S., Rolfsen S.E.D., Jepsen M., Colombo M., Agger A., Xiao J., Kruhoffer M., Orntoft T. and Hermansen K. 2003. Antihyperglycemic and blood pressure reducing effects of stevioside in the diabetic Goto-Kakizaki rat. *Metabolism Clinical and Experimental* 52, 372-378.

Jeppesen P.B., Dyrskog S.E., Agger A., Gregersen S., Colombo M., Xiao J. and Hermansen K. 2006. Can stevioside in combination with a soy-based dietary supplement be a new useful treatment of type 2 diabetes? An *in vivo* study in the diabetic goto-kakizaki rat. *The Review of Diabetic Studies* 3, 189-199.

Jones, E. 1990. 3-Mercapto-3-methylbutanol: bacterial mutation assay. Huntingdon Research Centre, Study KA900447. As cited in JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2000. Simple aliphatic and aromatic sulfides and thiols. In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 44. <http://www.inchem.org/documents/jecfa/jecmono/v44j ec09.htm>

Jurado J., Alejandro-Duran E., Alonso-Moraga A. and Pueyo, C. 1991. Study on the mutagenic activity of 13 bioflavonoids with the Salmonella Ara test. *Mutagenesis* 6, 289-295.

Kada T. 1981. The DNA-damaging activity of 42 coded compounds in the Rec-assay. In De Serres, F.J. and Ashby, J. (eds.), Evaluation of short-term tests for carcinogens: report of the international collaborative program. Elsevier/North Holland, New York, Vol. 1, pp. 176-182.

Kanaze F.I., Bounartzi M.I., Georgarakis M. and Niopas I. 2007. Pharmacokinetics of the citrus flavanone aglycones hesperetin and naringenin after single oral administration in human subjects. *European journal of clinical nutrition* 61, 472-477.

Kasamaki A., Takahashi H., Tsumura N. Niwa J., Fujita T. and Urasawa S. 1982. Genotoxicity of flavoring agents. *Mutation Research*, 105, 387-392.

Kassahun K., Farrell K., Abbott F. 1991. Identification and characterization of the glutathione and n-acetylcysteine conjugates of (E) - 2-propyl-2, 4-pentadienyl acid, a toxic metabolite of valproic acid, in rats and humans. *Drug Metabolism and Disposition*, 19(2), 525-535.

Kawaguchi R. 2012. Reverse mutation assay "Ames Test" using Salmonella typhimurium. Test material (E)-6-octenal.

Keating J.W. 1972. Acute oral toxicity (rat LD50) and dermal toxicity (rabbit) of santalol Foster D. Snell, Inc. Elizabeth, New Jersey. As cited in JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2003. Alicyclic primary alcohols, aldehydes, acids, and related esters. In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 50. <http://www.inchem.org/documents/jecfa/jecmono/v50j e10.htm>

Kim S. B., Hayase F. and Kato H. 1987. Desmutagenic effect of alpha-dicarbonyl and alpha-hydroxycarbonyl compounds against mutagenic hetero-cyclic amines. *Mutation Research*. 177, 9-15

Kirby P.E., Pizzarello R.F., Lawlor T.E., Haworth S.R. and Hodgson J.R. 1983. Evaluation of di-(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate and its major metabolites in the Ames test and L5178Y mouse lymphoma mutagenicity assay. *Environ. Mutagen.* 5, 657-663.

- Koh G.Y., McCutcheon K., Zhang F., Liu D., Cartwright C.A., Martin R., Yang P. and Liu Z. 2011. Improvement of obesity phenotype by Chinese sweet leaf tea (*Rubus suavissimus*) components in high-fat diet-induced obese rats. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 59, 98-104.
- Kono M., Yoshida Y., Itaya Y., Shimobo K., Yoshikawa K., Terashita T. and Shishiyama J. 1995. Antimicrobial activity and mutagenicity of allyl isothiocyanates and several essential oils from spices. *Kinki Daigaku Nogakubu kiyo (Memoirs of the Faculty of Agriculture of Kinki University)*, 28, 11-19.
- Kot K. 2005a. 90-Day dietary toxicity study with *N*-(heptan-4-yl)benzo[d][1,3]dioxole-5-carboxamide in rats. Study No. 7563-101 Covance Laboratories, Vienna, Virginia. As cited in JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2008. Aliphatic and aromatic amines and amides (addendum). In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 59. http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2008/9789241660594_eng.pdf
- Kot K. 2005b. 90-Day dietary toxicity study with *N*-(heptan-4-yl)benzo[d][1,3]dioxole-5-carboxamide in rats. Study No. 7563-103. Covance Laboratories, Vienna, Virginia. As cited in JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2008. Aliphatic and aromatic amines and amides (addendum). In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 59. http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2008/9789241660594_eng.pdf
- Koyama E., Kitazawa K., Ohori Y., Izawa O., Kakegawa K., Fujino A., and Ui M. 2003a. In vitro metabolism of the glycosidic sweeteners, stevia mixture and enzymatically modified stevia in human intestinal microflora. *Food and Chemical Toxicology* 41, 359-374.
- Koyama E., Sakai N., Ohori Y., Kitazawa K., Izawa O., Kakegawa K., Fujino A. and Ui M. 2003b. Absorption and metabolism of the glycosidic sweeteners, Stevia related compounds in human and rat. *Food and Chemical Toxicology* 41, 875-883.
- Kraemer T and Maurer H.H. 1994. On the metabolism of the sweetener stevioside in humans. *European Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences* 2, 103 [Abstract No. FC12].
- Kuroda K., Tanaka S., Yu Y.S. and Ishibashi T. 1984. Rec-assay of food additives. *Nippon Kosnu Eisei Zasshi*, 31(6), 277-281.
- Lake B.G., Gangolli S.D., Grasso P. and Lloyd A.G. 1975. Studies on the hepatic effects of orally administered di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate in the rat. *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology* 32(2), 355-367.
- Lake B.G., Gangolli S.D., Grasso P., and Lloyd A.G. 1992. Studies on the hepatic effects of orally administered di-(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate in the rat. *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology* 32, 355-367.
- Lea L., 1996. Herbanate (Bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene-2-carboxylic acid, 3-(1-methylethyl)-, ethyl ester, (2-endo,3-exo)-): 28 day subacute oral toxicity study in rats. Study Number GF950253.
- Lee S.P., Tasman-Jones C., and Carlisle V. 1986. Oleic acid-induced cholelithiasis in rabbits: Changes in bile composition and gallbladder morphology. *Am. J. Pathol.*, 124, 18-24
- Lewis E.M. 2006. Oral (gavage) developmental toxicity study of linalool in rats. Project No. TIF00009. Charles River Laboratories, Frederick, Maryland. As cited in Marnett et al., 2014.
- Lewis E.M. 2006. Oral (gavage) developmental toxicity study of linalool in rats Project No. TIF00009. Charles River Laboratories, Frederick, Maryland. As cited in Marnett et al., 2014.
- Lijinsky W. and Andrews A. W. (1980) Mutagenicity of vinyl compounds in salmonella typhimurium. *Teratog. Carcinog. Mutagen.* 1, 259-267.
- Lina B.A.R., Dreeg-van Meulen H.C. and Leegwater D.C.1990. Subchronic (13-week) oral toxicity of neohesperidin dihydrochalcone in rats. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 2, 507-513.
- Lloyd, M.2009. p-Mentha-1,8-dien-7-al: induction of micronuclei in cultured human peripheral blood lymphocytes. Study No.: 8200450. Covance Laboratories Ltd. North Yorkshire, England. As cited in EFSA Panel on Food Contact Materials, Enzymes, Flavourings and Processing Aids (CEF); Scientific Opinion on Flavouring Group Evaluation 208 (FGE.208); Consideration of genotoxicity data on representatives for 10 alicyclic aldehydes with the α,β -unsaturation in ring / side-chain and precursors from chemical subgroup 2.2 of FGE.19 by EFSA. *EFSA Journal* 2013;11(4):3151. [25pp.] doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2013. 3151. Available online: www.efsa.europa.eu/efsajournal
- Lloyd, M. 2012. p-Mentha-1,8-dien-7-al: mutation at the hprt locus of mouse lymphoma L5178Y cells (MLA) using the MicrotitreR fluctuation technique. Study No.: 8250474. Covance Laboratories Ltd. North Yorkshire, England. As cited in EFSA Panel on Food Contact Materials, Enzymes, Flavourings and Processing Aids (CEF); Scientific Opinion on Flavouring Group Evaluation 208 (FGE.208); Consideration of genotoxicity data on representatives for 10 alicyclic aldehydes with the α,β -unsaturation in ring / side-chain and precursors from chemical subgroup 2.2 of FGE.19 by EFSA. *EFSA Journal* 2013;11(4):3151. [25pp.] doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2013. 3151. Available online: www.efsa.europa.eu/efsajournal
- Macgregor J. T. and Jurd L. 1978. Mutagenicity of plant flavonoids: Structural requirements for Mutagenicity of plant for mutagenic activity in Salmonella typhimurium. *Mutation Research* 54, 297-309.
- Maistro E.L., Angeli J.P., Andrade S.F. and Montovani M.S. 2011. In vitro genotoxicity assessment of caffeic, cinnamic and ferulic acids. *Genet. Mol. Res.* 10(2) 1130-1140.

Maki K.C., Curry L.L., McKenney J.M., Farmer M.V., Reeves M.S., Dicklin M.R., Gerich J.E. and Zinmann B. 2007. Glycemic and blood pressure responses to acute doses of rebaudioside A, a steviol glycoside, in men and women with normal glucose tolerance or type 2 diabetes mellitus. The FASEB (Federation of American Societies for Experimental Biology) Journal 351.6.

Maki K.C., Curry L.L., Reeves M.S., Toth P.D., McKenney J.M., Farmer M.V., Schwartz S.L., Lubin B.C., Boileau A., Dicklin M.R., Carakostas M. and Tarka S.2008a. Chronic consumption of rebaudioside A, a steviol glycoside, in men and women with type 2 diabetes mellitus, Food and Chemical Toxicology 46, S47-S53.

Maki K.C., Curry, L.L., Carakostas M.C., Tarka S.M., Reeves M.S., Farmer M.V., McKenney J.M., Toth P.D., Schwartz S.L., Lubin B.C., Dicklin M.R., Boileau A.C. and Bisognano J.D. 2008b. The hemodynamic effects of rebaudioside A in healthy adults with normal and low-normal blood pressure. Food and Chemical Toxicology 46/7S, S40-S46.

Maltzman T.H., Christou M., Gould M.N. and Jefcoate C.R. 1991. Effects of monoterpenoids on in vivo DMBA-DNA adduct formation and on phase I hepatic metabolising enzymes. Carcinogenesis, 12, 2081-2087.

Manach C., Williamson G., Morand C., Scalbert A., Remesy C. 2005. Bioavailability and bioefficiency of polyphenols in humans. I. Review of 97 bioavailability studies. The American Journal of Nutrition 81, 230S-240S.

Mancebo A., Trapero Y.M., González Y., González B.O., Fuentes D., Hernández O., Hernández J., González C., Hernández Y., Arteaga M.E., Subirós N., Bada A.M. 2003. Repeated dose oral toxicity (13 weeks) of 4-hydroxy-3-methoxy-benzaldehyde (vanillin) to Sprague Dawley rats. Toxicology Letters, 144(S1), s57.

Manna C., Galletti P., Maisto G., Cucciolla V., D'Angelo S., Zappia V. 2000. Transport mechanism and metabolism of olive oil hydroxytyrosol in Caco-2 cells. FEBS Lett. 470(3):341-4.

Marnett L.J., Hurd H.K., Hollstein M.C., Levin D.E., Esterbauer H. and Ames B.N. 1985. Naturally occurring carbonyl compounds are mutagens in salmonella tester strain TA104. Mutation Research, 148, 25-34.

Marnett L.J., Cohen S.M., Fukushima S., Gooderham N.J., Hecht S.S., Rietjens I.M.C.M., Smith R.L., Adams T.B., Bastaki M., Harman C.L., McGowen M.M. and Taylor S.V. 2014. GRASr2 evaluation of aliphatic acyclic and alicyclic terpenoid tertiary alcohols and structurally related substances used as flavouring ingredients. Journal of Food Science, Concise Reviews In Food Science, 79, R428-R441.

Martelli A., Canonero R., Cavanna M., Ceradelli M. and Marinari U.M. 1994. Cytotoxic and genotoxic effects of five n-alkanals in primary cultures of rat and human hepatocytes. Mutation Research 323 (3), 121-126.

Mateos R., Goya L., and Bravo L. 2005. Metabolism of the olive oil phenols hydroxytyrosol, tyrosol, and hydroxytyrosyl acetate by human hepatoma HepG2 cells. J Agric Food Chem. 53(26):9897-905.

May, K. 2010. Pinocarvyl acetate: Bacterial reverse mutation test. Huntingdon Life Sciences Study JMNO005.

Meerts I. 2001. Micronucleus test in bone marrow cells of the mouse with linalool. Project No. 328826. NOTOX B.V., Hertogenbosch, Netherlands. As cited in JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2011. Aliphatic acyclic and alicyclic terpenoid tertiary alcohols and structurally related substances (addendum). In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 64. http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2011/9789241660648_eng.pdf

Mei S. et al. 2010. Palmitic Acid Esters of Tea Polyphenols. Zhejiang Academy of Medical Sciences, Zhejiang, China.

Mills J.J., Chari R.S., Boyer I.J., Gould M.N. and Jirtle, R.L. 1995. Induction of apoptosis in liver tumors by the monoterpene perillyl alcohol. Cancer Research 55, 979-983.

Monien B. H., Frank H., Seidel A. and Glatt H. 2009. Conversion of the common food constituent 5-hydroxymethylfurfural into a mutagenic and carcinogenic sulphuric acid ester in the mouse in vivo. Chem. Res. Toxicol. 22, 1123-1128.

Moran E.J., Easterday O.D. and Oser B.L. 1980. Acute oral toxicity of selected flavor chemicals. Journal Drug and Chemical Toxicology, 3(3), 249-258.

Moreno O. M. 1973. Acute toxicity study on rats and rabbits. As cited in JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2004. Linear and branched-chain aliphatic, unsaturated, unconjugated alcohols, aldehydes, acids and related esters: additional compounds. In: Evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants (Sixty-first report of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). WHO Technical Report Series: No. 922. http://whqlibdoc.who.int/trs/WHO_TRS_922.pdf?ua=1

Morgareidge K. 1971a. 90-Day feeding study with 2-keto-3-pentanethiol in rats. Food and Drug Research Laboratories, Waverly, New York. As cited in JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2000. Simple aliphatic and aromatic sulfides and thiols. In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 44. <http://www.inchem.org/documents/jecfa/jecmono/v44jec09.htm>

Morgareidge K., Bailey D.E., and Cox G.E. 1974. 90-Day feeding study in rats with compound 2-mercapto-3-butanol. Food and Drug Research Laboratories, Waverly, New York. JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2000. Simple aliphatic and aromatic sulfides and thiols. In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 44. <http://www.inchem.org/documents/jecfa/jecmono/v44jec09.htm>

Morgareidge K. 1974. 90-Day feeding study in rats with Compound 2-mercapto-3-butanol. Food and Drug Research Laboratories, Waverly, New York. JECFA (Joint

FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2000. Simple aliphatic and aromatic sulfides and thiols. In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 44. <http://www.inchem.org/documents/jecfa/jecmono/v44j ec09.htm>

Morgareidge K. and Oser B.L. 1970. 90-Day feeding studies in rats with cyclopentanethiol. Food and Drug Research Laboratories, Waverly, New York. JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2000. Simple aliphatic and aromatic sulfides and thiols. In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 44. <http://www.inchem.org/documents/jecfa/jecmono/v44j ec09.htm>

Mortelmans K., Haworth S., Lawlor T., Speck W., Tainer B., and Zeiger E. 1986. Salmonella mutagenicity tests: II. Results from the testing of 270 chemicals. Environmental Mutagenesis. 8(7), 1-119.

Mukherjee A., Giri A.K., Talukder G. and Sharma A. 1988. Sister chromatid exchanges and micronuclei formations induced by sorbic acid and sorbic acid-nitrite in vivo in mice. Toxicol Lett. 42, 47-53.

Munro I.C., Ford R.A., Kennepohl E. and Sprenger J.G. 1996. Correlation of structural class with no-observed-effect levels: a proposal for establishing a threshold of concern. Food and Chem. Toxicol. 34, 829-867.

Myhr B. C. and Caspary W. J. 1991. Chemical mutagenesis at the thymidine kinase locus in L5178Y mouse lymphoma cells: Results for 31 coded compounds in the National Toxicology Program. Environmental Molecular Mutagenesis 18(1), 51-83.

Nagao M., Morita N., Yahagi T., Shimizu M., Kuroyanagi M., Fukuoka M., Yoshihira K., Natori S., Fujino T. and Sugimura T. 1981. Mutagenicities of 61 flavonoids and 11 related compounds. Environmental Mutagenesis, 3(4), 401-419.

Nakamura M., Kodama N., Sataoh N., Nakamura T. and Shingo. 2003. Effect of stevioside on the expression of insulin receptor substrate-1 and -2. Journal of Pharmacological Sciences 91, 115P [Abstract No. 1P014].

Nakamura Y., Torikai K., and Ohigashi H. 2001. Toxic dose of a simple phenolic antioxidant, protocatechuic acid, attenuates the glutathione level in ICR mouse liver and kidney. Journal of Agricultural Food Chemistry 49(11), 5674-5678.

Nakayama K., Kasahara D., and Yamamoto F. 1986. Absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion of stevioside in rats. Shokuhin Eiseigaku Zasshi 27, 1-8.

Narotsky M. G., Francis E. Z. and Kavlock R. T. 1994. Developmental toxicity and structure activity relationships of aliphatic acids, including dose-response assessment of valproic acid in mice and rats. Fundamental and Applied Toxicology 22, 251-265.

Natarajan A.T. and Van Kesteren-van Leeuwen A.C. 1981. Mutagenic activity of 20 coded compounds in chromosome aberrations/sister chromatid exchanges assay using

Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells. In De Serres, F.J. and Ashby, J. (eds.), Evaluation of short-term tests for carcinogens: report of the international collaborative program. Elsevier/North Holland, New York, Vol. 1, pp. 551-559.

National Cancer Institute (NCI). 1996. Clinical development plan: I—Perillyl alcohol. J. Cell. Biochem., 265, 137-148.

National Toxicology Program (NTP). 1992. Toxicology and carcinogenesis studies of quercetin (CAS No. 117-39-5) in F344/N rats (feed studies). NTP TR 409. NIH Publication No. 92-3140. 31.(3):485-9. <http://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/>

National Toxicology Program (NTP). 1992. Toxicology and carcinogenesis studies of quercetin (CAS No. 117-39-5) in F344/N rats (feed studies). NTP TR 409. NIH Publication No. 92-3140. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, Research Triangle Park, NC. <http://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/>

National Toxicology Program(NTP). 1997. Subchronic toxicity studies of 2,4-decadienal and genotoxicity studies. Available online: <http://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/>

National Toxicology Program (NTP). 2001. Toxicology and carcinogenesis studies of citral (microencapsulated) (CAS No. 5392-40-5) in F344/N rats and B6C3F1 Mice. (Feed studies) Technical Report Series 505 NIH Publication No. 01-4439. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, Research Triangle Park, NC. <http://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/>

National Toxicology Program (NTP). 2010. Toxicology and Carcinogenesis Studies of 5-(Hydroxymethyl)-2-furfural (CAS No. 67-47-0) in F344/N Rats and B6C3F1 Mice (Gavage Studies). (NTP Technical Report 554). National Toxicology Program (NTP), Research Triangle Park (NC). <http://ntpserver.niehs.nih.gov/?objectid=793A39F7-F1F6-975E-761D9DAC33E41B3F>.

National Toxicology Program (NTP). 2003. Final Report: Toxicology and carcinogenesis studies of 2,4-hexadienal in F344/N rats and B6C3F1 mice (gavage studies). Technical Report Series 509. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, Research Triangle Park, NC. <http://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/>

National Toxicology Program (NTP). 2013a. Genetic Studies for Acetoin. In vitro study in Salmonella typhimurium. Chemical Effects in Biological Systems (CEBS) NTP Study ID A17696. Available online: <http://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/>

National Toxicology Program (NTP). 2013b. Genetic Studies for Acetoin. In vivo micronucleus study in male and female Wistar Han rats. Chemical Effects in Biological Systems (CEBS) NTP Study ID G99018. Available online: <http://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/>

National Toxicology Program (NTP). 2013c. Genetic Studies for Acetoin. In vivo micronucleus study in male and female B6C3F1 mice. Chemical Effects in Biological Systems (CEBS) NTP Study ID G99018B. Available online: <http://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/>

Nelson D.L. and Cox M.M. 2008. *Lehninger Principles of Biochemistry*. 5th ed. New York: W.H. Freeman and Company. Worth Publishers, Inc., New York. pp. 692, 876-877.

Newell G.W., Petretti A.K. and Reiner L. 1949. Studies of the acute and chronic toxicity of undecylenic acid. *Journal of Investigative Dermatology*, 13,145-149.

Nijssen L.M., Ingen-Visscher C.A. van and Donders J.J.H. 2015. VCF Volatile Compounds in Food: database Version 15.2 – Zeist (The Netherlands) : TNO Triskelion, 1963-2014

Nishi Y., Miyakawa Y. and Kato K. 1989. Chromosome aberrations induced by pyrolysates of carbohydrates in Chinese hamster V79 cells. *Mutation Research*. 227, 117-123.

Nogueira A.C.M.A., Carvalho R.R., Souza C.A.M., Chahoud I., Paumgarten F.J.R. 1994. Study on the embryofetotoxicity of citral in the rat. *Toxicology*, 96(2), 105-113.

Oda S., Mullaney T., Bowles A.J., Durward R., Lynch B. and Sugimura Y. 2008. Safety studies of L-alanyl-L-glutamine (L-AG). *Regul. Toxicol. Pharmacol.* 50(2), 226-238.

Oda Y., Hamono Y., Inoue K., Yamamoto H., Niihara T. and Kunita N. 1979. Mutagenicity of food flavours in bacteria. *Shokuhin Eisei Hen*, (9), 177-181.

Ortiz-Andrade R.R., Sanchez-Salgao J.C., Navarrete-Vazquez G., Webster S.P., Binnie M., Garcia-Jamenez S., Leon-Rivera I., Cigarroa-Vazquez P., Villalobos-Molina R. and Estrada-Soto S. 2008. Antidiabetic and toxicological evaluations of naringenin in normoglycaemic and NIDDM rat models and its implications on extra-pancreatic glucose regulation. *Diabetes, Obesity and Metabolism* 10, 1097-1104.

Oser O.M. 1958a. Toxicological screening of components of food flavours. Class X. Citral Compounds. The Trubek Laboratories, Inc, East Rutherford, New Jersey. As cited in JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2004. Aliphatic branched-chain saturated and unsaturated alcohols, aldehydes, acids, and related esters. In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 52. <http://www.inchem.org/documents/jecfa/jecmono/v52je15.htm>

Oser O.M. 1958b. Toxicological Screening of components of food flavours. Class VI. Citronellol and Linalool. The Trubek Laboratories, Inc, East Rutherford, New Jersey. As cited in JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2004. Aliphatic branched-chain saturated and unsaturated alcohols, aldehydes, acids, and related esters. In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 52. <http://www.inchem.org/documents/jecfa/jecmono/v52je15.htm>

Oser O.M. 1967. Toxicological Evaluation of some flavouring substances and non-nutritive sweetening agents. Joint report of Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee Food Additives FAO Nutrition Meetings Report series. No.44

Oshu T. 2010. In vitro metabolism report. The invitro study on the degradation of glutamyl-valyl-glycine (5ppm) in the microsomal fraction from human small intestine mucosa. Study no. LA-4417,LSP-42.

Parke D. V., Rahman K.H.M.Q., and Walker R. 1974. Effect of linalool on hepatic drug metabolizing enzymes in the rat. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.*, 2 615-618.

Parkinson A. 1996. *Biotransformation of Xenobiotics*. Casarret and Doull's Toxicology: The Basic Science of Poisons. Editor: Curtis D. Klaassen, Fifth ed. 113-18.

Phillips B. J., James T.E.B. and Gangolli S.D. 1982. Genotoxicity studies of di (2-ethylhexyl)phthalate and its metabolites in CHO cells. *Mutation Research-Genetic Toxicology*, 102(3), 297-304.

Piyachaturawat P., Glinsukon T. and Toskulkao C. 1983. Acute and subacute toxicity of piperine in mice, rats and hamsters. *Toxicology Letters* 16, 351-359.

Platel K. and Srinivasan K. 2000. Influence of dietary spices and their active principles on pancreatic digestive enzymes in albino rats. *Nahrung*, 44(1), 42-46.

Platel K. and Srinivasan K. 2001. Studies on the influence of dietary spices on food transit time in experimental rats. *Nutrition Research* 21, 1309-1314

Poet T.S., Wu H., Soelberg J., and Hinderliter P. 2005. The hydrolysis of WS-5 in artificial pancreatic juice and rat liver homogenate. Battelle Project No. 49599. Battelle, Pacific Northwest Division, Richland, WA. As cited in JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2008. Aliphatic and aromatic amines and amides (addendum). In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 59. http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2008/9789241660594_eng.pdf

Politano V .T., Lewis E., Hoberman A., Christian M., Diener R., and Api A .2008. Evaluation of the Developmental Toxicity of Linalool in Rats. *International Journal of Toxicology* March 27: 183-188

Posternak J.M., Linder A. and Vodoz C.A. 1969. Summaries of toxicological data. Toxicological tests on flavouring matters. *Food and Cosmetics Toxicology* 7, 405-407.

Pritchard L. 2010. TM 09-218: In vitro mammalian chromosome aberration test in human lymphocytes. JMN 0006. Huntingdon Life Sciences Study, Huntingdon, Cambridgeshire, England.

Pritchard L. 2011. TM09-218: In vitro mutation using mouse lymphoma L5178Y Cells. JMN0030. Huntingdon Life Sciences Study, Huntingdon, Cambridgeshire, England.

Pruksaritanont T., Gorham L.M., Breslin M.J., Hutchinson J.H., Hartman G.D., Vyas K.P., and Baillie T.A. 1997. In vitro and in vivo evaluations of the metabolism, pharmacokinetics, and bioavailability of ester prodrugs of L-767,679, a potent fibrinogen receptor antagonist: an approach for the selection of a prodrug candidate. *Drug Metab. Dispos.* 25(8), 978-984.

- Pucaj K. 2004b. Compound: S336 in vivo mouse micronucleus test. Nucro-Technics Project No. 142258. Nucro-Technics, Scarborough, Ontario. As cited in JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2008. Aliphatic and aromatic amines and amides (addendum). In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 59. http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2008/9789241660594_eng.pdf
- Putman D.L., Moore W.A., Schechtman L.M. and Hodgson J.R. 1983. Cytogenic evaluation of di-(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate and its major metabolites in Fischer 344 rats. *Environment Mutagensis*. 227-231.
- Rahman K. A. Q. M. Q. 1974. Metabolism of geraniol and linalool in the rat and effects on liver and lung microsomal enzymes. Ph.D. thesis, University of Surrey. Cited in Chadha A. and Madyastha K. M. 1984. *Xenobiotica* 14, 365-374.
- Rance D.J. 1989. Sulphur heterocycles in Sulphur-Containing Drugs and Related Organic Compounds: Chemistry, Biochemistry and Toxicology. L. A. Damani, ed. Vol. 1 Part B Metabolism of Sulphur Functional Groups, Ellis Horwood Ltd, Chichester, England.
- Reddy B.S., Wang C.X., Samaha H., Lubet R., Steele V.E., Kelloff G.J. and Rao C.V. 1997. Chemoprevention of colon carcinogenesis by dietary perillyl alcohol. *Cancer Research* 57, 420–425.
- Renwick A.G. and Tarka S.M. 2008. Microbial hydrolysis of steviol glycosides. *Food and Chemical Toxicology Suppl.* S46/7S, S70–S74.
- Rhodes C., Soames T., Stonard M.D., Simpson M.G., Vernall A.J. and Elcombe, C.R. 1984. The absence of testicular atrophy and in vivo and in vitro effects on hepatocyte morphology and peroxisomal enzyme activ. in male rats following the administration of several alkanols. *Toxicol. Lett.* 21, 103-109.
- Richold M., Jones E. and Proudlock R.J. 1979. Ames metabolic activation test to assess the potential mutagenic effects of 579/1 (caryophyllene oxide). Huntingdon Research Centre, Huntingdon, Cambridgeshire, England. As cited in JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2006. Epoxides. In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 56. http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2006/9241660562_eng.pdf
- Ritter E.J., Scott W.J., Randall J.L. and Ritter J.M. 1987. Teratogenicity of di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate, 2-ethyl-1-hexanol, 2-ethylhexanoic acid, and valproic acid, and potentiation by caffeine. *Teratology* 35, 41-46.
- Roberts A. and Renwick A.G. 2008. Comparative toxicokinetics and metabolism of rebaudioside A, stevioside, and steviol in rats. *Food and Chemical Toxicology* 46, S31-S39.
- Rose J. 2012. A 13-week dietary administration toxicity study in rats. Study Number 1646-014. MPI Research, Inc. Mattawan, Michigan.
- Rose J. 2012. A 4-week dietary administration toxicity study in rats (non-GLP). Study Number 1646-015. MPI Research, Inc. Mattawan, Michigan.
- Sahu R.K., Basu R. and Sharma A. 1981. Genetic toxicology of some plant flavonoids by the micronucleus test. *Mutation Research* 89(1), 69-74.
- Sanyal R., Darroudi F., Parzefall W., Nagao M., and Knasmüller S. 1997. Inhibition of the genotoxic effects of heterocyclic amines in human derived hepatoma cells by dietary bioantimutagens. *Mutagenesis*, 12(4), 297-303.
- Sasaki Y.F., Imanishi H., Ohta T. and Shirasu Y. 1989. Modifying effects of components of plant essence on the induction of sister-chromatid exchanges in cultured Chinese hamster vary cells. *Mutation Research*, 226, 103-110.
- Schwen R.J. 1982. Excretion and tissue distribution of (14) C after an oral dose of a capsaicin analog, N-(vanillyl)-[1-(14)C]-nonanamide. *Federation Proceedings*, 41, 1558
- Seed J.L. 1982. Mutagenic activity of phthalate esters in bacterial liquid suspension assays. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 45, 111-114.
- Shellenberger T.E. 1970. Subacute toxicity evaluation of ethyl thiacetate with rats. 1970. GSRI Project NC-373. As cited in JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2000. Simple aliphatic and aromatic sulfides and thiols. In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 44. <http://www.inchem.org/documents/jecfa/jecmono/v44jec09.htm>
- Simonetti P., Gardana C., Bramati L., and Pietta P. G. 2004. Bioavailability of stevioside from *Stevia rebaudiana* in humans: preliminary report. In Geuns J. M. C., Buyse J. (Editors). Pp51-62. Safety of Stevioside. Proceedings of the First Symposium Sponsored by KULeuven.
- Sjoberg P., Lindqvist N.G. and Ploen L. 1986. Age-dependent response of the rat testes to di (2-ethylhexyl) phthalate. *Environmental health Perspectives*, 65, 237-242.
- Sivaswamy S.N., Balachandran B., Balanehr S., Sivaramakrishnan V.M. 1991. Mutagenic activity of South Indian food items. *Indian Journal of Experimental Biology*, 29, 730 – 737.
- Smith R.L., Cohen S.M., Doull J., Feron V.J., Goodman J.I., Marnett L.J., Munro I.C., Portoghese P.S., Waddell W.J., Wagner B.M., and Adams T.B. 2005a. Criteria for the safety evaluation of flavoring substances – The Expert Panel of the Flavor and Extract Manufacturers Association. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*. 43, 1141.
- Smith R.L., Cohen S.M., Doull J., Feron V.J., Goodman J.I., Marnett L.J., P.S. Portoghese, Waddell W.J., Wagner B.M., Hall R.L., Higley N.A., Lucas-Gavin C. and Adams T.B. 2005b. A procedure for the safety evaluation of natural flavor complexes used as ingredients in food: essential oils. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 43, 345-363.
- Sokolowski A. 2011. Salmonella typhimurium and Escherichia coli reverse mutation assay with Hall C. 2011a.

mixture of 1-vinyl-3-cyclohexenecarbaldehyde and 4-vinyl-1-cyclohexenecarbaldehyde. Harlan Study No. 1406304. Harlan Cytotest Cell Research GmbH, Rossdorf, Germany.

Sokolowski A. 2014. Ethylhomovanillat: Salmonella typhimurium and Escherichia coli reverse mutation assay. Study No. 1613000. Harlan Cytotest Cell Research GmbH, Rossdorf, Germany.

Speit G., Wolf M. and Vogel W. 1980. The SCE inducing capacity of vitamin C: investigations in vitro and in vivo. Mutation Research, 78, 273-278.

Stanford, H. 2011. Canine Safety Evaluation of a Natural Antioxidant in a Dog Diet Study #KNISAF00111. Summit Ridge Farms, Susquehanna, Penselvanijia, USA.

Stark M.J., Burke Y.D., McKinzie J.H., Ayoubi A.S. and Crowell P.L. 1995. Chemotherapy of pancreatic cancer with the monoterpene perillyl alcohol. Cancer Lett., 96, 15-21.

Stevens. 1978a. Four-week oral toxicity study with 1-cyclohexylethyl butyrate. Study No. IRD-77-378. Monsanto Company.

Stevens. 1978b. Microbial mutagenic assay with 1-cyclohexylethyl butyrate. Study No. LF-76-287, 288. Monsanto Company.

Stich H.F., Rosin M.P., San R.H.C., Wu C.H. and Powrie W.D. 1981. Intake, formation, and release of mutagens by man. In Bruce, W.R. (ed.), Gastrointestinal cancer: endogenous factors. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, New York, Vol. 7, pp. 247-266.

Stich H. F., Rosin M.P., Wu C.H. and Powrie W.D. 1981a. Clastogenicity of furans found in food. Cancer Lett. 13, 89-95 Leuven, Belgium. pp. 51-62.

Stich H. F., Stich W., Rosin M. P. and Powrie W. D. 1981b. Clastogenic activity of caramel and caramelized sugars. Mutation Research. 91, 129-136.

Stofberg J. and Grunschober F. 1987. Consumption Ratio and Food Predominance of Flavouring Materials. Perfumer and Flavorist 12(4), 27.

Strand L.P. and Scheline R.R. 1975. The metabolism of vanillin and isovanillin in the rat. Xenobiotica. 5(1), 49-63.

Suanarunsawat T. and Chaiyabutr N. 1997. The effect of stevioside on glucose metabolism in the rat. Canadian Journal of Physiology and Pharmacology 75, 976-982.

Szepeswol J. 1978. Gastro-intestinal tumors in mice of three strains maintained on fat-enriched diets. Oncology 35, 143-152.

Szepeswol J. and Boschetti N.V. 1975. Primary and secondary heart tumors in mice maintained on various diets. Oncology 32, 58-72.

Takami S., Imai T., Hasumura M., Cho Y.-M., Onose J. and Hirose M. 2008. Evaluation of toxicity of green tea catechins with 90-day dietary administration to F344 rats. Food and Chemical Toxicology 46, 2224 - 2229.

Takasaki K., Urabe M., Yamamoto R., Ishibashi S. and Hashimoto N. 1973. Acute prolonged toxicity tests with l-cysteine in mice and rats. Oyo Yakuri, 7, 1251-1264.

Tanaka K., Yu Y.S. and Ishibashi T. 1984. Rec-assay of food additives. Nippon Kosnu Eisei Zasshi, 31(6), 277-281.

Tavares D.C., Cecchi A.O., Antunes L.M.G. and Takahashi C.S. 1998. Protective effects of the amino acid glutamine and of ascorbic acid against chromosomal damage induced by doxorubicin in mammalian cells. Teratogenesis, Carcinogenesis, and Mutagenesis, 18, 153-161.

Thompson P.W. and Bowles, A. 2011. ML-2: reverse mutation assay "Ames test" using Salmonella typhimurium and Escherichia coli.

Thompson P.W. 2005. WS5 Pure: Reverse mutation assay "Ames test" using Salmonella typhimurium and Escherichia coli. SPL Project No. 1044/068. SafePharm Laboratories, Derbyshire, England. JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2006. Aliphatic and aromatic amines and amides. In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 56. http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2006/924166056_2_eng.pdf

Thompson P.W. 2007. Bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene-2-carboxylic acid, 3-(1-methylethyl)-, ethyl ester, (2-endo,3-exo)- (Herbanate): Reverse mutation assay "Ames Test" using Salmonella typhimurium and Escherichia coli. Study Number 0766/0135R. SafePharm Laboratories, Derbyshire, England.

Tinsley I.J., Schmitz J.A. and Pierce D.A. 1981. Influence of dietary fatty acids on the incidence of mammary tumors in the C-3H mouse. Cancer Research, 41, 1460-1465.

Tomita I., Nakamura Y., Aoki N., and Inui N. 1982. Mutagenic/ carcinogenic potential of DEHP and MEHP. Environ. Health Perspect. 45, 119-125.

Toyoda K., Matsui H., Shoda T., Uneyama C., Takada K., and Takahashi M. 1997. Assessment of the carcinogenicity of stevioside in F344 rats. Food and Chemical Toxicology 35, 597-603.

Toyoda K., Matsui H., Shoda T., Uneyama C., Takada K., Takahashi M. 1997. Assessment of the carcinogenicity of stevioside in F344 rats. Food and Chemical Toxicology 35, 597-603.

Trubek Laboratories, Inc. 1958. Toxicological Screening tests of higher aldehydes (Class XII). Trubek Laboratories, Inc, East Rutherford, New Jersey. As cited in JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 1998. Saturated aliphatic acyclic branched-chain primary alcohols, aldehydes, and acids. In Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 40. http://www.inchem.org/documents/jecfa/jecmono/v040_jel1.htm

Tuck K.L., Freeman M.P., Hayball P.J., Stretch G.L. and Stupans I. 2001. The in vivo fate of hydroxytyrosol and tyrosol, antioxidant phenolic constituents of olive oil, after

intravenous and oral dosing of labeled compounds to rats. J Nutr. 131(7):1993-6.

Tuck K.L., Hayball P.J. and Stupans I.2002. Structural characterization of the metabolites of hydroxytyrosol, the principal phenolic component in olive oil, in rats. J Agric Food Chem. 50(8), 2404-9.

Tunaru S., Althoff T.F., Nusing R.M., Diener M. and Offermanns S. 2012. Castor oil induces laxation and uterus contraction via ricinoleic acid activating prostaglandin EP3 receptors. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 109, 9179-9184.

Tyl R. W., Fisher L. C., Kubena M. F., Vrbancic M. A., Gingell R. Guest, Hodgson D., Murphy J., Tyler S. R., and Astill B. D. 1992. The developmental toxicity of 2-ethyl-1-hexanol applied dermally to pregnant Fischer 344 rats. Fundamentals of Applied Toxicology 19,176-185.

U.S. FDA. Scientific Literature Review (SLR) A5. 1976, 1978, 1985. Scientific literature review of alicyclic compounds of carbon, hydrogen and oxygen. PB86-155835/LL. National Technical Information Service. <http://www.ntis.gov/>

U.S. FDA. Scientific Literature Review (SLR) M1. 1974, 1978,1979,1984,1985. Scientific literature review of aliphatic primary alcohols, aldehydes, esters and acids. PB86-155926. National Technical Information Service. <http://www.ntis.gov/>

U.S. FDA. Scientific Literature Review (SLR) A7.1975. Scientific literature review of aliphatic amines. PB265-517/AS. National Technical Information Service. <http://www.ntis.gov/>

U.S. FDA. Scientific Literature Review (SLR) D19. 1979, 1983. Scientific literature review of miscellaneous substances. PB85-141299/LL. National Technical Information Service. <http://www.ntis.gov/>

U.S. FDA. Scientific Literature Review (SLR) A1.1984. Scientific literature review of aliphatic ketones, secondary alcohols and related esters. PB85-141059/LL. National Technical Information Service. <http://www.ntis.gov/>

U.S. FDA. Scientific Literature Review (SLR) D19. 1979, 1983. Scientific literature review of miscellaneous substances. PB85-141299/LL. National Technical Information Service. <http://www.ntis.gov/>

U.S. FDA. Scientific Literature Review (SLR) C12.1979, 1984. Scientific literature review of phenols. PB86-155868/AS. National Technical Information Service. <http://www.ntis.gov/>

U.S. FDA. Scientific Literature Review (SLR) B1B.1976, 1979, 1984. Scientific literature review of aliphatic keto- and hydroxy-acids with oxygen functions and related compounds. PB85-141091/LL. National Technical Information Service. <http://www.ntis.gov/>

U.S. FDA. Scientific Literature Review (SLR) D19. 1979, 1983. Scientific literature review of miscellaneous substances. PB85-141299/LL. National Technical Information Service. <http://www.ntis.gov/>

U.S. FDA. Scientific Literature Review (SLR) C18.1978, 1985. Scientific literature review of vanillin and derivatives. PB86-155876/AS. National Technical Information Service. <http://www.ntis.gov/>

U.S. FDA. Scientific Literature Review (SLR) D15. 1978. Scientific literature review of thiophene derivatives. PB291-102/AS. National Technical Information Service. <http://www.ntis.gov/>

U.S. FDA. Scientific Literature Review (SLR) A8. 1975. Scientific literature review of aliphatic thiols. PB85141075/LL. National Technical Information Service. <http://www.ntis.gov/>

U.S. FDA. Scientific Literature Review (SLR) B3. 1984. Scientific literature review of amino acids. PB85141125/LL. National Technical Information Service. <http://www.ntis.gov/>

U.S. FDA. Scientific Literature Review (SLR) B5A. 1979. Scientific literature review of primary alcohols, aldehydes, acids and related esters with thiol or sulfide functions. PB85141141/LL. National Technical Information Service. <http://www.ntis.gov/>

U.S. FDA. Scientific Literature Review (SLR) SLR B5C. 1976. Scientific literature review of aliphatic thio esters. PB85141265/LL. National Technical Information Service. <http://www.ntis.gov/>

U.S. FDA. Scientific Literature Review (SLR) SLR C11. 1978. Scientific literature review of cinnamyl alcohol and related substances. PB284-961/AS. National Technical Information Service. <http://www.ntis.gov/>

U.S. FDA. Scientific Literature Review (SLR) LR C21. 1978. Scientific literature review of capsaicin and related compounds. PB284-868/AS. National Technical Information Service. <http://www.ntis.gov/>

U.S. FDA .2008a. Agency Response Letter GRAS Notice No. GRN 000252 [Rebaudioside A purified from Stevia Rebaudiana (Bertoni) Bertoni]. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (U.S. FDA), Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (CFSAN), College Park, Maryland. <http://www.fda.gov/Food/IngredientsPackagingLabeling/GRAS/NoticelInventory/ucm154988.htm>.

U.S. FDA .2008b. Agency Response Letter GRAS Notice No. GRN 000253 [Rebaudioside A purified from Stevia Rebaudiana (Bertoni) Bertoni]. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (U.S. FDA), Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (CFSAN), College Park, Maryland. <http://www.fda.gov/Food/IngredientsPackagingLabeling/GRAS/NoticelInventory/ucm154989.htm>

U.S. FDA .2009a. Agency Response Letter GRAS Notice No. GRN 000275 [Purified Steviol Glycosides With Rebaudioside A as the Principal Component]. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (U.S. FDA), Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (CFSAN), Office of Food Additive Safety College Park, Maryland. <http://www.fda.gov/Food/IngredientsPackagingLabeling/GRAS/NoticelInventory/ucm171539.htm>

U.S. FDA .2009b. Agency Response Letter GRAS Notice No. GRN 000278 [Rebaudioside A Purified From Stevia Rebaudiana (Bertoni) Bertoni]. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (U.S. FDA), Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (CFSAN), Office of Food Additive Safety, College Park, Maryland. <http://www.fda.gov/Food/IngredientsPackagingLabeling/GRAS/NoticelInventory/ucm174946.htm>

U.S. FDA .2009c. Agency Response Letter GRAS Notice No. GRN 000282 [Rebaudioside A Purified From the Leaves of Stevia Rebaudiana (Bertoni) Bertoni]. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (U.S. FDA), Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (CFSAN), Office of Food Additive Safety, College Park, Maryland. <http://www.fda.gov/Food/IngredientsPackagingLabeling/GRAS/NoticelInventory/ucm185772.htm>

U.S. FDA .2009d. Agency Response Letter GRAS Notice No. GRN 000287 [Purified Steviol Glycosides With Rebaudioside A and Stevioside As the Principal Components]. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (U.S. FDA), Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (CFSAN), Office of Food Additive Safety, College Park, Maryland. <http://www.fda.gov/Food/IngredientsPackagingLabeling/GRAS/NoticelInventory/ucm181937.htm>

U.S. FDA.2010a. Agency Response Letter GRAS Notice No. GRN 000303 [Rebaudioside A purified from the leaves of Stevia rebaudiana (Bertoni) Bertoni]. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (U.S. FDA), Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (CFSAN), Office of Food Additive Safety, College Park, Maryland. <http://www.fda.gov/Food/IngredientsPackagingLabeling/GRAS/NoticelInventory/ucm207985.htm>

U.S. FDA .2010b. Agency Response Letter GRAS Notice No. GRN 000304 [Purified steviol glycosides with rebaudioside A and stevioside as the principal components]. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (U.S. FDA), Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (CFSAN), Office of Food Additive Safety, College Park, Maryland. <http://www.fda.gov/Food/IngredientsPackagingLabeling/GRAS/NoticelInventory/ucm207983.htm>

U.S. FDA .2010c. Agency Response Letter GRAS Notice No. GRN 000318 [Rebaudioside A purified from the leaves of Stevia rebaudiana (Bertoni) Bertoni]. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (U.S.FDA), Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (CFSAN), Office of Food Additive Safety, College Park, Maryland. <http://www.fda.gov/Food/IngredientsPackagingLabeling/GRAS/NoticelInventory/ucm213311.htm>

U.S. FDA .2010d. Agency Response Letter GRAS Notice No. GRN 000323 [Purified steviol glycosides with rebaudioside A and stevioside as the principal components]. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (U.S. FDA), Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (CFSAN), Office of Food Additive Safety, College Park, Maryland. <http://www.fda.gov/Food/IngredientsPackagingLabeling/GRAS/NoticelInventory/ucm219341.htm>

U.S. FDA .2010e. Agency Response Letter GRAS Notice No. GRN 000329 [Rebaudioside A purified from the leaves of Stevia rebaudiana (Bertoni) Bertoni]. U.S. Food and Drug

Administration (U.S.FDA), Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (CFSAN), Office of Food Additive Safety, College Park, Maryland. <http://www.fda.gov/Food/IngredientsPackagingLabeling/GRAS/NoticelInventory/ucm228941.htm>

U.S. FDA .2011a. Agency Response Letter GRAS Notice No. GRN 000337 [Enzyme modified steviol glycosides preparation (EMSGP)]. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (U.S. FDA), Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (CFSAN), Office of Food Additive Safety, College Park, Maryland. <http://www.fda.gov/Food/IngredientsPackagingLabeling/GRAS/NoticelInventory/ucm262289.htm>

U.S. FDA .2011b. Agency Response Letter GRAS Notice No. GRN 000348 [Stevioside purified from the leaves of Stevia rebaudiana (Bertoni) Bertoni (stevioside)]. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (U.S. FDA), Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (CFSAN), Office of Food Additive Safety, College Park, Maryland. <http://www.fda.gov/Food/IngredientsPackagingLabeling/GRAS/NoticelInventory/ucm267232.htm>

U.S. FDA .2011c. Agency Response Letter GRAS Notice No. GRN 000349 [Purified steviol glycosides with rebaudioside A and stevioside as the principal components]. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (U.S. FDA), Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (CFSAN), Office of Food Additive Safety, College Park, Maryland. <http://www.fda.gov/Food/IngredientsPackagingLabeling/GRAS/NoticelInventory/ucm267246.htm>

U.S. FDA .2011d. Agency Response Letter GRAS Notice No. GRN 000354 [Rebaudioside A purified from the leaves of Stevia rebaudiana (Bertoni) Bertoni (rebaudioside A)]. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (U.S. FDA), Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (CFSAN), Office of Food Additive Safety, College Park, Maryland. <http://www.fda.gov/Food/IngredientsPackagingLabeling/GRAS/NoticelInventory/ucm267308.htm>

U.S. FDA .2011e. Agency Response Letter GRAS Notice No. GRN 000365 [Rebaudioside A purified from the leaves of Stevia rebaudiana (Bertoni) Bertoni (rebaudioside A)]. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (U.S. FDA), Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (CFSAN), Office of Food Additive Safety, College Park, Maryland. <http://www.fda.gov/Food/IngredientsPackagingLabeling/GRAS/NoticelInventory/ucm270926.htm>

U.S. FDA .2011f. Agency Response Letter GRAS Notice No. GRN 000367 [Purified steviol glycosides with rebaudioside A and stevioside as the principal components]. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (U.S. FDA), Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (CFSAN), Office of Food Additive Safety, College Park, Maryland. <http://www.fda.gov/Food/IngredientsPackagingLabeling/GRAS/NoticelInventory/ucm267316.htm>

U.S. FDA .2011g. Agency Response Letter GRAS Notice No. GRN 000369 [Rebaudioside A purified from the leaves of Stevia rebaudiana (Bertoni) Bertoni]. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (U.S. FDA), Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (CFSAN), Office of Food Additive Safety, College Park, Maryland.

<http://www.fda.gov/Food/IngredientsPackagingLabeling/GRAS/NoticelInventory/ucm279332.htm>

U.S. FDA .2011h. Agency Response Letter GRAS Notice No. GRN 000375 [Enzyme modified steviol glycosides]. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (U.S. FDA), Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (CFSAN), Office of Food Additive Safety, College Park, Maryland. <http://www.fda.gov/Food/IngredientsPackagingLabeling/GRAS/NoticelInventory/ucm274761.htm>

U.S. FDA .2011i. Agency Response Letter GRAS Notice No. GRN 000380 [Rebaudioside A purified from the leaves of Stevia rebaudiana (Bertoni) Bertoni (rebaudioside A)]. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (U.S. FDA), Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (CFSAN), Office of Food Additive Safety, College Park, Maryland. <http://www.fda.gov/Food/IngredientsPackagingLabeling/GRAS/NoticelInventory/ucm283100.htm>

U.S. FDA .2012a. Agency Response Letter GRAS Notice No. GRN 000388 [Rebaudioside A purified from the leaves of Stevia rebaudiana (Bertoni) Bertoni (rebaudioside A)]. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (U.S. FDA), Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (CFSAN), Office of Food Additive Safety, College Park, Maryland. <http://www.fda.gov/Food/IngredientsPackagingLabeling/GRAS/NoticelInventory/ucm291282.htm>

U.S. FDA .2012b. Agency Response Letter GRAS Notice No. GRN 000389 [Steviol glycosides with stevioside as the principal component]. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (U.S. FDA), Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (CFSAN), Office of Food Additive Safety, College Park, Maryland. <http://www.fda.gov/Food/IngredientsPackagingLabeling/GRAS/NoticelInventory/ucm291306.htm>

U.S. FDA .2012c. Agency Response Letter GRAS Notice No. GRN 000393 [Rebaudioside A purified from the leaves of Stevia rebaudiana (Bertoni) Bertoni (rebaudioside A)]. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (U.S. FDA), Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (CFSAN), Office of Food Additive Safety, College Park, Maryland. <http://www.fda.gov/Food/IngredientsPackagingLabeling/GRAS/NoticelInventory/ucm291294.htm>

U.S. FDA .2012d. Agency Response Letter GRAS Notice No. GRN 000395 [Steviol glycosides with rebaudioside A and stevioside as the principal components]. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (U.S. FDA), Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (CFSAN), Office of Food Additive Safety, College Park, Maryland. <http://www.fda.gov/Food/IngredientsPackagingLabeling/GRAS/NoticelInventory/ucm291295.htm>

U.S. FDA .2012e. Agency Response Letter GRAS Notice No. GRN 000418 [Rebaudioside A purified from the leaves of Stevia rebaudiana (Bertoni) Bertoni (rebaudioside A)]. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (U.S. FDA), Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (CFSAN), Office of Food Additive Safety, College Park, Maryland. <http://www.fda.gov/Food/IngredientsPackagingLabeling/GRAS/NoticelInventory/ucm313048.htm>

U.S. FDA .2013a. Agency Response Letter GRAS Notice No. GRN 000448 [Enzyme-modified steviol glycosides]. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (U.S. FDA), Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (CFSAN), Office of Food Additive Safety, College Park, Maryland. <http://www.fda.gov/Food/IngredientsPackagingLabeling/GRAS/NoticelInventory/ucm357707.htm>

Uhde H. 2004. Mutagenicity study of rubenamin in the Salmonella typhimurium reverse mutation assay (in vitro). LPT Report No. 18432/2/04. Laboratory of Pharmacology and Toxicology KG, Hamburg, Germa New York. As cited in JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2011. Phenol and phenol derivatives (addendum). In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 64. 2011. http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2011/9789241660648_eng.pdf?ua=1

Van Jaarsveld F.P., Hattingh S., and Minnaar P. 2009. Rapid induction of ageing character in brady products – Part III. Influence of toasting. S. Afr. J. Enol. Vitic., 30(1), 24 – 37.

Verspeek-Rip C.M. 2002. Evaluation of the mutagenic activity of 2-methylundecanal in the Salmonella typhimurium reverse mutation assay.

Visioli F., Caruso D., Plasmati E., Patelli R., Mulinacci N., Romani A., Galli G. and Galli C. 2001. Hydroxytyrosol, as a component of olive mill waste water, is dose- dependently absorbed and increases the antioxidant capacity of rat plasma. Free Radic Res. 34(3):301-5.

Vollmuth T.A, Bennett M.B., Hoberman A.M. and Christian M.S. 1990. An evaluation of food flavoring ingredients using in vivo reproductive and developmental toxicity screening test. Teratology 41(5), 597-598.

Wagner, V.O. 2000. ST-10-C100: Bacterial Reverse Mutation Assay. Study Number: AA27ZM.506.BTL. Report no.41990. BioReliance Corporation, Rockville, Maryland. JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2015. Ionones and structurally related substances (addendum). In: *Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants*. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 70.

Walkenstein S.S. and Weinhouse S.1953. Oxidation of aldehydes by mitochondria of rat tissues. The Journal of Biological Chemistry, 200, 515-523.

Wasil J.M. 2013. In vivo Mouse Bone Marrow Micronucleus Assay. WIL Research, Ashland, Ohio. Study no. WIL-884029

Watson. 1962. The Absorption and Excretion of Castor Oil in Man. Section of Metabolism, National Heart Institute, NIH. Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmacology: Vol XV No.3 183-188.

Watson W.C. and Gordon R.S. 1962. Studies on the digestion, absorption and metabolism of castor oil. Biochem. Pharmacol. 11, 229.236

Watson W.C., Gordon R.S., Karmen A. and Jover A. 1963. The absorption of castor oil in man. *J. Pharm. Pharmacol.* 15(3), 183-188.

Watson W.C. and Gordon R.S. 1962. *Studies on the Digestion, Absorption and Metabolism of Castor Oil.* Pergamon Press Ltd., Great Britain: Vol. 11, pp. 229-236.

Wells M. 2012. Salmonella/Mammalian Microsome Screen (2-(4-Methylphenoxy)-N-(1H-pyrazol-3-yl)-N-(thiophen-2-ylmethyl)acetamide). Study No. MBR12-253. WIL Research, Skokie, Illinois.

Wells, M. 2012. Salmonella/Mammalian Microsome Screen (2-(4-Methylphenoxy)-N-(1H-pyrazol-3-yl)-N-(thiophen-2-ylmethyl)acetamide). Study No. MBR12-253. WIL Research, Skokie, Illinois.

Weiner H. 1980. Aldehyde oxidizing enzymes. In *Enzymatic Basis of Detoxication* Edited by W.B.Jakoby. Vol. I. pp.261-275. Academic Press, New York.

Wheeler A., Boileau A., Winkler P., Compton J., Prakash I., Jiang X., Mandarino D. and Jenkins J. 2008. Pharmacokinetics of rebaudioside A and stevioside after single oral doses in healthy men. *Food and Chemical Toxicology* 46, S54-S60.

Wild D., King M.T., Gocke E. and Eckhardt K. 1983. Study of artificial flavouring substances for mutagenicity in the Salmonella/microsome, base and micronucleus tests. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 21, 707-719.

Willhite C.C. 1982. Teratogenic potential of quercetin in the rat. *Food and Chemical Toxicology* 20:75-79.

Williams T. R. 1959. *Detoxication Mechanisms: The Metabolism and Detoxication of Drugs, Toxic Substances and Other Organic Compounds.* Robert Cunningham and Sons, Ltd., London, Great Britain. 2nd ed. 62,78.

Wingard R. E. Jr., Brown J. P., Enderlin F. E., Dale J. A., Hale R. L., and Seitz C.T. 1980. Intestinal degradation and absorption of the glycosidic sweeteners stevioside and rebaudioside A. *Experientia* 36, 519-520

Wong K.P., and Sourkes T.L. 1966. Metabolism of vanillin and related substances in the rat. *Canadian Journal of Biochemistry.* 44(5), 635-644.

Xu Y. 2010. Test Report: Chaneng 108+ Lipid Soluble Tea Polyphenol Softgel Capsules No. 201001501 of Z(S)JKJZ. Zhejiang Provincial Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Zhejiang, China.

Xiao J. and Hermansen K. 2005. The mechanism underlying the insulinotropic effect of Stevioside activation of acetyl-CoA carboxylase. *Diabetes* 54 (1) A131 [Abstract No. 532P].

Xiao J., Kjeld H. and Jeppesen P.B. 2005. The insulinotropic effect of stevioside is mediated via activation of acetyl-CoA carboxylase. *Diabetes* 54 (1), A132 [Abstract No. 536P].

Xing W. and Na R. 1996. Amino acids excess increase SCEs in human lymphocytes. *Mutation Research*, 372, 75-78.

Yamamoto N.S., Kelmer Bracht A.M., Ishii E.L., Kemmelmeier F.S., Alvarez M. and Bracht A. 1985. Effect of steviol and its structural analogues on glucose production and oxygen uptake in rat renal tubules. *Experientia* 41, 55-57.

Yanez J.A., Remsberg C.M., Miranda N.D., Vega-Villa K.R., Andrews P.K., Davies N.M., 2008. Pharmacokinetics of selected chiral flavonoids: hesperetin, naringenin and eriodictyol in rats and their content in fruit juices. *Biopharmaceutics & drug disposition* 29, 63-82.

Yodyingyud V., Bunyawong S. 1991. Effect of stevioside on growth and reproduction. *Hum. Reprod.* 6, 158-165.

Yokoi O. 2008. Bacterial reverse mutation test of 2-(((3-(2,3-dimethoxyphenyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazol-5-yl)thio)methyl)pyridine and 2-(((3-(2,4-dimethylphenyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazol-5-ylthio)methyl)pyridine. Study number 07M016. Pharmaceutical Research Laboratories, Pharmaceutical Company Kanagawa Prefecture, Japan.

Yoo Y.S. 1986. Mutagenic and antimutagenic activities of flavoring agents used in foodstuffs. (In Japanese) *Journal of the Osaka City Medical Center* 34(3-4): 267-288.

Zaitsev A. N. and Maganova N. B. 1975. Embryotoxic action of some food aromatizers. *Vopr Pitan* (3), 64-68.

Zavorskas P.A. 2012. Pharmacokinetics of 2-(4-Methylphenoxy)-N-(1H-pyrazol-3-yl)-N-(thiophen-2-ylmethyl)acetamide Following Intravenous and Oral Administration to Male Beagle Dogs. Study No. 20033875. Charles River Laboratories, Wilmington, MA.

Zeiger E., Anderson B., Haworth S., Lawlor T., Mortelmans K. and Speck W. 1987. Salmonella mutagenicity tests: III. Results from the testing of 255 chemicals. *Environmental Mutagenesis* 9(S9), 1-110.

Zeiger E., Haworth S., Mortelmans K. and Speck W. 1985. Mutagenicity testing of di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate and related chemicals in salmonella. *Environment Mutagenesis.* 7, 213-232.

Zhang B. 2004a. Bacterial reverse mutation test of *N*-(heptan-4-yl)benzo[d][1,3]dioxole-5-carboxamide. *Nucro-Technics Project No. 142259.* Nucro-Technics, Scarborough, Ontario, Canada. JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2008. Aliphatic and aromatic amines and amides (addendum). In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 59. http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2008/9789241660594_eng.pdf

Zhang B. 2004b. Chromosome aberration test of *N*-(heptan-4-yl)benzo[d][1,3]dioxole-5-carboxamide in cultured Chinese hamster ovary cells. *Nucro-Technics Project No. 142262.* Nucro-Technics, Scarborough, Ontario, Canada. JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). 2008. Aliphatic and aromatic amines and amides (addendum). In: Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Food Additives Series: No. 59. http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2008/9789241660594_eng.pdf

Zhang X.M., Chan C.C., Stamp D., Minkin S., Archer M. C.
and Bruce W. R. 1993. Initiation and promotion of colonic
aberrant crypt foci. *Carcinogenesis* 14(4), 773-775.